Horsham District Council

Gypsy and Traveller Site Assessment Regulation 19

December 2023

Contents	
Section	Page Number
1. Introduction	3
2. Part One Sites Proposed for Allocation for Gypsy, Traveller &Travelling Showpeople Use	4
3. Part Two – Sites Assessed as Not Suitable for Allocation for Gypsy & Traveller Use	40
Table 1 – Sites suitable for allocation	56
Table 2 Sies assessed as not suitable for allocation	57

Introduction

This document provides an assessment of a number of sites with regards to their suitability for allocation for Gypsy & Traveller use in the Draft Horsham Local Plan. Part 1 of the document sets out those sites that are considered suitable for allocation for Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople use. Part 2 of this document sets out those sites that are not considered suitable for allocation for Gypsy & Traveller use.

Each site has been assessed using a consistent pro-forma which takes account of interviews carried out by Gypsy & Traveller consultants Opinion Research Services in autumn 2023; environmental constraints; proximity to services and facilities; public transport; and local amenity issues.

A red / amber / green rating has been used to assess impacts in Parts 1 and 2 as follows:

Low / no / positive impact
Medium impact
High / severe negative impact.

Tables 1 and 2 on pages 56 and 57 contain a summary of the proposed allocations and non-allocated sites.

Part One

Sites Proposed for Allocation

The following sites have been assessed as suitable for providing Gypsy and Traveller pitches and are proposed for allocation in the Regulation 19 draft Local Plan:

- 1. Land at Junction of Hill Farm Lane and Stane Street, Codmore Hill (Existing Site)
- 2. Fryern Park, Storrington (Existing Site)
- 3. Northside Farm, Rusper Road (Existing Site)
- 4. Southview, The Haven, Slinfold (Existing Site)
- 5. Sussex Topiary, Rudgwick (Existing Site)
- 6. Plot 3, Bramblefield Crays Lane, Thakeham (Existing Site)
- 7. Land at Girder Bridge, Gay Street Lane, Pulborough (New Site)
- 8. Land East of Billingshurst (Strategic Site Allocation)*
- 9. Land West of Ifield (Strategic Site Allocation)*
- 10. Land North West of Southwater (Strategic Site Allocation)*
- 11. Kingfisher Farm, West Chiltington Lane, Barns Green (Regularisation of Existing Site)
- 12. Land East of Coolham Road, Thakeham (Agricultural land, Unauthorised occupation)
- 13. Land North West of Junipers, Harbolets Road, West Chiltington (Agricultural land, Unauthorised Occupation)
- 14. Downsview Paddock, New Hall Lane, Small Dole (Agricultural land, Unauthorised occupation)
- TS1. Honeybridge Lane, Dial Post (Existing Site)

Part 1 of this document sets out the summary of the assessments for Proposed Allocations 1 to 7, 11- 14 and TS1.

*The assessment of the potential for Gypsy and Traveller accommodation within wider strategic allocations (Sites 8, 9 and 10) has been considered separately as part of the wider assessment of strategic sites through the Local Plan process. Sites 8, 9 and 10 have all been assessed as being capable of delivering a wide range of housing and supporting infrastructure provision, including provision for Gypsies and Travellers on site.

Part One

Sites Proposed for Allocation for Gypsy & Traveller & Travelling Showpeople Use

SITE	
BACKGROUND	
Site reference	1.
Site name and	Land at Junction of Hill Farm Lane and Stane Street, Pulborough, RH20
address	1BW
Site plan:	Image: Second
Site planning status	Authorised - Speculative
Planning history	Permission granted (DC/19/0845) for 2 pitches on 20 September 2019. Second application (DC/20/0636) for 4 pitches (an additional 2 pitches) was refused on 1 December 2020. Appeal was lodged 1 December 2020 and commenced 24 March 2021. The Appeal was allowed on 1 March 2022 but was subsequently quashed by the High Court on 13 July 2022 due to its failure to consider Natural England's "Water Neutrality Position Statement" issued on 14 September 2021.
GTAA 2023 pitch number	2
GTAA 2023 planning definition status	None – unimplemented and speculative
Additional current and future needs	0
Total pitch need 2023-28	0
Total pitch need 2028-40	0
Site area	3 ha
Site ownership	Private
DEVELOPMENT CONSTRAINTS	
Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty	No
Hatural Deauty	

SSSI	No
Ancient Woodland	No - There is a small row of TPO protected tress along the southern
	boundary of the site.
Local Wildlife Site	No but within Bat Sustenance Zone
Heritage Area	There is a grade II Listed cottage on the eastern side of Stane Street (Forge
	Cottage).
Flood zone	No
Air quality	No.
Gatwick	No
Safeguarding	
Within high	No
pressure gas	
pipeline	
safeguarding	
zone	
Mains water and	Yes
electricity available or	
accessible	
Sanitation	Yes
available or	
capable of being	
provided	
Provision for	Yes
surface water and	
storm water	
drainage	
Safe access to	Yes
highway network	
Primary school	Yes
within 2km	
GP surgery within	Yes
2km	
Shops within 2km	Yes Yes
Public transport route within 800m	Yes
Impact of site on	Low impact. While the site is located outside of the Built up area boundary
local character	of Codmore Hill, given its very close proximity, it is not considered to be in
and amenity	an isolated and 'rural' location. It is considered that the proposed pitches,
and amonity	parking areas and driveways that would be created would not be dissimilar
	to the existing hardstanding currently in place. The extensive soft boundary
	treatments on site would mean the site would be well screened, and the
	provision a of a day room would not appear as a prominent addition.
Impact of site on	Low impact. It is considered that the distances maintained to all
amenity of local	neighbouring properties would be appropriate and given the single storey
residents	nature of the proposed day room and boundary treatments, it is not
0.11	considered there would be issues of overshadowing or overlooking.
Site can provide	It is considered the boundary treatments would act as an appropriate visual
visual and	and acoustic barrier.
acoustic privacy Environmental	No known outstanding issues
concerns	No known outstanding issues
Constraints on	The major constraint is the Tree Preservation Order on the southern
design and layout	boundary of the site. Any development proposals would need to take
of the site	account of this designation as part of the proposed design and layout. This
	is not considered to be a showstopper particularly when taking account of
	the existing Gypsy and Traveller provision on the site.
L	

Could the site	Yes – 4 (2 in addition to the 2 existing)
meet current and	
future need?	
Could the site	N/A
only meet current need?	
Can the	N/A
configuration of the site be altered to meet future need?	
Are the residents in a position to take forward the planning application/deliver the site and intensification to meet planning conditions?	The fact that planning applications have recently been submitted suggests that the applicant is in a position to deliver the site. (Subject to water neutrality mitigation.)
Conclusion	It is considered appropriate to allocate this site (2 existing Gypsy and Traveller pitches) for an additional 2 pitches, making a total of 4 pitches. Whilst the Appeal that sought to allow an additional 2 pitches was quashed, this was solely due to failing to overcome "water neutrality" issues. Development on site is therefore considered appropriate, once the "water neutrality" issues have been resolved at a District-wide level.

SITE	
BACKGROUND	
Site reference	2.
Site name and address	Fryern Park Farm, Fryern Park, Fryern Road, Storrington, RH20 4FF
Site plan:	
	Image: Description of the De
Site planning status Planning history	Authorised Site was proposed for 3-5 pitches in HDC Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling
	on appeal on 4 November 2019 (DC/18/2084/FUL) have yet to be implemented. An application (DC/20/0600/FUL) for additional 2 pitches was refused by HDC on 27 July 2020 on the ground that " <i>The proposed development, by reason of its cumulative impact with the existing pitches in the vicinity of the site, would represent a harmful urbanising form of development which would be out of keeping with and detrimental to the <i>rural character of the countryside location, contrary to Policies 2, 23, 25, 26, 32 and 33 of the Horsham District Planning Framework (2015)</i>". An appeal was submitted on 13 November 2020, which started on 12 May 2021. The Appeal was allowed on 28 February 2022 but was subsequently quashed by the High Court on 11 July 2022 due to its failure to consider Natural England's Water Neutrality Position Statement issued in September 2021.</i>
GTAA 2023 pitch number	2
GTAA 2023 planning definition status	1 x meet planning definition, 1 x unimplemented
Additional current	1
and future needs	
Total pitch need 2023-2028	0 x current need
Total pitch need 2028-40	1 x new household formation
Site area	0.95 ha
Site ownership	Private
DEVELOPMENT CONSTRAINTS	
Area of Outstanding	No
Natural Beauty	
SSSI	No
Ancient Woodland	No
Local Wildlife Site	No but within Bat Sustenance Zone

Heritage Area	No
Flood zone	No
Air quality	There is an Air Quality management area in Storrington however this is
	assessed as a small negative impact due to the relatively limited scale of
	any development in this location.
Gatwick	No
Safeguarding	
Within high pressure	No
gas pipeline	
safeguarding zone	
Mains water and	Yes
electricity available	
or accessible	
Sanitation available	Yes
or capable of being	
provided	
Provision for surface	Yes
water and storm	
water drainage	
Safe access to	Yes
highway network	
Primary school	Yes
within 2km	
GP surgery within	Yes
2km	
Shops within 2km	Yes
Public transport	Yes
route within 800m	
Impact of site on	Low impact. A planning Inspector has found that the provision of 2
local character and	pitches on this site, even in combination with development on the
amenity	adjacent site, would have only a very limited visual effect on the
	landscape and one which would not compromise the 'green gap'
	designated in the made Storrington, Sullington & Washington
	Neighbourhood Plan. There is mature tree screening on the eastern
	side of the site, beside Fryern Road., albeit that this can be sporadic in
	places. In addition, there are mature trees on the southern boundary of
	the application site that could be retained. Views to the site from a
	westerly and northerly direction are relatively open however and would
	require a degree of screening, given the site's location in the
	countryside.
Impact of site on	Low impact. Site is located in the countryside to the west of Fryern
amenity of local	Road and approximately 100m to the west of the nearest residential
residents	property.
Site can provide visual and acoustic	The residential area of the site is located approximately 180m to the
	west of Fryern Road
privacy Environmental	No known issues
concerns	
Constraints on	There is a public right of way to the immediate south of the site, which
design and layout of	would require adequate screening. Details of proposed design and
the site	layout of the residential area would be required.
Could the site meet	Yes -4 (2 in addition to the 2 existing).
current and future	
need?	
Could the site only	N/A
meet current need?	
Can the	Yes
configuration of the	

site be altered to meet future need?	
Are the residents in a position to take forward the planning application/deliver the site and intensification to meet planning conditions?	The fact that the appellants have submitted a planning application and pursued an appeal suggests that they are in a position to deliver the site. (Subject to water neutrality mitigation.)
Conclusion	It is considered appropriate to allocate this site (which already has permission for 2 Gypsy and Traveller pitches on adjacent land) for an additional 2 pitches, making a total of 4 pitches. Whilst the Appeal that sought to allow 2 pitches was quashed, this was solely due to "water neutrality" issues. Development on site is therefore considered appropriate, once the "water neutrality" issues have been resolved at a District-wide level.

