



July 2021

Contents

PART 1: Background and Context

1.1	Introduction	3
1.2	Policy Context	6
1.3	Future Growth Across the District	8
1.4	Background to the Infrastructure Delivery Plan	9
1.5	Delivering Infrastructure	10
1.6	Funding and Delivery	11
1.7	Process of Preparing the Infrastructure Delivery Plan	13
1.8	Evidence Base Documents	14

PART	2: Infrastructure Provision within Horsham District	17
2.1	Transport	17
2.2	Education	30
2.3	Health and Social Care	33

2.4	Community and Sports Facilities	38
-----	---------------------------------	----

2.5	Green Infrastructure	50
2.6	Emergency Services	55
2.7	Utilities, Waste and Minerals	61

PART 3: Infrastructure Delivery Schedule

3.1	Guidance Note on the IDP Schedule	75
3.2	Infrastructure Delivery Plan Schedule 2021	76

PART 4: Appendices1174.1 List of Figures.1174.2 List of Tables.1174.3 List of Abbreviations and Acronyms.1184.4 Glossary.120

Disclaimer

The information presented in this document forms an important part of the evidence base in the preparation of the Council's Local Plan. It does not set policy or provide any guarantees that the infrastructure detailed in this document will come forward within the stated timescales. Furthermore, it does not commit the Council to allocating land for any particular use and the information is given without prejudice to any subsequent planning applications that are submitted on the sites referenced within this document.

Whilst every care has been taken in producing this document, there may be typographical errors or errors in reproducing the information provided to us by the infrastructure and service providers. This is purely by mistake and as this is a 'live' document we will correct any errors as appropriate.

Finally, details of timescales and estimated financial costs are provided only as a guide and are likely to be subject to change through the Development Management process and updated evidence at the time of any planning application associated with the development set out in this document.

1.1 Introduction

1.1.1 Overview

The provision of infrastructure to support the growth and development of our communities and District is critical in providing new homes, building a strong, resilient and diverse economy and in helping to create sustainable communities.

The Infrastructure Delivery Plan (IDP) is a key document forming part of the evidence base in local plan preparation that assesses the quality and capacity of infrastructure within a local planning authority area and sets out what infrastructure is likely to be required to support new development across Horsham District. It forms an important part of the evidence base which supports the Local Plan and the Council's Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) Charging Schedule. It demonstrates how infrastructure supports the development and growth set out in the Local Plan over the Plan period and beyond.

Infrastructure has been defined in the Local Plan document as "a collective term for structures, services and facilities such as roads, electricity, sewerage, water, education and health provision required for society and the economy to function."

The IDP sets out the infrastructure likely to be required for development across Horsham District, although it does not include areas of the South Downs National Park, located to the south of the District. The National Park Authority is a local planning authority in its own right and has produced an IDP to support the South Downs Local Plan which was adopted on 2 July 2019. There is a delegation agreement between the Councils for Horsham District Council to determine applications in the parts of Horsham District that lie within the National Park. Nevertheless, the Council will ensure joint working where cross boundary infrastructure issues occur. Figure 1 shows the area covered by Horsham District Council's Infrastructure Delivery Plan in green.



Figure 1: Horsham District – the area mapped in green is the administrative area for the IDP. The area mapped in blue is the South Downs National Park administrative area.

1.1.2 Structure of this document

This IDP sets out the infrastructure likely to be required to support the District's growth and development set out in the Regulation 19 Submission Draft Horsham District Local Plan. It identifies what projects are required to support this development, the associated funding considerations and potential delivery timescales. It is important to note that this is a 'live' document and will continue to evolve and be updated throughout the Local Plan review process and as necessary over the life of the Plan. This draft version will be further updated as part of the supporting evidence base of the Regulation 19.

The IDP is set out as follows:

<u>Part 1</u> details the background and context to the IDP. It provides a summary of national and local planning policy frameworks, why an IDP is required, the process for the preparation of the IDP, the types of funding available for infrastructure projects within the District and the information sources and evidence base documents used to inform the IDP.

