

# View Response

## Response Details

**From** «Anonymous»

**Date** Started: 29 Nov 2019 09:50. Last modified: 29 Nov 2019 09:50

**Status** Complete

**Response ID** #766776

**Visibility** Unknown.

1

**To which part in the plan does your representation relate? Please provide paragraph number and Policy reference.**

You must provide an answer to this question.

Plan chapter 5, policy 2.1

2

**Do you support, oppose, or wish to comment on this plan? (Please select one answer)**

You must provide an answer to this question.

Support

Support with Modifications

Oppose

Have Comments

3

**Please give details of your reasons for support/opposition, or make other comments.**

You must provide an answer to this question.

The Parish council have rejected the overall consensus of the village, 88% said wanted to use brownfield sites ( as per government recommendations) and not the green fields around. They opted for the easy way out with most houses in the north area of parsonage farm. The overall area with housing per hecter does not equate, as not only is there a large gas line which can't be built around ( not recommended for building by Horsham on an a survey ) also the large flood area they pretending is a country park ( we have fields and downlink - not need a fake country park) Thus with restrictions around pipe line only really say max 4 acres at 35 house is 140 and not the estimated 260. Main safety is with cars as will have at least another 200 around. Previously estimates of traffic are well excess of plans from other sites already built. A large number of children walk to the school and so great risk of accidents. Also school is full therefore any new children will have to be driven out of the village, causing more traffic. The village is far to lob sided and this development would increase that. Meaning if want to pop to local shops can't really walk as now too far. Thus everyone would drive to village, where not enough parking and more congestion. Also the area is close to the flood plain and your environment prediction shows building there would increase the flooding, which is bad enough already as has been seen over last few days with the river over flowing and most fields totally water logged

4

**What improvements or modifications would you suggest?**

You must provide an answer to this question.

They should consider the option where all housing is created using the brownfield sites first, thus diversifying the building area around the whole village. Which means not every car coming

from the same place at especially rush hour, causing a risk of accident especially to children,, less flooding risk as build spread around. Also less risk of damage to the gas pipe line and easy access to it should a repair be needed. This way with houses dotted around different sites, more people would be closer tho the high. St. The so called heart of the village and more likely to walk to the shops. Better for safety and the environment. To create a less split village.

5

**Do you wish to be notified of the local planning authority's decision under Regulation 19 of the Neighbourhood Plan (General) Regulations 2012 in relation to the Henfield Neighbourhood Development Plan?**

You must provide an answer to this question.

Yes

No

## Attachments

If you have any attachments, please attach them here.

You can upload up to 10 files.

«No files»