SITE	
BACKGROUND	
Site reference	3.
Site name and	Northside Farm, Rusper Road, Ifield
address	
Site plan	
	Image: Subject Control Image: Subject Control Image: Subject Control Image: Subject Control
Site planning status	Authorised
Planning history	The site received permission in June 2015 (DC/14/2385) for 1 pitch (a
	maximum of 3 caravans). The site is tied by a personal occupancy condition to the applicant and his family only.
GTAA 2023 Pitch	1
Number	
GTAA 2023	1 x meets planning definition
planning	
definition status	
Additional	3 x current need
current and	
future needs	
Total pitch need 2023-2028	3
Total pitch need	0
2028-40	
Site area	3.2 ha
Site ownership	Private
DEVLOPMENT CONSTRAINTS	
Area of	No
Outstanding	
Natural beauty	
SSSI	No
Ancient	No
Woodland	
Local Wildlife	No
Site	
Heritage Area	No
Flood Zone	No

Air quality	No
Air quality Gatwick	No. No
Safeguarding	
Within high	No
pressure gas	NO
pipeline	
safeguarding	
zone	
Mains water and	Yes
electricity	
available or	
accessible	
Sanitation	Yes
available or	
capable of being	
provided	
Provision for	Yes
surface water	
and storm water	
drainage	
Safe access to	Yes
highway network	
Primary school	Yes
within 2km	
GP surgery	Yes
within 2km	
Shops within	Yes
2km	
Public transport	No. The site is however relatively close (approx. 3km) from Crawley which
within 800m	has good public transport access. The proposed West of Ifield strategic
	allocation would bring the urban area of Crawley nearer the site.
Impact of site on	Medium impact. The site is located within the open countryside, however it
local character	contains a number of rural buildings on site in varying states of repair, which
and amenity	is where the residential accommodation is located. The site is screened by
	mature trees that border Burnthouse Lane to the southern boundary,
	although some views are possible. However, given the buildings on site and the location of the caravans to the northern boundary of the site, additional
	I The location of the calavans to the normern boundary of the site additional
	development in this location will reduce any harm to the character of the
Impact of site of	development in this location will reduce any harm to the character of the area.
Impact of site of	development in this location will reduce any harm to the character of the area. Low impact. The nearest residential properties are located approximately
amenity of local	development in this location will reduce any harm to the character of the area.
amenity of local residents	development in this location will reduce any harm to the character of the area. Low impact. The nearest residential properties are located approximately 250m to the west.
amenity of local	development in this location will reduce any harm to the character of the area. Low impact. The nearest residential properties are located approximately
amenity of local residents Site can provide visual and	development in this location will reduce any harm to the character of the area.Low impact. The nearest residential properties are located approximately 250m to the west.It is considered the boundary treatments would act as an appropriate visual
amenity of local residents Site can provide	development in this location will reduce any harm to the character of the area.Low impact. The nearest residential properties are located approximately 250m to the west.It is considered the boundary treatments would act as an appropriate visual
amenity of local residents Site can provide visual and acoustic privacy	development in this location will reduce any harm to the character of the area.Low impact. The nearest residential properties are located approximately 250m to the west.It is considered the boundary treatments would act as an appropriate visual and acoustic barrier.
amenity of local residents Site can provide visual and acoustic privacy Environmental	development in this location will reduce any harm to the character of the area.Low impact. The nearest residential properties are located approximately 250m to the west.It is considered the boundary treatments would act as an appropriate visual and acoustic barrier.
amenity of local residents Site can provide visual and acoustic privacy Environmental concerns Constraints on design and	development in this location will reduce any harm to the character of the area.Low impact. The nearest residential properties are located approximately 250m to the west.It is considered the boundary treatments would act as an appropriate visual and acoustic barrier.No known issues
amenity of local residents Site can provide visual and acoustic privacy Environmental concerns Constraints on design and layout of the site	development in this location will reduce any harm to the character of the area.Low impact. The nearest residential properties are located approximately 250m to the west.It is considered the boundary treatments would act as an appropriate visual and acoustic barrier.No known issuesNo constraints although details of proposed design and layout of the residential area would be required.
amenity of local residents Site can provide visual and acoustic privacy Environmental concerns Constraints on design and layout of the site Could the site	development in this location will reduce any harm to the character of the area.Low impact. The nearest residential properties are located approximately 250m to the west.It is considered the boundary treatments would act as an appropriate visual and acoustic barrier.No known issuesNo constraints although details of proposed design and layout of the
amenity of local residents Site can provide visual and acoustic privacy Environmental concerns Constraints on design and layout of the site meet current and	development in this location will reduce any harm to the character of the area.Low impact. The nearest residential properties are located approximately 250m to the west.It is considered the boundary treatments would act as an appropriate visual and acoustic barrier.No known issuesNo constraints although details of proposed design and layout of the residential area would be required.
amenity of local residents Site can provide visual and acoustic privacy Environmental concerns Constraints on design and layout of the site Could the site meet current and future need	development in this location will reduce any harm to the character of the area.Low impact. The nearest residential properties are located approximately 250m to the west.It is considered the boundary treatments would act as an appropriate visual and acoustic barrier.No known issuesNo constraints although details of proposed design and layout of the residential area would be required.Yes – 4 (3 in addition to the 1 existing).
amenity of local residents Site can provide visual and acoustic privacy Environmental concerns Constraints on design and layout of the site Could the site meet current and future need Could the site	development in this location will reduce any harm to the character of the area.Low impact. The nearest residential properties are located approximately 250m to the west.It is considered the boundary treatments would act as an appropriate visual and acoustic barrier.No known issuesNo constraints although details of proposed design and layout of the residential area would be required.
amenity of local residents Site can provide visual and acoustic privacy Environmental concerns Constraints on design and layout of the site Could the site meet current and future need Could the site only meet current	development in this location will reduce any harm to the character of the area.Low impact. The nearest residential properties are located approximately 250m to the west.It is considered the boundary treatments would act as an appropriate visual and acoustic barrier.No known issuesNo constraints although details of proposed design and layout of the residential area would be required.Yes – 4 (3 in addition to the 1 existing).
amenity of local residents Site can provide visual and acoustic privacy Environmental concerns Constraints on design and layout of the site Could the site meet current and future need Could the site only meet current need	development in this location will reduce any harm to the character of the area.Low impact. The nearest residential properties are located approximately 250m to the west.It is considered the boundary treatments would act as an appropriate visual and acoustic barrier.No known issuesNo constraints although details of proposed design and layout of the residential area would be required.Yes – 4 (3 in addition to the 1 existing).n/a
amenity of local residents Site can provide visual and acoustic privacy Environmental concerns Constraints on design and layout of the site Could the site meet current and future need Could the site only meet current need Can the	development in this location will reduce any harm to the character of the area.Low impact. The nearest residential properties are located approximately 250m to the west.It is considered the boundary treatments would act as an appropriate visual and acoustic barrier.No known issuesNo constraints although details of proposed design and layout of the residential area would be required.Yes – 4 (3 in addition to the 1 existing).
amenity of local residents Site can provide visual and acoustic privacy Environmental concerns Constraints on design and layout of the site Could the site meet current and future need Could the site only meet current need	development in this location will reduce any harm to the character of the area.Low impact. The nearest residential properties are located approximately 250m to the west.It is considered the boundary treatments would act as an appropriate visual and acoustic barrier.No known issuesNo constraints although details of proposed design and layout of the residential area would be required.Yes – 4 (3 in addition to the 1 existing).n/a

altered to meet future need	
Are the residents in a position to take forward the planning application / deliver the site and intensification to meet planning conditions	Yes – the owner has informed the Council that her three adult children will be applying for planning permission for pitches. (Subject to water neutrality mitigation.)
Conclusion	It is considered appropriate to allocate this site (1 existing Gypsy and Traveller pitch) for an additional 3 pitches to meet identified family needs, making a total of 4 pitches.

SITE	
BACKGROUND	
Site reference	4.
Site name and	Southview, The Haven, Slinfold
address	
Site plan:	
	Horsham District Council Local Plan Review 2023 The Haven, Slinfold Barbana Colds: Director of Race Reproduced by participant and schemer rights (2023). Ordnance Survey Licence: Accounterson in the full Ordnance Survey Licence: Accounterson in the full Reference No : Drawn : Drawn : Drawn : Checked : Revisions :
0:4	
Site planning status	Authorised (1), Unauthorised (4)
Planning history	1 single pitch has permanent planning permission. Site is allocated for 4 pitches in Policy 21 of the HDPF to authorise the 4 existing pitches on the site.
GTAA 2023 pitch number	5 - Authorised (1), Unauthorised (4)
GTAA 2023 planning definition status	5 x meet planning definition
Additional current and future needs	3
Total pitch need 2023-28	3
Total pitch need 2028-2040	0
Site area	3.2 ha
Site ownership	Private
DEVELOPMENT CONSTRAINTS	
Area of	No
Outstanding	
Natural Beauty	No
SSSI Ancient Woodland	No The site is surrounded to the parth, east and south by Appiant Woodland
Ancient Woodland Local Wildlife Site	The site is surrounded to the north, east and south by Ancient Woodland. No but the site is within a Bat Sustenance Zone.
Heritage Area	No but the site is within a Bat Sustenance Zone.
Flood zone	No
Air quality	No.
Gatwick	No
Safeguarding	

Within high	Ne
Within high	No
pressure gas	
pipeline	
safeguarding zone	Vac
Mains water and	Yes
electricity available	
or accessible	
Sanitation	Yes
available or	
capable of being	
provided Provision for	Yes
surface water and	res
storm water	
drainage	
Safe access to	Yes
highway network Primary school	Yes
within 2km	
GP surgery within	Yes
2km	
Shops within 2km	Yes
Public transport	Yes
route within 800m	
Impact of site on	Low impact. Residential development is well screened by mature trees on the
local character and	boundary of the site.
amenity	
Impact of site on	Low impact. The nearest residential properties is a Gypsy and Traveller site
amenity of local	(Cousins Copse, county-run with 12 pitches) to the immediate south;
residents	otherwise it is sporadic residential development in the area.
Site can provide	It is considered the boundary treatments would act as an appropriate visual
visual and acoustic	and acoustic barrier.
privacy	
Environmental	No known issues
concerns	
Constraints on	No constraints although details of proposed design and layout of the
design and layout	residential area would be required.
of the site	
Could the site meet	Yes – 5 (1 authorised and 4 unauthorised)
current and future	
need?	
Could the site only	N/A
meet current	
need?	
Can the	Yes
configuration of	
the site be altered	
to meet future	
need? Are the residents	There is potential for the site owner to apply for planning permission to
	There is potential for the site owner to apply for planning permission to
in a position to take forward the	regularise the 4 unauthorised pitches. (Subject to water neutrality mitigation.)
planning	
application/deliver	
the site and	
intensification to	
meet planning	
conditions?	