<u>Part 2</u> provides a summary of the existing infrastructure provision within the District. This baseline scenario helps to identify existing growth pressures within the District and what capacity there is to support growth. For each infrastructure category (see Table 1) an overview of the following is given:

Current Provision: a baseline describing the current provision in the District, including the responsibilities of infrastructure providers and any known oversupply or deficits and capacity concerns;

Planned Provision: an outline of committed works, programmes, funding and projects which will impact the current level of infrastructure provision;

Key Issues & Future Considerations: a summary of likely capacity issues that may occur as a result of delivering the Horsham District Local Plan.

A brief summary of likely funding streams is also provided. The infrastructure categories and sub-categories this report considers are set out in the table on page 5 (*Table 1: Categories of infrastructure*).

Part 3 contains guidance to explain the information contained within the IDP Schedule. This section sets out the detail of infrastructure types, specific improvement scheme, and their priority for delivery that will be needed to support the Local Plan. This section also identifies the organisation(s) responsible for delivery and the estimated costs and timescales. The infrastructure detailed in the IDP Schedule is based on the best available information at the time of writing and this document does not guarantee the delivery of the infrastructure that has been identified. Specific infrastructure needs and requirements are likely to continue to evolve for a range of different factors, including, but not limited to, the findings from a detailed planning application process, the cumulative impact of development within the District and within neighbouring authority areas and the evolving plans and requirements of infrastructure providers. The infrastructure requirements at the time will shape the nature of infrastructure provision and its delivery.

<u>Part 4</u> contains a full list of all the figures and tables contained in this document, a glossary of technical terms and a guide to any acronyms and abbreviations contained within this document.

1.1.3 Aims and objectives of the IDP

In producing the Infrastructure Delivery Plan, Horsham District Council seeks to identify what infrastructure is likely to be required to deliver the emerging Horsham District Local Plan 2021-2038.

This has been achieved by:

 fostering a collaborative approach with service partners including infrastructure providers, service delivery organisations, neighbouring local authorities, other strategic bodies such as Local Enterprise Partnerships, developers, landowners and site promoters;

- identifying the costs of providing the infrastructure required to meet the planned growth within the District and the timescales for delivery;
- determining how infrastructure should be prioritised to support the delivery of planned growth; and
- setting out the funding sources that will help to meet the delivery of infrastructure within the District.

This document is colour coded to help identify the different types of infrastructure that are being considered. These are shown in the table below:

Table 1: Categories of infrastructure

Key Infrastructure Categories	Infrastructure Sub-categories
Transport	 Road network Bus service Rail network Cycling, walking and equestrian routes
Education	 Further and higher education Secondary and primary education Early Years: Pre-schools and nurseries Special Educational Needs and Disability (SEND)
Health and Social Care	 Primary care Acute care and general hospitals Social care

Key Infrastructure Categories	Infrastructure Sub-categories
Community and Sports Facilities	 Outdoor sport and recreation including children's play spaces Indoor sports facilities Local halls and neighbourhood halls Libraries Cemeteries Allotments
Green Infrastructure	 Flood defence and flood management, Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS) Open spaces and parks
Emergency Services	 Ambulance service Fire and Rescue Service Police Service
Utilities and Waste	 Electricity supply Gas supply Water supply, wastewater treatment and sewerage Waste and recycling Telecommunications & digital infrastructure Minerals safeguarding

1.2 Policy Context

1.2.1 National Planning Policy and Guidance

National Planning Policy Framework

As a local planning authority, Horsham District Council has to plan positively to ensure that the development and infrastructure needs of the District are met. To ensure that new development is delivered sustainably, the infrastructure, facilities and service needs of existing and future residents and businesses must be properly planned for.

The revised National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) was published on 19 February 2019 and updated on 19 June 2019. It sets out the Government's planning policies for England and how these are expected to be applied. The NPPF is a material consideration in the preparation of local and neighbourhood plans.