Conclusion	It is considered appropriate to allocate this site (1 existing Gypsy and Traveller
	pitch) for an additional 4 pitches regularising the currently unauthorised
	pitches to formalise these existing needs. This would make a total of 5 pitches
	on the site.

SITE BACKGROUND	
Site reference	5.
Site name and	Sussex Topiary, Naldretts Lane, Rudgwick, RH12 3BU
address	
Site plan:	Homeon Environmentation Local Plan Review 2023 Rudgwick Tensore thy permission of chargers are unsufficient.
	religibilities of primersion of primersindef primersion of primersion of primersion of primersion
Site planning status	Authorised
Planning history	 DC/13/2170 refused on 14 March 2014 for 4 pitches. Allowed on appeal on 8 February 2016. DC/19/1362 "Retrospective change of use of land for the stationing of caravans for residential purposes for four gypsy pitches, along with the formation of hardstanding and four utility/ day rooms ancillary to that use". Permitted 1st October 2019. An application for a further 8 pitches (on an adjacent field), was refused at Committee (DC/19/0897) on 1 October 2019. No appeal was lodged. A further application for an additional 4 pitches was also refused (DC/19/2309/FUL) on 7 January 2020 (on an adjacent field) on the grounds of over-intensification in the countryside. An appeal for this refusal was lodged on 8th June 2020. Appeal lodged and commenced on 1 February 2021 – dismissed 7 November 2022, however this was solely on the grounds of "water neutrality" issues.
GTAA 2023 pitch	4
number GTAA 2023 planning definition status	2 x meet planning definition, 2 x do not meet planning definition
Additional current and future needs	11-5 x current need, 2 x 5-year need, 4 x new household formation
Total pitch need 2023-28	7
Total pitch need 2028-40	4
Site area	3.4 ha
Site ownership	Private
DEVELOPMENT CONSTRAINTS	

Amon of	M.
Area of	No
Outstanding	
Natural Beauty	
SSSI	No
Ancient Woodland	No.
Local Wildlife Site	No but the site is within a Bat Sustenance Zone.
Heritage Area	No
Flood zone	No
Air quality	No.
Gatwick	No
Safeguarding	
Within high	No
pressure gas	
pipeline	
safeguarding	
zone	
Mains water and	Yes
electricity	
available or	
accessible	
Sanitation	Yes
available or	
capable of being	
provided	
Provision for	Yes
surface water and	
storm water	
drainage	
Safe access to	Yes
highway network	
Primary school	Yes
within 2km	
GP surgery within	Yes
2km	
Shops within 2km	Yes
Public transport	Yes
route within 800m	
Impact of site on	Low impact. Residential development is well screened by mature trees on
local character	the boundary of the site.
and amenity	
Impact of site on	Low impact. The nearest residential properties are approximately 240m
amenity of local	away; there is sporadic residential development and the nearest residential
residents	settlement is Bucks Green, which is approximately 0.95km to the north.
Site can provide	It is considered the boundary treatments would act as an appropriate visual
visual and	and acoustic barrier.
acoustic privacy	
Environmental	No known issues
concerns	
Constraints on	No constraints although details of proposed design and layout of the
design and layout	residential area would be required.
of the site	
Could the site	Yes – 12 (8 in addition to the 4 existing).
meet current and	
future need?	
Could the site	N/A
only meet current	
need?	
Can the	Yes
configuration of	
soninguration of	1

the site be altered to meet future need?	
Are the residents in a position to take forward the planning application/deliver the site and intensification to meet planning conditions?	Yes – the owners appealed the decision which refused the application for four additional pitches. They state that they have room to accommodate a further four pitches on the site in the future giving a total of 12 pitches for family use. They are currently landscaping the site and can afford to carry out any necessary works. (Subject to water neutrality mitigation.)
Conclusion	It is considered appropriate to allocate this site (which already has permission for 4 Gypsy and Traveller pitches) for an additional 8 pitches, making a total of 12 pitches. It is considered that adding an additional 11 pitches would represent over-development of the site. Whilst the Appeal that sought to allow 4 additional pitches was dismissed, this was solely due to "water neutrality" issues. Development on site is therefore considered appropriate, once the "water neutrality" issues have been resolved at a District-wide level.

SITE BACKGROUND	
Site reference	6.
Site name and	Plot 3 Bramblefield, Crays Lane, Thakeham
address	
Site plan:	Image: Control of the contro
Site planning status	Authorised
Planning history	DC/18/1543. Application refused 21st December 2018. Appeal allowed 7
GTAA 2023 pitch	February 2020.
number	
GTAA 2023 planning	1 x no contact
definition status	
Additional current	n/a – no one to interview
and future needs	
Total pitch need 2023-28	n/a no one to interview
Total pitch need	n/a no one to interview
2028-40	
Site area	0.34ha
Site ownership	Private
DEVELOPMENT CONSTRAINTS	
Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty	No
SSSI	No
Ancient Woodland	No.
Local Wildlife Site	No but the site is within a Bat Sustenance Zone.
Heritage Area	No
Flood zone	No
Air quality	No.
Gatwick	No
Safeguarding	No
Within high pressure gas pipeline	No
safeguarding zone	
Mains water and	Yes
electricity available	
or accessible	

Constation available	Vee
Sanitation available	Yes
or capable of being	
provided	
Provision for surface	Yes
water and storm	
water drainage	
Safe access to	Yes
highway network	
Primary school	No – approximately 3km to the south in Storrington
within 2km	
GP surgery within	No – approximately 4km to the south in Storrington
2km	
Shops within 2km	Yes
	Yes
Public transport route within 800m	
Impact of site on	Low impact. Inspector found that proposal for pitch would not harm the
local character and	character and appearance of the area.
amenity	
Impact of site on	Low impact. The gap between the proposed mobile home /day room and
amenity of local	front of neighbouring building would be approximately 120m, which is
residents	considered sufficient to ensure no significant harm to adjoining properties.
Site can provide	The application site does not display the open characteristics of the
visual and acoustic	surrounding landscape and is not particularly visible except from the
privacy	adjoining access road, due to the subdivision of sites and enclosed nature
	of boundaries.
Environmental	No known issues.
concerns	
Constraints on	No constraints although details of proposed design and layout of the
design and layout of	residential area would be required.
the site	
Could the site meet	Yes – 4 (3 in addition to the 1 existing).
	1 = 5 = 4 (5 if audition to the 1 existing).
current and future	
need?	
Could the site only	N/A
meet current need?	
Can the	Yes
configuration of the	
site be altered to	
meet future need?	
Are the residents in	Yes – The site currently has permission for 1 mobile and a dayroom. Land
a position to take	is available and the owner's family are in a position to seek permission for
forward the planning	a further four pitches in the future. (Subject to water neutrality mitigation.)
application/deliver	
the site and	
intensification to	
meet planning	
conditions?	
Conclusion	Noone was able to be interviewed for the GTAA 2023. The interview
	carried out on site for the GTAA 2020, identified a total future need for 3
	pitches, comprising 1 within the 5 year period and 2 as part of new
	household formation. It is considered appropriate to allocate this site (1
	existing Gypsy and Traveller pitch) for an additional 3 pitches, making a
	total of 4 pitches.

SITE	
BACKGROUND	
Site reference	7.
Site name and	Land North of Girder Bridge, Gay Street Lane, North Heath RH20 2HW
address	
Site plan:	North Heath Pulborough Hypodaed puremeased Drawnas cherking: Hypodaed puremeased Drawnas cherking: Determine To: Determine To:
Site planning status	Site in agricultural use
Planning history	No planning history on site.
GTAA 2023 pitch	N/A
number	
GTAA 2023	N/A
planning definition	
status	
Additional current	N/A
and future needs	
Total pitch need	N/A
2023-28	
Total pitch need 2028-40	N/A
Site area	0.63 ha
Site ownership	Private
DEVELOPMENT CONSTRAINTS	
Area of	No
Outstanding	
Natural Beauty	
SSSI	No
Ancient Woodland	No
Local Wildlife Site	No
Heritage Area	No
Flood zone	No
Air quality	No
Gatwick	No
Safeguarding	No
Within high	No
pressure gas pipeline	
safeguarding zone	
careguarany 2011e	

Mains water and	Assumed yes given the adjoining property Girder Bridge is in the owner's
electricity	control where there is a water and electricity supply.
available or	
accessible	
Sanitation	Assumed yes given the adjoining property Girder Bridge is in the owner's
available or	control where there is a water and electricity supply.
capable of being	
provided	
Provision for	Yes – surrounding area is permeable and area not at risk of flooding.
surface water and	
storm water	
drainage	
Safe access to	The access track from Gay Street Lane is not in the landowner's ownership but
highway network	a claimed right of way exists.
Primary school	No. The closest primary school, St Mary's C of E Primary in Pulborough is 2
within 2km	miles (3km) away.
GP surgery within	No. Pulborough Medical Group, Spiro Close is 2 miles (3 km) away.
2km	
Shops within 2km	Yes – there is a Sainsbury's 1 mile away at Codmore Hill.
Public transport	Yes 600m away at Stane Street
route within 800m	
Impact of site on	Low impact. There is a Public Right of Way running along the western edge of
local character	the site, meaning there is likely to be some impact on users of this access,
and amenity	however there is some development to the south of the site already so the
	change in perception of the site from the highway would be minimal.
Impact of site on	Medium impact. The closest residential properties lie approximately 100m from
amenity of local	the centre of the site to the south west. The railway bridge also passes to the
residents	immediate east of the site. There are open fields and at least a single tree line
	between the site and dwellings to the immediate south west. There is also a
	mature tree line between the site and the public right of way to the west. None
	of the trees are protected.
Site can provide	There is the potential for further boundary treatments to provide more privacy,
visual and	though this may be somewhat limited by the PRoW to the west which passes
acoustic privacy	close to the site. There may also be potential for noise impacts from the
E	adjacent railway line.
Environmental	Potential for ground contamination issues given proximity to railway line.
concerns	
Constraints on	Layout and boundary treatments would need to take the Public Right of Way
design and layout	running along the western edge of the site into consideration. The proximity of
of the site	the railway line and the potential impact of noise from this location may also
Could the site	require mitigation in terms of design and layout.
meet current and	
future need?	
Could the site only	N/A
meet current	
need?	
Can the	Yes – there is plenty of space for 5 Gypsy and Traveller pitches on site. There
configuration of	is one mature tree on site, which is not protected.
the site be altered	······································
to meet future	
need?	
Are the residents	The planning agent has stated that around 8 gypsy and traveller pitches could
in a position to	be provided on the land. Each pitch would have space for a mobile home,
take forward the	parking for 2 cars, space for a touring caravan and possibly a dayroom.
planning	
application/deliver	Taking account of the relative distance from services and facilities, and the
the site and	undeveloped nature of this site, it is considered that 5 pitches would be a more
intensification to	

meet planning conditions?	appropriate number, given the total space that is required for each pitch. (Subject to water neutrality mitigation.)
Conclusion	It is considered appropriate to allocate this site (0 existing Gypsy and Traveller
	pitches) for 5 Gypsy and Traveller pitches.