Paragraph 20 of the NPPF identifies that strategic policies should make sufficient provision for *"infrastructure for transport, telecommunications, security, waste management, water supply, wastewater, flood risk and coastal change management, and the provision of minerals and energy (including heat)", community facilities (such as health, education and cultural infrastructure)" and "green infrastructure".*

Paragraph 26 of the NPPF states that "effective and on-going joint working between strategic policy-making authorities and relevant bodies is integral to the production of a positively prepared and justified strategy. In particular, joint working should help to determine where additional infrastructure is necessary, and whether development needs that cannot be met wholly within a particular plan area could be met elsewhere".

Paragraph 122 of the revised NPPF states that planning policies should take into account *"the availability and capacity of infrastructure and services – both*

existing and proposed – as well as their potential for further improvement and the scope to promote sustainable travel modes that limit future car use".

The NPPF is clear that local authorities must plan positively, working effectively in collaboration with partners to identify and co-ordinate the provision of infrastructure (paragraphs 8 (a), 16 (c) and 25-27).

Planning Practice Guidance

Planning Practice Guidance (Paragraph: 059 Reference ID: 61-059-20190315) provides guidance on how a local planning authority can show that a plan is capable of delivering strategic matters, including the provision for housing and infrastructure and states;

"A plan is an opportunity for the strategic policy-making authority to set out a positive vision for the area, but the plan should also be realistic about what can be achieved and when. This means paying careful attention to providing an adequate supply of land, identifying what infrastructure is required and how it can be funded and brought forward.

"At an early stage in the plan-making process strategic policy-making authorities will need to work alongside infrastructure providers, service delivery organisations, other strategic bodies such as Local Enterprise Partnerships, developers, landowners and site promoters. A collaborative approach is expected to be taken to identify infrastructure deficits and requirements, and opportunities for addressing them. In doing so, they will need to:

- "Assess the quality and capacity of infrastructure and its ability to meet forecast demands. Where deficiencies are identified, policies should set out those deficiencies will be addressed; and
- "Take account of the need for strategic infrastructure, including nationally significant infrastructure, within their areas."

Paragraph 060 Reference ID: 61-060-20190315 of Planning Practice Guidance also provides guidance on how strategic policy-making authorities demonstrate that there is a reasonable prospect that large scale developments, such as new settlements, or significant extensions to existing villages and towns can be developed within a set timescale, stating that;

"Strategic policy-making authorities will need to demonstrate they have engaged with infrastructure providers, ensuring that they are aware of the nature and scale of such the proposals, and work collaboratively to ensure that the infrastructure requirements are not beyond what could reasonably be considered to be achievable within the planned timescales. The authority can use statements of common ground, or other evidence, to detail agreements with infrastructure providers which confirm this and set out the further work which they will undertake to support the long-term delivery of the strategy."

1.2.2 Local Planning Guidance

Horsham District Planning Framework

The Horsham District Planning Framework (HDPF) is the Council's current Local Plan, providing strategies and policies to meet the requirements of the District for the period up to 2031 and was adopted on 27 November 2015.

Policy 39 of the HDPF is a Strategic Policy and focuses on Infrastructure Provision. This policy states;

"1. The release of land for development will be dependent on there being sufficient capacity in the existing local infrastructure to meet the additional requirements arising from new development, or suitable necessary mitigation arrangements for the improvement of the infrastructure, services and community facilities caused by the development being provided.

"2. Where there is a need for extra capacity, this will need to be provided in time to serve the development or the relevant phase of the development, in order to ensure that the environment and amenities of existing or new local residents is not adversely affected.

"3. To ensure required standards are met, arrangements for new or improved infrastructure provision, will be secured by planning obligation / Community Infrastructure Levy, or in some cases, conditions attached to a planning permission, so that the appropriate improvement can be completed prior to occupation of the development, or the relevant phase of the development."

The Inspector appointed on behalf of the Planning Inspectorate during stated that the review of this Plan should commence within three years of adoption.

The Local Plan Review commenced with the publication of the Issues and Options for Employment, Tourism and Sustainable Rural Development document for consultation between 6 April to 26 May 2018. The summary of responses and proposed next steps was published in 2018 and the document can be read by following https://www.horsham.gov.uk/planning/local-plan/local-plan-review-issues-and-options.

Horsham District Local Plan 2021-38

Following the Issues and Options consultation in 2018, further evidence base studies were undertaken and these fed into the preparation of the Horsham District Local Plan Regulation 18 document. Chapter 3 of the emerging Horsham District Local Plan set out the vision for the District;

"A place where people from all backgrounds can choose to live and work, with access to high quality jobs, services and facilities that are close to home, in a low carbon economy and high-quality natural environment."

The Regulation 18 consultation document presented a number of sites that were identified as having potential for development. Draft Strategic Policy 14 set out the Options for Growth, explaining how these options were tested through the preparation of the Local Plan. Views from stakeholders were sought in relation to the assessment process, the shortlisted strategic-scale sites as well as smaller-scale development. An early Draft Infrastructure Delivery Plan was published alongside the Regulation 18 Draft Local Plan to demonstrate that significant work

with infrastructure providers has been undertaken to understand the infrastructure requirements of potential strategic and settlement site options.

The Regulation 19 Local Plan document is the result of testing a variety of growth scenarios and comprises the most sustainable, deliverable and suitable combination of sites consulted on at Regulation 18 stage. This strategy also takes account of ongoing engagement with infrastructure providers and stakeholders, representations made by statutory consultees, site promoters, members of the public and other stakeholders on both infrastructure and wider issues, as well as updates to the evidence base and evolving development proposals by site promoters. Whilst the Regulation 19 Submission Draft Horsham District Local Plan shows a clear direction of travel in respect of the development strategy for the District, the IDP continues to function as a 'live' document and will be updated as the Plan progresses towards submission, taking account of representations made during the Regulation 19 and ongoing work with stakeholders. Whilst some further refinement of the IDP will be undertaken, the Council considers that this iteration of the IDP is considered a robust and proportionate piece of evidence which underpins the proposed strategy.

The below chapter discusses in more detail future growth across the District to 2038.

1.3 Future Growth across the District

1.3.1 Projected growth within the District to 2038

Housing Growth and the Government's Commitment to Delivering More Homes

The IDP seeks to assess strategic infrastructure requirements both on a Districtwide scale and at a more local level. To enable the assessment of future infrastructure required to support growth, it is necessary to identify the likely growth that will occur and its spatial distribution within the District.

As detailed in the Local Plan documentation, the population of the District is expected to rise over the plan period to 2038 and beyond. In 2019, the population

of Horsham District was estimated at 141,717. This compares to 131,300 at the time of the 2011 Census. Over the 20-year period to 2039, it is predicted that the population of Horsham District will grow by another 17,658 or 12.5%.

This will lead to an increase in demand for housing and employment and, as a result, the associated infrastructure required to support this growth.

The Government is committed to delivering a step change in the number of houses that will be built in the coming years. To ensure that this commitment is met, national planning policy has introduced a new method of calculating housing need and provision has changed since the adoption of the Horsham District Planning Framework in 2015. All local planning authorities are required by the Government to calculate a 'local housing need' figure, based on a 'standard methodology'. This standard method is based on projected household growth over a ten-year period using ONS statistics and applies an adjustment based on affordability.

This standard method calculation gives Horsham District an objectively assessed housing need of 897 dwellings per annum over the period 2021-2038 (equivalent to 15,249 homes in the 17-year Plan period).

The Local Plan makes provision for the development of at least 18,700 homes and associated infrastructure within the Plan period. The Regulation 19 Submission Draft Local Plan sets out that this figure will be achieved through:

- 1. Housing completions for the period 2021-2022
- 2. Homes that are already permitted or agreed for release including allocated strategic sites
- 3. Strategic sites at Land West of Ifield (3,250), Land West of Southwater (1,200), Land East of Billingshurst (650) and Land at Buck Barn (2,100 in Plan period, but 3,500 expected to be delivered in total)
- 4. At least 2,200 smaller site allocations in this Local Plan or in Neighbourhood Plans
- 5. An additional 500 dwellings within the existing Land North of Horsham allocation; and
- 6. 1,875 windfall units

To inform the strategy for development, the input of key infrastructure and service providers has been an essential part of this process. Providers have been asked to consider a range of different sites and growth scenarios and to advise the likely requirements for mitigation. Details of sites, locations and potential build out rates have been shared and providers have been advised that these potential development scenarios would be in addition to sites already permitted or allocated within the District. The sharing of information on potential sites and growth scenarios has been undertaken on a number of different occasions throughout the Local Plan review process to inform the strategy for proposed development in the period leading up to Regulation 19.