NB The following site references relate to Strategic Site Allocations and have been assessed as such separately:

- 8. Land East of Billingshurst,
- 9. Land West of Ifield, and
- **10. Land North West of Southwater.**

SITE	
BACKGROUND	
Site reference	
Site name and	Kingfisher Farm, West Chiltington Lane, Barns Green, RH14 9DR
address Site plan:	
	Image: Second Se Second Second Sec
	Parkside, Chert Way, Horstwam LOCal Plain Review 2023 West Stanse HH12 RV Kingfisher Farm Barbara Childs : Diroctor of Placo Kingfisher Farm rd HNS0 : C Crown complifyed and database refits (2023). Ordnance Survey Likence. AC000820204 Reference No :: Date : 17 November 2023 14,000 ut A4 Diswing No :: Diswin : Checked :: Revisions :
Site planning status	Site to be regularised
Planning history	 DC/10/1041 Use of the land for the stationing of caravans for residential purposes or 11 no. gypsy pitches together with the formation of additional hard standing and utility/dayrooms ancillary to that use. Refused 9 March 2011. Appeal Allowed 19 December 2011 (Appeal Ref: APP/ Z3825/A/11/2150329). DC/17/1184 Variation of conditions 3, 11 and 13 relating to Appeal Reference Number:APP/Z3825/A/11/2150329 (Use of land for the stationing of caravans for residential purposes for 11 gypsy pitches together with the formation of additional hard standing and utility/day rooms ancillary to that use). Declined 5 December 2017. Appeal Dismissed 17 September 2018 (Appeal Ref: APP/Z3825/A/11/2150329. Refused 21 July 2017. Appeal determined on 17 September 2018 that the DC/10/1041 proposal was not lawfully commenced and was no longer extant. (Appeal Ref: APP/Z3825/W/17/3188945) DC/19/1205 Change of use of land for the stationing of 11 pitches for residential purposes. To contain 11 static caravans, 11 touring caravans, 11 dayrooms, parking for associated vehicles, hard standing and associated infrastructure. Permitted 11 November 2019 DC/21/1141 Change of use of the land and retention of 11 No. gypsy mobile residential units and 11No. touring caravans on the site, full drainage and car parking and bin storage and hard and soft landscaping. (Pending / Water Neutrality).
	Also subject to an enforcement notice and subsequent appeal (Ref: EN/16/0092 and APP/Z3825/C/16/3153910 & APP/Z3825/C/16/3153915)
GTAA 2023 pitch number	11

GTAA 2023	6 meet definition; 1 x no contact; 4 x vacant
planning definition	
status	
Additional current	16
and future needs	
Total pitch need	8 – 5 X current and 3 X 5 year
2023-2028	
Total pitch need	8
2028-2040	
Site area	1.4 ha
Site ownership	Private
DEVELOPMENT CONSTRAINTS	
Area of	No
Outstanding	
Natural Beauty	
SSSI	No
Ancient Woodland	No
Local Wildlife Site	No
Heritage Area	No
Flood zone	No
Air quality	No
Gatwick	No
Safeguarding	
Within high	No
pressure gas	
pipeline	
safeguarding zone	
Mains water and	Assumed yes given the current unauthorised use.
electricity	
available or	
accessible	
Sanitation	Assumed yes given the current unauthorised use.
available or	
capable of being	
provided	
Provision for	Yes.
surface water and	
storm water	
drainage	
Safe access to	Yes
highway network	The closest primery acheal, Dama Crean Drimery Caheal is just aver Olymphy
Primary school within 2km	The closest primary school, Barns Green Primary School is just over 2km by road. The distance is below 2km via narrow rural road and rural largely
	untarmacked public right of ways.
GP surgery within	No. Billingshurst Doctors Surgery, Roman Way is over 2 miles (3 km) away.
2km	
Shops within 2km	The closest shop is in Barns Green just over 2km by road. The distance is
	below 2km via road and rural largely untarmacked footpath. Billingshurst's
	centre is just over 3km away.
Public transport	No
route within 800m	
Impact of site on	Low impact. Both 2011 and 2018 Inspectors acknowledged the proposal would
local character	result in harm to landscape character. However, the 2011 clearly judged the
and amenity	degree of harm would not be great (e.g. not unacceptable).
Impact of site on	Medium impact. The closest residential properties lie approximately 100m from
amenity of local	the nearest border of the site to the south and south east, these are in part
residents	screened by a hedgerow alongside a road. There is another property over

4.50 m average to the exact and aimilarly machile homeon to the exactly and exactly
150m away to the east and similarly mobile homes to the south and south
west, these are separated from the site by a field.
Yes.
Drainage issues
Constraints are development management matters relating to turning and
access facilities, drainage strategy, refuse / recycling strategy.
Yes – 11
N/A
N/A as regularisation of site
Yes (Subject to water neutrality mitigation.)
It is considered appropriate to allocate this site for 11 Gypsy and Traveller
pitches, in line with the most recent planning permission.

SITE	
BACKGROUND	
Site reference	12.
Site name and	Land East of Coolham Road, Thakeham, RH20 2LT
address	
Site plan:	Image: Service of Orlinana Bung rate on Mark Image: Service Interest of Orlinana Bung rate on Mark Image: Service Interest of Orlinana Bung rate on Mark Image: Imag
Site planning status	Agricultural – with unauthorised occupation
Planning history	DC/18/1488. Application for gypsy accommodation for 1 pitch with associated stable block refused 4 March 2019. Appeal dismissed 30 May 2023 on water neutrality biodiversity grounds (Appeal Ref: APP/Z3825/W/19/3228245)
GTAA 2023 pitch number	1
GTAA 2023 planning definition status	1 meets definition
Additional current and future needs	1
Total pitch need 2023-28	0
Total pitch need 2028-2040	1
Site area	0.89 ha
Site ownership	Private
DEVELOPMENT CONSTRAINTS	
Area of	No
Outstanding	
Natural Beauty	
SSSI	No
Ancient Woodland	The site lies to the south of an adjacent Ancient Woodland and the site's south eastern corner lies just over 10m from another.
Local Wildlife Site	No
Heritage Area	No
Flood zone	No
Air quality	No
Gatwick	No
Safeguarding	
Within high	No
pressure gas	

pipeline	
safeguarding zone	
Mains water and	Assumed yes given the Inspector noted there is a stable block and single
electricity	caravan on site to which the appellants moved to in December 2020.
available or	
accessible	
Sanitation	Assumed yes given the Inspector noted there is a stable block and single
available or	caravan on site to which the appellants moved to in December 2020.
capable of being	
provided	
Provision for	Assumed yes given the Inspector noted there is a stable block and single
surface water and	caravan on site to which the appellants moved to in December 2020. The
storm water	surrounding area is at low risk of surface water flooding.
drainage	
Safe access to	Yes via a track off Coolham Road.
highway network	
Primary school	No, however, West Chiltington Community School (primary school) lies around
within 2km	2.7km away by road. The primary schools in Storrington and Ashington lie
	over 5km away by road.
GP surgery within	No
2km	
Shops within 2km	Yes. Abingworth Fields development, which includes a retail store, lies
	approximately 0.9km away.
Public transport	No
route within 800m	
Impact of site on	Low Impact. Inspector found that the proposal would not have an
local character	unacceptable impact on the character and appearance of the landscape.
and amenity	
Impact of site on	Low impact. The site lies about 140 metres south of the nearest residential
amenity of local	property and a cluster of some 7 properties. It is well-defined on all sides by
residents	established field boundaries, including substantial tree cover along the east,
0:1	west and north sides.
Site can provide visual and	Yes.
acoustic privacy Environmental	No known issues.
	NO KHOWH ISSUES.
concerns Constraints on	No constraints other than normal development management matters relating to
design and layout	turning and access facilities, drainage strategy, refuse / recycling strategy,
of the site	amenity and suitable regard to landscape.
Could the site	Yes - 2
meet current and	
future need?	
Could the site only	N/A
meet current	
need?	
Can the	Yes – there is plenty of space within the site.
configuration of	
the site be altered	
to meet future	
need?	
Are the residents	Yes. (Subject to water neutrality mitigation.)
in a position to	
take forward the	
planning	
application/deliver	
the site and	
intensification to	

meet planning conditions?	
Conclusion	It is considered appropriate to allocate this site for 2 Gypsy and Traveller
	pitches, subject to the satisfactory water neutrality mitigation.

SITE	
BACKGROUND	
Site reference	13.
Site name and	Land North West of Junipers, Harbolets Road, West Chiltington, RH20
address	2LG
Site plan:	Image: Second
	of HMSO, © Crown copyright and distalase rights (2023). Ordnance Survey Liberca. AC0000E20201 Drawing No : Drawn : Checked : Revisions :
Site planning status	Agricultural – with unauthorised occupation
Planning history	 DC/23/1135 Change of use of land for the stationing of caravans for residential purposes and the erection of dayrooms ancillary to that use (Retrospective – seeking retention of two existing pitches, in addition to two touring caravans and associated day rooms and landscaping works). Refused 8 September 2023 on water neutrality grounds. (Invalid application - DC/20/1796 Change of use of the land to residential for a Gypsy site. Construction of two static Caravans and two touring caravans. Creation of parking for four vehicles with associated hardstanding and infrastructure.) Also subject to an enforcement notice and subsequent appeal (Ref: EN/20/0471 and APP/Z3825/C21/3271264 & APP/Z3825/C/21/3271265)
GTAA 2023 pitch	2
number GTAA 2023 planning definition status	1 x meet definition; 1 does not meet definition
Additional current and future needs	2
Total pitch need 2023-28	0
Total pitch need 2028-40	2
Site area	0.2 ha
Site ownership	Private
DEVELOPMENT CONSTRAINTS	

Area of	No
Area of	No
Outstanding	
Natural Beauty	Na
SSSI	No
Ancient Woodland	No
Local Wildlife Site	No
Heritage Area	No (The Grade II Clayes Farmhouse lies just over 150m to the south west)
Flood zone	No
Air quality	No
Gatwick	No
Safeguarding	
Within high	No
pressure gas	
pipeline	
safeguarding zone	
Mains water and	Assumed yes given the retrospective planning application.
electricity	
available or	
accessible	
Sanitation	Assumed yes given the retrospective planning application.
available or	
capable of being	
provided	
Provision for	The site lies within a very low surface water and river flood risk area and is
surface water and	unlikely to be at risk from flooding from a reservoir or groundwater
storm water	
drainage	
Safe access to	The Inspector concluded that highway matters could be adequately addressed
highway network	by way of condition.
Primary school	No. West Chiltington Community School (primary school) lies 3.5km away by
within 2km	road and the primary School in Billingshurst is around 5km away.
GP surgery within	No. The surgery in Billingshurst is around 5km away.
2km	
Shops within 2km	No
Public transport	No.
route within 800m	
Impact of site on	The Inspector concluded that the use does amount to some modest harm to
local character	the intrinsic character and beauty of the landscape. However, the Inspector
and amenity	was compelled by the appellant's personal matters and concluded that these
	would outweigh the harm identified. This was accepted within the
	determination of planning application DC/23/1135 on the basis that the
	provision of additional hedgerow and tree planting, and detailed hard and soft
Import of alta an	landscaping information could be subject to condition.
Impact of site on	Low impact. This is due to the degree of separation from nearby dwellings and
amenity of local residents	the presence of intervening features and the ability for planning conditions to regulate lighting, construction and business activity, and require addition
IESIUEIIIS	
Site can provide	screening. Low impact. This is due to the degree of separation from nearby dwellings and
Site can provide visual and	the presence of intervening features and the ability for planning conditions to
acoustic privacy	regulate lighting, construction and business activity, and require addition
acoustic privacy	screening.
Environmental	No known issues.
concerns	
Constraints on	Layout and boundary treatments would need to take landscape mitigation and
design and layout	vehicular access requirements into consideration.
of the site	
Could the site	Yes - 3
meet current and	
future need?	

Could the site only meet current need?	Yes
Can the configuration of the site be altered to meet future need?	Yes (N/A given retrospective application)
Are the residents in a position to take forward the planning application/deliver the site and intensification to meet planning conditions?	Yes. (Subject to water neutrality mitigation.)
Conclusion	It is considered appropriate to allocate this site for 3 Gypsy and Traveller pitches, subject to the satisfactory water neutrality mitigation.

SITE	
BACKGROUND	
Site reference	
Site name and	Downsview Paddock, New Hall Lane, Small Dole, BN5 9YJ
address Site plan:	
	Image: Construction of the construc
	Didhunoa Survey Loanoa: AC0000820291 Drawing No : Drawn : Checked : Revisions :
Site planning status	Agricultural with unauthorised occupation
	 relating to unauthorised change of use from agricultural and equestrian use to the stationing of caravans for residential occupation and associated occupational development of hardstanding. Appeal Dismissed on water neutrality grounds on 10 November 2023(Ref: APP/Z3825/C21/3280649) DC/19/2034 sought 1 x 2 storey dwelling. Refused 31 March 2020. Appeal Dismissed 15 December 2020 (Ref: APP/Z3825/W/20/3253186) DC/17/2258 sought outline consent for one dwelling. Refused 22 February 2018. Prior to this, between 1995 and 2011 there have been a number of planning application refusals relating to the demolition of nursery buildings and the erection of 1 or more dwellings or employment with living accommodation. Some were appealed and dismissed. A 2014 Inspectors report suggests that between 2011 and 2014 the buildings on site had been demolished (although in 2017 a stable block was detailed as being onsite in application form whilst the Inspector in 2020 noted a field shelter and mobile home onsite.).
GTAA 2023 pitch	0
number	N/A
GTAA 2023 planning definition	N/A
status	
Additional current	N/A
and future needs	
Total pitch need 2023-28	N/A
Total pitch need 2028-2040	1
Site area	0.16 ha
Site ownership	Private

DEVELOPMENT	
CONSTRAINTS	
Area of	No
Outstanding	
Natural Beauty	
SSSI	No
Ancient Woodland	No
Local Wildlife Site	No
Heritage Area	No - It should however be noted that the land to the west lies within an
	Archaeological Notification Area and, screened by trees, the Grade II Garden Walls & Bee Boles and New Hall lie around 50-80m respectively to the south
	wais a bee boles and New Hail lie around 50-60m respectively to the south west.
Flood zone	No
Air quality	No
Gatwick	No
Safeguarding	
Within high	No
pressure gas	
pipeline	
safeguarding zone	Accuracy to a since the former second s
Mains water and	Assumed yes given the former nursery use of the site and residential properties near the site.
electricity available or	properties near the site.
accessible	
Sanitation	Assumed yes given the former nursery use of the site and residential
available or	properties near the site.
capable of being	
provided	
Provision for	Assumed yes as not raised as an issue by the Inspector. The site lies in an
surface water and	area that has very low risk of river, sea or surface water flooding and unlikely
storm water	to be affected by flooding from groundwater or reservoirs.
drainage Safe access to	Yes via New Hall Lane which is a private road.
highway network	res via new riali Lane which is a private road.
Primary school	No. The nearest primary schools are in Henfield and Upper Beeding which are
within 2km	approximately 5km away.
GP surgery within	No. The nearest GP surgeries are in Henfield and Upper Beeding which are
2km	approximately 5km away.
Shops within 2km	Yes
Public transport	Yes. Bus stop located on the A2037 in Small Dole.
route within 800m	Low impact. The Increator noted the site lies many the western odge of the
Impact of site on local character	Low impact. The Inspector noted the site lies near the western edge of the village and that a number of dwellings and outbuildings also lie outside the
and amenity	settlement boundary to the west and a small plant nursery lies to the rear. He
and amonity	concluded the essential character and appearance is one of dwellings and
	their gardens rather than open countryside. He noted the site was a well-kept
	and pleasant looking residential plot much like the other detached dwellings in
	the Lane.
Impact of site on	Low impact. The Inspector noted the site is surrounded by well-tended laurel
amenity of local	hedges as well as a post and rail fence to its frontage and a close boarded
residents	fence to its western boundary. This provides a reasonable degree of separation from nearby dwellings. If appropriate there is the ability for
	planning conditions to regulate lighting, construction and business activity, and
	require additional screening.
Site can provide	Yes
visual and	
acoustic privacy	
Environmental	No known issues.
concerns	

Constraints on	No constraints although details of proposed design and layout of the
design and layout	residential area would be required.
of the site	
Could the site	Yes - 1
meet current and	163 - 1
future need?	
	N/A
Could the site only	IN/A
meet current	
need?	
Can the	Yes
configuration of	
the site be altered	
to meet future	
need?	
Are the residents	Yes. (Subject to water neutrality mitigation.)
in a position to	
take forward the	
planning	
application/deliver	
the site and	
intensification to	
meet planning	
conditions?	
Conclusion	It is considered appropriate to allocate this site for 1 Gypsy and Traveller pitch.
SITE	
----------------------------	--
BACKGROUND	
Site reference	TS1.
Site name and	Honeybridge Lane, Dial Post, RH13 8NX
address	
Site plan:	
	Horsham District Council Parkate. Chart Way, Itoritum West Sharse RH12 /RL, Berbara Ohids : Director of Place
	Reproduced by premission of Criticance Survey map on behalf of HMSO. © Crew comport hand database fails (2023). Reference No: Date: 17 November 2023 14.000 at A4 Diduance Survey Licence. A0000820204 Diduance Survey Licence. Checked : Revisions :
Site planning status	Authorised for 4 x travelling showpeople plots
Planning history	DC/22/1008 sought variation of condition to allow amendments to DC/17/0387.
	Refused 14 June 2023.
	DC/47/0207 shores of use from equivalence to use for 4 v travelling
	DC/17/0387 change of use from agriculture to use for 4 x travelling showpeoples plots. Appeal, due to non-determination of the application,
	Allowed 19 October 2018 (Ref: APP/Z3825/W/17/3191727).
GTAA 2023 pitch	4
number	
GTAA 2023	4 meet definition
planning definition	
status	
Additional current	1
and future needs	
Total pitch need 2023-28	1
Total pitch need 2028-40	0
Total pitch need	1
2023-2040	
Site area	3.6 ha
Site ownership	Private
DEVELOPMENT CONSTRAINTS	
Area of	No
Outstanding	
Natural Beauty	
SSSI	No
Ancient Woodland	No
Local Wildlife Site	No
Heritage Area	No - it should however be noted that the Grade II Platts Green Cottage lies just
	over 120m away to the north.

	The projection of the other is not interval find to be set find to be the first of the second
Flood zone	The majority of the site is not identified to be at flood risk but the eastern
	border and a strip in the northern part of the site has a high surface water
Air quality	flooding risk. No
Air quality Gatwick	No
Safeguarding	
Within high	No
pressure gas	
pressure gas pipeline	
safeguarding zone	
Mains water and	Yes
electricity	
available or	
accessible	
Sanitation	Yes
available or	
capable of being	
provided	
Provision for	Yes (see DISC/19/0123)
surface water and	
storm water	
drainage	
Safe access to	Yes
highway network	No. The people primary school in Ashington is shout Firm sway
Primary school within 2km	No. The nearest primary school in Ashington is about 5km away.
GP surgery within	No. The nearest GP surgeries in Storrington and Steyning are over 11km
2km	away.
Shops within 2km	Old Barn Nursery lies to the north which has limited offer and further
success manners and	convenience retail is located in Ashington about 4.5km away.
Public transport	No – there is a bus around 800m away to the south on the A24 but not with
route within 800m	safe pedestrian access from this site.
Impact of site on	Low impact. The Inspector noted the site is visually well contained by high
local character	hedges and mature trees to the north, south and west and by a belt of mature
and amenity	trees to the east beyond which caravan park is about 2m higher that the
	overall flat nature of the site. He determined the site would not have an
	imposing harmful effect on the wider landscape.
Impact of site on	Low impact. There are no dwellings immediately adjoining the site and the
amenity of local residents	Inspector noted the road noise from the A24 and was content with the mitigation to be provided in respect of the impact upon the adjacing caravan
residents	mitigation to be provided in respect of the impact upon the adjoining caravan and camping site.
Site can provide	Low impact. The Inspector noted the environs of the site are such that the
visual and	visual impact of the use would be local and limited and would not have an
acoustic privacy	imposing harmful effect on the wider landscape. The Inspector was content
	with the noise attenuation measures proposed as part of the 2017 proposal.
Environmental	No known outstanding issues.
concerns	
Constraints on	The main constraint is the risk of surface water flooding within northern and
design and layout	eastern strips of the site which can be mitigated.
of the site	
Could the site	Yes – 5 (1 in addition to the existing 4)
meet current and	
future need?	
Could the site only	Yes
meet current	
need?	Vaa
Can the configuration of	Yes
the site be altered	
the site be altered	I

to meet future need?	
Are the residents in a position to take forward the planning application/deliver the site and intensification to meet planning conditions?	Yes. (Subject to water neutrality mitigation.)
Conclusion	It is considered appropriate to allocate this site for 1 additional Travelling Showperson plot.