Employment Growth

As set out in Chapter 5: Economic Development of the emerging Horsham District Local Plan, since the adoption of the HDPF in 2015, progress has been made bringing forward sites for employment growth and investment. Planning permission for employment land has been granted on Land North of Horsham, at Brinsbury College between Billingshurst and Pulborough, at the former Novartis site in Horsham, at locations adjoining Billingshurst and at Nowhurst Business Park near Broadbridge Heath. Infrastructure services and facilities required to support employment development in the District also form an important consideration in the Infrastructure Delivery Plan.

Duty to Co-operate

Just as the standard method calculation has increased the housing requirements in Horsham District, our neighbouring authorities have also seen an increase in the number of homes which their areas will be required to plan for. Most of Horsham District falls within an area known as the Northern West Sussex Housing Market Area which includes the administrative areas of Crawley, Mid-Sussex and a small part of Reigate and Banstead. A small part of Horsham District also falls within the Coastal Housing Market Area which principally incorporates the administrative areas of Brighton & Hove and Adur & Worthing.

Local authorities are required by Government to undertake constructive and active dialogue with other local authorities and relevant bodies as part of an on-

going process to maximise effective engagement on strategic matters. Local planning authorities are also bound by the statutory duty to co-operate and are expected to prepare a Statement of Common Ground with neighbouring authorities which should contain details of the distribution of identified development needs.

The Statement of Common Ground must contain details of the capacity within the strategic policy-making authority area(s) covered by the statement to meet their own identified needs. It must also contain the extent of any unmet need and the agreement (or lack of agreement) between strategic policy-making authorities about the extent to which these unmet needs are capable of being redistributed within the wider area as covered by the statement.

As detailed in the Regulation 19 documentation and taking account of the evidence prepared for this Local Plan, it is considered appropriate to supply an additional 193 homes per annum towards the unmet needs of Crawley Borough.

1.4 Background to the Infrastructure Delivery Plan

1.4.1 The IDP and the Council's introduction of Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL)

The production of the IDP is required as part of the plan-making process. This document is intended as an update to the IDP produced in 2014, undertaken to support the adoption of the Horsham District Planning Framework. An interim version of the IDP was also produced in 2016 to support the Council's adoption of the CIL Charging Schedule which came into effect on 1st October 2017.

The IDP provides a current assessment of the infrastructure fundamental to the delivery of the objectives and spatial strategy of the emerging Horsham District Local Plan and the identified funding and delivery mechanisms to meet these requirements. The IDP produced in 2016 also served as evidence to justify the Council's introduction of CIL in 2017 as it demonstrated infrastructure requirements in the District and the gap in funding.

1.4.2 The IDP as a 'live' and emerging document

The IDP is very much a 'live' document, iterative in nature, reflecting the Council's understanding of what potential infrastructure services and facilities will be required to support the development strategy outlined in the Local Plan. The Council is not a direct provider of many forms of infrastructure, and has been working with infrastructure providers to understand the potential timescales, costs and scale of infrastructure required to support the emerging Local Plan.

The NPPF also recognises the fact that large-scale development, and associated infrastructure delivery, may take place beyond the Plan period and may not all be identified early on. For this reason, footnote 35 states that "*anticipated rates of delivery and infrastructure requirements should, therefore, be kept under review and reflected as policies are updated*".