Part Two

Sites Assessed as Not Suitable for Allocation

The following sites have been assessed as not being suitable for providing Gypsy and Traveller pitches:

- Not allocated 1. Land South of Mill Lane, Littleworth
- Not allocated 2. The Orchard, Cowfold
- Not allocated 3. Tisserand Farm, A29 Stane Street South of Five Oaks
- Not allocated 4. Deer Park Farm, Hampers Lane, Horsham
- Not allocated 5. Lane Top / Oak Tree View, Pulborough (Existing Site)
- Not allocated 6. Land to the Rear of Pear Tree Farm, Furners Lane
- Not allocated 7. Land West of Hillside, Harbolets Road

SITE BACKGROUND	
Site reference	Not allocated 1.
Site name and address	Land South of Mill Lane, Littleworth
Site plan:	1 1 1
Site planning status	N/A
Planning history	Application DC/20/2570/FUL for "Change of use of the land for the provision of 2 residential mobile home pitches (Class C3) and related facilities including use of existing garage as a shared dayroom all for occupation by gypsies and travellers" was refused on 17 th March 2021: " <i>The proposed development would result in increased urbanisation which would erode the visual character of the open rural nature of the immediate landscape, and an intensification of activity and disturbance on the site that would adversely affect the quiet secluded character of the site, and not be of a scale appropriate to its countryside character and location"</i> Other recent applications include: DC/19/0152/OUT Outline app for 1 residential dwelling refused 19 March 2019. DC/21/1240/FUL Application for 3 holiday lets refused 21/3/2019. DC/21/1240/FUL Application for conversion of stable building into 1 dwelling refused 01/02/2022. DC/22/0811/FUL Application for conversion of stable building into 1 holiday let unit permitted 29/03/2022. DC/22/0811/FUL Application for conversion of stable building into 1 holiday let unit permitted 29/03/2022. DC/22/0811/FUL Application for conversion of stable building into 1 holiday let unit permitted 29/03/2022.
GTAA 2023 pitch number	dwelling refused 21/06/2022.
GTAA 2023 planning	N/A
definition status	
Additional current and	N/A
future needs	
Total pitch need 2023-2028	N/A
Total pitch need 2028-40	N/A
Site area	N/A
Site ownership DEVELOPMENT CONSTRAINTS	Delcraven Ltd Mannings Heath
Area of Outstanding	No
Natural Beauty	
SSSI	No
Ancient Woodland	No
Local Wildlife Site	No No
Heritage Area	

Flood zone	No
Air quality	No
Gatwick Safeguarding	No
Within high pressure gas	No
pipeline safeguarding zone	
Mains water and electricity	Assumed yes, as within populated area
available or accessible	
Sanitation available or	Likely development would require use of package treatment plant to
capable of being provided	dispose of effluent to sub-soil irrigation. This would need to
	maintained by the owner of site.
Provision for surface water	Possible that flows from any development could be connected to a
and storm water drainage	public sewer following formal application. No public surface water
C C	sewers which could serve development so alternatives would be
	required.
Safe access to highway	Yes - access proposed via private road to south of Mill Lane which
network	leads to 3 existing dwellings.
Primary school within 2km	Yes
GP surgery within 2km	Yes
Shops within 2km	Yes
Public transport route	Yes
within 800m	
Impact of site on local	Medium impact. Likely to be relatively small impact to the
character and amenity	perception of the site from the public highway, with some potential
	for a change in the rural feel of the area from nearby PRoWs.
Impact of site on amenity	High impact. The site currently forms a 'paddock' area of open
of local residents	space which is surrounded by, and forms the access to, detached
	residential properties. Despite the generous curtilage of the
	surrounding properties, some of these are close to the edge of this
	site. It is unclear how the shared access arrangement over the land
0.11	would be overcome.
Site can provide visual and	Yes, some, although the proximity of neighbouring properties to the
acoustic privacy	site boundary is likely to minimise the effectiveness of acoustic
Environmentel concerne	screening. None
Environmental concerns	
Constraints on design and	TPO on southern-most edge of site
layout of the site Could the site meet current	N/A
and future need?	
Could the site only meet	N/A
current need?	
Can the configuration of	N/A
the site be altered to meet	
future need?	
Are the residents in a	N/A
position to take forward	
the planning	
application/deliver the site	
and intensification to meet	
planning conditions?	
Conclusion	Taking account of the concerns regarding sanitation, impact on
	landscape and the need to resolve third party access to the site,
	this location is not considered suitable for allocation for Gypsy and
	Traveller use.

SITE BACKGROUND	
Site reference	Not allocated 2.
Site name and address	
	The Orchard, Cowfold
Site plan:	
	Horsham District Council Patwie, Crart Way, Instalan The Orchard, Cowfold
	Visat black (M / Z / K. Behav Childs : Director of Place
	Reproduced programmission of Defaunce Screep range on colonit Reference No : Date : 10 Jacoury 2024 14.000 at A4 Ordinance Survey Livence, AD000020204 Drawing No : Drawing : Checked : Revisions :
Site planning status	Current use is woodland
Planning history	1.DC/08/0593/FUL Construction of a sustainable low impact eco holiday and
	 ranger centre comprising 8 holiday lodges Permitted 30/10/2009 2. DC/11/0783/FUL Erection of crematorium with associated infrastructure Refused 6/10/2011 3. DC/12/1092/FUL Development of crematorium facility within a parkland setting Refused 18/12/2013 4. DC/17/2074/FUL Change of use of woodland to a holiday lodge/caravan park 23 x caravan pitches Refused 26/3/2018 5. Current application DC/21/0203/FUL Change of use of woodland to a holiday lodge/ caravan park, comprising 11 No. caravan pitches.Refused 22/04/2022.
GTAA 2023 pitch number	N/A
GTAA 2023 planning definition status	N/A
Additional current and future needs	N/A
Total pitch need 2023- 28	N/A
Total pitch need 2028- 40	N/A
Site area	4.4 hectares
Site ownership	Private
DEVELOPMENT CONSTRAINTS	
Area of Outstanding	No
Natural Beauty	
SSSI	No
Ancient Woodland	No but Traditional Orchard Priority Habitat lies immediately adjacent to the
	south east of the site.
Local Wildlife Site	No
Heritage Area	No – some Grade II listed within wider area but unlikely to be directly
	impacted by development on site.
Flood zone	No
Air quality	No
Gatwick Safeguarding	No

	Vec. and have ment of site falls within Llink Decensor Oce Disaling haffer and
Within high pressure	Yes – northern part of site falls within High Pressure Gas Pipeline buffer area
gas pipeline	
safeguarding zone	
Mains water and	Agent has indicated this is available
electricity available or	
accessible	
Sanitation available or	Yes – outlined in FRA submitted with earlier planning application
capable of being	
provided	
Provision for surface	Yes – outlined in FRA submitted with earlier planning application
water and storm water	
drainage	
Safe access to	Yes – via existing established access onto A272
highway network	
Primary school within	No, nearest school is 2.8km to the east in Cowfold (St Peter's)
2km	
	No. Coulfold ourgony is approvimately firm to the cost of the site
GP surgery within 2km	No – Cowfold surgery is approximately 3km to the east of the site. Yes – Buck Barn crossroads
Shops within 2km	
Public transport route	Yes
within 800m	
Impact of site on local	Medium impact. The site appears to be heavily wooded with the southern
character and amenity	most section more open. The Downs Link passes close to the eastern edge
	and boundary treatment on this edge would be needed to reduce any impact
	on the character of the wider area.
Impact of site on	Medium impact. There is a cluster of residential properties a short distance to
amenity of local	the east of the site, others which are separated from the site by the A272 and
residents	others further to the north and the east.
Site can provide visual	Yes, with adequate consideration of the Downs Link which is well used and
and acoustic privacy	passes close to the site.
Environmental	Development of the site would require clearing a large number of established
concerns	trees, with associated impacts on biodiversity, landscape and air quality.
Constraints on design	Site is woodland. Layout of the site, and access, should take also account of
and layout of the site	the area of Traditional Orchard which abuts the site.
Could the site meet	N/A
could the site meet	
need?	
Could the site only	N/A
meet current need?	
Can the configuration	N/A
of the site be altered to	
meet future need?	
Are the residents in a	N/A
position to take	
forward the planning	
application/deliver the	
site and intensification	
to meet planning	
conditions?	
Conclusion	This site would lead to the loss of a heavily wooded site, changing the
	landscape character. In addition there is potential to adversely impact a
	priority habitat. The site is therefore not considered suitable for allocation for
	Gypsy and Traveller use.
L	

SITE BACKGROUND	
Site reference	Not allocated 3.
Site name and address	Tisserand Farm, A29 Stane Street South of Five Oaks RH14 9AZ
Site plan:	Image: Server Level Are December and Provided Strugg register to the Strugg registe
	Ordmanos Survey Loanos A000062224 Drawing No: Drawn : Checked : Revisions :
Site planning status	Site is agricultural land.
Planning h3story	DC/19/1770/OUT Outline application for a change of use to provide a retirement community park development with up to 46 mobile home units and associated tennis courts. Refused 15/1/2020 and dismissed on appeal by PINS 27 January 2021 "I have found that there would be clear conflict with the Council's development plan arising from the appeal scheme which would result in substantial harm". Para 36
GTAA 2023 pitch number	N/A
GTAA 2023 planning	N/A
definition status	
Additional current and	N/A
future needs	
Total pitch need 2023-28	N/A
Total pitch need 2028-40	N/A
Site area	4 hectares
Site ownership	Private
DEVELOPMENT	
CONSTRAINTS	
Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty	No
SSSI	No
Ancient Woodland	No
Local Wildlife Site	No but the site is located within a bat sustenance zone
Heritage Area	No, though there is an Archaeological Notification Area on the eastern (opposite) side of the A29.
Flood zone	No
Air quality	No
Gatwick Safeguarding	No
Within high pressure gas pipeline safeguarding zone	No
Mains water and electricity available or accessible	Assumed yes, given adjacent development
Sanitation available or capable of being provided	Yes – Southern Water response to application on site indicates presence of public foul sewer on site. However, they indicate network reinforcement work may be required to mitigate any risk of flooding.

Provision for surface	Southern Water indicate of an increased risk of flooding without
water and storm water	reinforcement work to network. The lead local flood authority has
drainage	assessed the area as being at low risk of surface water flooding
	providing existing surface water flow paths on side are maintained if
	development were to come forward
Safe access to highway	Yes – though A29 is set at national speed limit with traffic pulling onto a
network	road with very fast moving traffic
Primary school within	No – approx. 2.5 km to the south in Billingshurst
2km	
GP surgery within 2km	No - approx. 2.5 km to the south in Billingshurst
Shops within 2km	Yes – petrol station in Five Oaks
Public transport route	Yes
within 800m	
Impact of site on local	High impact. The site is highly visible from the public highway and a
character and amenity	PRoW passes directly along the western boundary. The location forms
	part of the rural character area separating Five Oaks and Billingshurst
	and development would urbanise the area with significant character
	impact.
Impact of site on amenity	Medium impact. The site is separated from the cluster of residential
of local residents	and commercial properties adjacent and screening is likely to reduce
	any direct amenity impacts. The increased activity on the site will have
	a detrimental effect on local residents.
Site can provide visual	Some, although screening from the A29 is likely to have to be some
and acoustic privacy	distance into the site in order to maintain visibility when accessing the
	highway, and would also create a break in the low hedging which is
	characteristic of this stretch of road.
Environmental concerns	None
Constraints on design	None
and layout of the site	
Could the site meet	N/A
current and future need?	
Could the site only meet	N/A
current need?	
Can the configuration of	N/A
the site be altered to meet	
future need?	
Are the residents in a	N/A
position to take forward	
the planning	
application/deliver the site and intensification to	
meet planning conditions?	
Conclusion	The lorge eacle of the site and look of significant according would
Conclusion	The large scale of the site and lack of significant screening would
	generate significant landscape impacts. The site is not considered
	suitable for allocation for Gypsy and Traveller use.

SITE BACKGROUND	
Site reference	Not allocated 4.
Site name and	Deer Park Farm, Hampers Lane, Horsham
address	
Site plan:	Image: State
	Reproduced to provide to Ordinase Strevey rung on behalting Peteremee No: Date: 10 January 2020 114.000 at A4 Onlinence Survey Loores: AC0000802024 Desering No: Drawn : Objection 1 Revisions :
Site planning status	Authorised for Gypsy and Traveller use
Planning history	The site contains one permanent Gypsy and Traveller pitch (one static
	caravan and one touring caravan) that was allowed on appeal (DC/10/1974) on 27 March 2014.
GTAA 2023 pitch	1
number	
GTAA 2023 planning	1 x undetermined
definition status	None
Additional current and future needs	None
Total pitch need	None
2023-28	
Total pitch need 2028-40	None
Site area	0.5 ha
Site ownership	Private
DEVELOPMENT CONSTRAINTS	
Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty	Yes
SSSI	No
Ancient Woodland	No but TPOs at the southern end of the site.
Local Wildlife Site	No
Heritage Area	The site is located in the non-designated heritage asset of St Leonard's Park and within the setting of the listed Leonard's Park House. The Appeal Inspector's Report in 2014 concluded that 1 pitch would cause no harm to the setting of the listed building or the non-designated heritage asset. Additional development may have some detrimental impact on the setting of this asset, and therefore some negative impact is identified at this stage.
Flood zone	No
Air quality	No
Gatwick	No
Safeguarding	No
Within high pressure gas pipeline	No
safeguarding zone	
Saleguarung 20118	

	M
Mains water and	Yes
electricity available	
or accessible	
Sanitation available	Yes
or capable of being	
provided	
Provision for surface	Yes
water and storm	
water drainage	
Safe access to	Yes
highway network	
Primary school	Yes
within 2km	
GP surgery within	Yes
2km	
Shops within 2km	Yes
Public transport	Yes
route within 800m	
Impact of site on	The gypsy site is located in an Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty, and
local character and	additional development is likely to have an impact on this nationally
amenity	important landscape. The appeal inspector's Report, in allowing the pitch in
	2014, confirmed there would be some detriment to the character and quality
	of the AONB caused by the development. Further development in this
	location would potentially exacerbate these negative impacts.
Impact of site on	Low impact. Site well screened and separated from eastern edge of
amenity of local	housing in Horsham by 300m belt of trees.
residents	
Site can provide	The residential area is located approximately 300m to the west of the site.
visual and acoustic	
privacy	
Environmental	No known issues
Concerns	
Constraints on	No constraints although details of proposed design and layout of the
design and layout of	residential area would be required.
the site	
Could the site meet	None identified
current and future	
need?	
Could the site only	N/A
meet current need?	
Can the	N/A
configuration of the	
site be altered to	
meet future need?	
Are the residents in a	N/A
position to take	
forward the planning	
application/deliver	
the site and	
intensification to	
meet planning	
conditions?	
Conclusion	Taking account of the location of this site in the Area of Outstanding Natural
	Beauty, the impact on heritage assets and the proximity of nearby Tree
	Preservation Orders, it is not considered appropriate to allocate this Gypsy
	and Traveller site for further pitches at the present time.
L	

SITE	
BACKGROUND	
Site reference	Not allocated 5.
Site name and	Lane Top and Oak Tree View, Nutbourne Road, Pulborough, RH20 2HA
address	
Site plan:	Horsham District Council
	Perside Charl Way, Hosten Perside Charl Way, Hosten West States CH112 RL. Baharo Differ. Director of Para
	Barran Chas. Lincot of Nace Reporduced by permission of Ordanoe Survey map on behaf of HNSU © Oxem copyright and distabase rights (2024) Reference No : Date : 10 Jenuary 2024 1:2.000 at A4
	of mesory to Convert dopyright and calculate rights (co.ver) Ordinatics Survey Leance, AC300323204 Ordinatics Survey Leance, AC300323204 Drawing No :
Site planning status	Authorised
Planning history	Site had permission (with Oak Tree View) for 3 pitches with personal
	authorisation and allocated for 3 pitches within Policy 21 of the HDPF adopted in 2015. One of these pitches has been converted to bricks and mortar. The remaining 2 pitches are now fully authorised (1 at Lane Top and 1 at Oak Tree View) as personal occupancy conditions were removed by DC/17/2564. An application (DC/20/2497/FUL) for an additional 2 pitches was refused on 29 April 2021 on the grounds that the development would "result in an
GTAA 2023 pitch	unacceptable impact on highway safety". The refusal was not appealed.
number	-
GTAA 2023	2 x meet planning definition
planning definition status	
Additional current	5 – 2 x 5-year need, 3 x new household formation (NHF)
and future needs	
Total pitch need	2
2023-28 Total pitch need	3
2028-40	3
Site area	0.5 ha
Site ownership	Private
ene enneremp	
DEVELOPMENT CONSTRAINTS	
Area of	No
Outstanding	
Natural Beauty	
SSSI	No

Ancient Woodland	No
Local Wildlife Site	No but within Bat Sustenance Zone
Heritage Area	No
Flood zone	No
	No.
Air quality	
Gatwick	No
Safeguarding	Na
Within high	No
pressure gas	
pipeline	
safeguarding zone	
Mains water and	Yes
electricity	
available or	
accessible	
Sanitation	Yes
available or	
capable of being	
provided	
Provision for	Yes
surface water and	
storm water	
drainage	
Safe access to	Horsham District Council refused DC/20/2497/FUL on the grounds of "limited
highway network	visibility splays to the west, which would result in an unacceptable impact on
	highway safety".
Primary school	Yes
within 2km	
GP surgery within	Yes
2km	
Shops within 2km	Yes
Public transport	Yes
route within 800m	
Impact of site on	Low impact. The development on site is single storey, low rise in nature and is
local character	well screened by site boundaries and landscape features.
and amenity	
Impact of site on	Low impact. There are a small number of residents, properties adjoining and
amenity of local	nearby the site, but there are already existing Gypsy and Traveller plots and
residents	accommodation on the site.
Site can provide	It is considered the boundary treatments would act as an appropriate visual
visual and	and acoustic barrier.
acoustic privacy	
Environmental	No known issues
concerns	
Constraints on	No specific constraints have been identified, although details of proposed
design and layout	design and layout of the residential area would be required.
of the site	
Could the site	Yes
meet current and	
future need?	
Could the site only	N/A
meet current	
need?	
Can the	Yes
configuration of	
the site be altered	
to meet future	
need?	

Are the residents in a position to	The owner of Lane Top indicated a need for three pitches in the short term as new household formations – as three family members were living with the site
take forward the planning	owner. A fourth pitch would accommodate a remaining family member in the future.
application/deliver	
the site and intensification to meet planning conditions?	The site owner has indicated that he has sufficient land and can afford to undertake any necessary works.
Conclusion	It is not considered appropriate to allocate this site for additional Gypsy and Traveller pitches following a re-assessment of the site and refusal at planning committee on highway grounds in April 2021.

SITE BACKGROUND			
Site reference	Not allocated 6.		
Site name and address	Land to the rear of Pear Tree Farm, Furners Lane, BN5 9HX		
Site plan:	Land to the rear of Pear Tree Farm, Furner's Lane, BNS 9HX Image: Construction of Pear Tree Farm, Furner's Lane, BNS 9HX Image: Construction of Pear Tree Farm, Furner's Lane, BNS 9HX Image: Construction of Pear Tree Farm, Furner's Lane, BNS 9HX Image: Construction of Pear Tree Farm, Furner's Lane, BNS 9HX Image: Construction of Pear Tree Farm, Furner's Lane, BNS 9HX Image: Construction of Pear Tree Farm, Furner's Lane, BNS 9HX Image: Construction of Pear Tree Farm, Furner's Lane, BNS 9HX Image: Construction of Pear Tree Farm, Furner's Lane, BNS 9HX Image: Construction of Pear Tree Farm, Furner's Lane, BNS 9HX Image: Construction of Pear Tree Farm, Furner's Lane, BNS 9HX Image: Construction of Pear Tree Farm, Furner's Lane, BNS 9HX Image: Construction of Pear Tree Farm, Furner's Lane, BNS 9HX Image: Construction of Pear Tree Farm, Furner's Lane, BNS 9HX Image: Construction of Pear Tree Farm, Furner's Lane, BNS 9HX Image: Construction of Pear Tree Farm, Furner's Lane, BNS 9HX Image: Construction of Pear Tree Farm, Furner's Lane, BNS 9HX Image: Construction of Pear Tree Farm, Furner's Lane, BNS 9HX Image: Construction of Pear Tree Farm, Furner's Lane, BNS 9HX Image: Construction of Pear Tree Farm, Furner's Lane, BNS 9HX Image: Construction of Pear Tree Farm, Furner's Lane, BNS 9HX Im		
Site planning status	Authorised		
Planning history	 DC/17/1374. Application refused 25 September 2017. "The development proposed is described as a settled gypsy accommodation site comprising 3 pitches and associated utility building". Allowed on appeal 19 Feb 2020. DC/21/1796/FUL A further application for "Proposed settled gypsy accommodation site comprising 5 pitches and associated utility buildings" was validated on 23/8/2021 and refused on 31 May 2022. An appeal hearing was held on 27 September 2023 and the Inspector's decision is awaited at 12 December 2023. 		
GTAA 2023 pitch number	3		
GTAA 2023 planning definition status	3 x meet planning definition		
Additional current and future needs	0		
Total pitch need 2023-28	0		
Total pitch need 2028-40	0		
Site area	0.2ha		
Site ownership	Private		
DEVELOPMENT CONSTRAINTS			
Area of Outstanding	No		
Natural Beauty	No		
SSSI Ancient Woodland	No There is ancient woodland located to the immediate east of the site.		
Local Wildlife Site	No		
Heritage Area	There is a listed building (Little Bilsborough, Grade II) approximately 300m to the east of the site, however there is a lot of screening from the ancient woodland between the two sites.		
Flood zone	No		
Air quality	No.		
Gatwick Safeguarding	No		
Within high pressure	No		
gas pipeline safeguarding zone			

Mains water and	Yes
electricity available or	
accessible	
Sanitation available or	Yes
capable of being	
provided	
Provision for surface	Yes
water and storm water	
drainage	Vec
Safe access to highway	Yes
network	
Primary school within	Yes
2km	
GP surgery within 2km	Yes
Shops within 2km	Yes
Public transport route	Yes
within 800m	
Impact of site on local	Low impact. The Appeal Inspector found that the proposal for the 3
character and amenity	pitches would not harm the character and appearance of the area. This
	is not considered to have changed.
Impact of site on	Low impact. The Appeal Inspector concluded "given the distance from
amenity of local	dwellings and low number of pitches, there is unlikely to be noise
residents	disturbance to residents". This is not considered to have changed.
Site can provide visual	Low impact. The Appeal Inspector concluded: "Ancient woodland to
and acoustic privacy	the east of the site and other hedgerow/trees around the surrounding
	fields screen the site from wider view". This is not considered to have
	changed.
Environmental	No known issues
concerns	
Constraints on design	No constraints although details of proposed design and layout of the
and layout of the site	residential area would be required.
Could the site meet	Yes – 3 pitches now authorised
current and future	
need?	
Could the site only meet	N/A
current need?	
Can the configuration of	Yes – The site owner has indicated there is potential to create more
the site be altered to	pitches. These would be available to family members only. However no
meet future need?	current needs are identified in the plan period.
Are the residents in a	Yes – the owner has indicated the permission will be implemented
position to take forward	within 12 months but has encountered delays due to Covid-19.
the planning	
application/deliver the	
site and intensification	
to meet planning	
conditions?	It is not considered appropriate to allocate this site for any further
	It is not considered appropriate to allocate this site for any further
conditions?	Gypsy and Traveller pitches given that no needs have been identified
conditions?	Gypsy and Traveller pitches given that no needs have been identified for additional sites during the plan period in addition to the 3 which
conditions?	Gypsy and Traveller pitches given that no needs have been identified for additional sites during the plan period in addition to the 3 which have been granted permission. In addition, the most recent application
conditions?	Gypsy and Traveller pitches given that no needs have been identified for additional sites during the plan period in addition to the 3 which have been granted permission. In addition, the most recent application for a further 5 pitches (DC/21/1796/FUL) has been submitted, refused
conditions?	Gypsy and Traveller pitches given that no needs have been identified for additional sites during the plan period in addition to the 3 which have been granted permission. In addition, the most recent application for a further 5 pitches (DC/21/1796/FUL) has been submitted, refused and heard at appeal on 27 September 2023. It is therefore considered
conditions?	Gypsy and Traveller pitches given that no needs have been identified for additional sites during the plan period in addition to the 3 which have been granted permission. In addition, the most recent application for a further 5 pitches (DC/21/1796/FUL) has been submitted, refused and heard at appeal on 27 September 2023. It is therefore considered appropriate to await the Inspector's report before making any further
conditions?	Gypsy and Traveller pitches given that no needs have been identified for additional sites during the plan period in addition to the 3 which have been granted permission. In addition, the most recent application for a further 5 pitches (DC/21/1796/FUL) has been submitted, refused and heard at appeal on 27 September 2023. It is therefore considered

SITE	
BACKGROUND	
Site reference	Not allocated 7.
Site name and	Land West of Hillside, Harbolets Road, West Chilts, RH20 2LG
address	
Site plan:	
	Image: An and a set of the set of t
Site planning status	Lingutherized
Site planning status Planning history	Unauthorised "Change of use of equine yard to traveller pitch, conversion of existing
	stable to accommodation facility and erection of new mobile stable". (DC/19/1031). Refused 15 July 2019. Reasons for refusal: Introducing urbanised form of development which would be out of keeping with countryside location. Also, fails to provide safe and convenient pedestrian access and would represent unsustainable development. Appeal lodged and re-started January 2020. Appeal dismissed 25 November 2020.
GTAA 2023 pitch	1
number	
GTAA 2023planning	1 x no contact
definition status	
Additional current	Unknown
and future needs	
Total pitch need	Unknown
2023-28	
Total pitch need	Unknown
2028-40	
Site area	0.04ha
Site ownership	Private
DEVELOPMENT	
CONSTRAINTS	
Area of Outstanding	No
Natural Beauty	
SSSI	No
Ancient Woodland	No
Local Wildlife Site	No but within Bat Sustenance Zone.
Heritage Area	No
Flood zone	No
Air quality	No.
Gatwick	No
Safeguarding	No
Within high	No
pressure gas pipeline	
safeguarding zone	
salegualully 2011e	

Mains water and	Yes
	Tes
electricity available or accessible	
	Vee
Sanitation available	Yes
or capable of being	
provided	
Provision for	Yes
surface water and	
storm water	
drainage	
Safe access to	Yes
highway network	
Primary school	No – approx. 3km away in West Chiltington
within 2km	
GP surgery within	No – approx 5km away in Storrington
2km	
Shops within 2km	No - approx. 3km away in West Chiltington
Public transport	No
route within 800m	
Impact of site on	High Impact. The site is very rural and development in this location would
local character and	be harmful to the character and appearance of the countryside.
amenity	
Impact of site on	Low impact. The application site is located approximately 52m from the
amenity of local	closest neighbouring property and the scheme would not contribute to any
residents	harmful impact on the privacy or amenity of the occupiers of the
	neighbouring residential property.
Site can provide	High impact. It is not considered this could be provided.
visual and acoustic	
privacy	
Environmental	No known issues
concerns	
Constraints on	No constraints although details of proposed design and layout of the
design and layout	residential area would be required.
of the site	
Could the site meet	No
current and future	
need?	
Could the site only	No
meet current need?	
Can the	No
configuration of the	
site be altered to	
meet future need?	
Are the residents in	N/A
a position to take	
forward the	
planning	
application/deliver	
the site and	
intensification to	
meet planning	
conditions?	
Conclusion	Development in this location is remote from services and facilities and
	would be harmful to the landscape, generating urbanising impacts. The site
	is therefore not considered suitable for allocation for Gypsy and Traveller
	use.

Table 1: Sites considered suitable for Allocation for Gypsy and Traveller & TravellingShowpeople Use

Site	Existing Authorised Gypsy and Traveller Pitches	Proposed Additional Net Pitches	Total Gross Pitches (Total Net Pitches)
Proposed Allocations			
1. Land at Junction Hill Farm Lane and Stane Street, Codmore Hill (Existing Site)	2	2	4 (2)
2. Fryern Park, Storrington (Existing Site)	2	2	4 (2)
3. Northside Farm, Rusper Road (Existing Site)	1	3	4 (3)
4. Southview, The Haven, Slinfold	1	4	5 (4)
5. Sussex Topiary, Rudgwick (Existing Site)	4	8	12 (8)
6. Plot 3, Bramblefield, Crays Lane, Thakeham (Existing Site)	1	3	4 (3)
7. Land at Girder Bridge, Gay Street Lane, North Heath Pulborough (New Site)	0	5	5 (5)
8. Land East of Billingshurst (Strategic Site Allocation)	0	5	5 (5)
9. North Land West of Ifield (Strategic Site Allocation)	0	15	15 (15)
10. Land North West of Southwater (Strategic Site Allocation)	0	5	5 (5)
11. Kingfisher Farm, West Chiltington Lane, Barns Green (Regularisation of Existing Site)	0	11	11 (11)
12. Land East of Coolham Road, Thakeham	0	2	2 (2)
13. Land North West of Junipers, Harbolets Road, West Chiltington	0	3	3 (3)
14. Downsview Paddock, New Hall Lane, Small Dole	0	1	1(1)
Total	11 pitches	69 pitches	80 (69 pitches)
Travelling Showpeople Accommodation	Existing Plots	Proposed Additional Plots	Gross Plots (Total net Plots)
TS1. Honeybridge Lane, Dial Post	4	1	5 (1)

Table 2 – Sites Assessed as not suitable for Allocation for Gypsy & TravellerUse

Sites Assessed as Not Suitable for Allocation			
Not allocated 1. Land South of Mill	-	-	-
Lane, Littleworth			
Not allocated 2. The Orchard,	-	-	-
Cowfold			
Not allocated 3. Tisserand Farm,	-	-	-
A29 Stane Street South of Five Oaks			
Not allocated 4. Deer Park Farm,	-	-	-
Hampers Lane			
Not allocated 5. Lane Top / Oak	-	-	-
Tree View, Pulborough (Existing			
Site)			
Not allocated 6. Land to the Rear of	-	-	-
Pear Tree Farm, Furners Lane,			
Henfield			
Not allocated 7. Land West of	-	-	-
Hillside, Harbolets Road			