The Regulation 18 consultation presented a number of growth options and strategic sites for allocation and the draft IDP Schedule reflected this range of possible sites. Following a detailed review of representations and taking account of feedback from infrastructure providers, site promoters and other consultees, as well as the update of other evidence base documents, a development strategy has been recommended by officers for the consideration of Cabinet Members and subsequently Full Council. Sites which were originally explored as part of the work to inform the Regulation 18 draft Local Plan and not now form part of the proposed strategy have been removed from this document. Work to understand the local impact of smaller sites has taken place, and has been aided by work undertaken with Parish Councils, Neighbourhood Councils and the Horsham Blueprint Forum.

In addition to this, the IDP has been dependent on a number of the Local Plan's supporting evidence base documents which have provided further detail on what infrastructure will be required to support the Local Plan. These studies include, but are not limited to:

- Horsham Transport Study 2021
- Northern West Sussex Economic Growth Assessment 2020
- Gatwick Sub-Region Water Cycle Study (August 2020)

- Horsham Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA) 2020
- Upper Mole Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA) 2020
- Horsham Open Space, Sport and Recreation Review 2021
- Horsham District Wide Community Facilities Assessment 2021
- Horsham District Council Viability Assessment 2021

As with the IDP, some of the evidence base may continue to progress beyond the Regulation 19 publication. As such, their contribution to the IDP will be reviewed and updated to ensure this document reflects the most up to date picture of the local and cumulative impacts of growth and development.

As the Local Plan progresses through the Regulation 19 publication period and beyond, the IDP will continue to progress. Discussions between the Council, infrastructure providers and other key stakeholders will be ongoing in order to allow a better understanding of key infrastructure requirements, the associated costs and timescales for their delivery, as well as the strategy for necessary provision to mitigate the impact of planned development.

1.5 Delivering Infrastructure

1.5.1 How will infrastructure be delivered in the District?

The Council has a strong track record of actively engaging with infrastructure and service providers to understand what infrastructure is required to meet the needs of residents and businesses and to support development in the District. The Council is not responsible, however, for the delivery of the majority of infrastructure services and facilities. It is important to note that development that comes forward cannot be expected to solve existing infrastructure deficits, although it is essential that new development does not create additional burdens on these services and facilities and it can provide new infrastructure that existing communities can benefit from. This is considered to be an important element of sustainable development, contributing to successful, cohesive communities.

Some elements, such as the delivery of on-site utilities, will be an integral part of all new development. In most cases the costs of providing on-site connections to existing networks, such as electricity and gas supply or broadband connections will be borne by the developer.

Outside of any site itself, if sufficient infrastructure capacity does not already exist to meet the need created by new residents or users of a development, the developer may be required to deliver infrastructure provision off-site or make a financial contribution towards provision or enhancement elsewhere.

Where relevant, the IDP indicates how the infrastructure will be provided and whether this is to be delivered direct by the developer, the infrastructure provider or through planning obligations or CIL contributions.

The Council utilised the information contained in the most recent Infrastructure Delivery Plan in 2016 to develop the CIL Charging Schedule which was adopted on 26 April 2017 and implemented from 1 October 2017.

The funding raised through the collection of CIL monies is not expected to fully plug the infrastructure funding gap and is considered to be only one source of funding for delivering infrastructure. Other sources, such as S106 contributions, the direct provision of infrastructure by developers or investment in infrastructure from providers' capital spending programmes, all play an important role in delivering on- and off-site infrastructure and community projects.

1.6.1 Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL)

Horsham District Council's CIL Charging Schedule came into effect on 1 October 2017 and sets out the following levy rates for the District, which are index-linked to take account of increasing costs associated with infrastructure delivery:

Table 2: CIL Charging Schedule for Horsham District

	CIL Charge £/m ² for 2017	CIL Charge £/m ² for 2018	CIL Charge £/m ² for 2019	CIL Charge £/m ² for 2020	CIL Charge £/m ² for 2021
Residential					
District Wide	£135	£147.74	£150.10	£157.66	£157.19
(Zone 1)*					
Strategic Sites	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0
(Zone 2)*					
Other Development (a	across cha	rging area	l)		
'Large format' retail	£100	£109.44	£111.19	£116.78	£116.43
development					
(A1 to A5)*					
'Standard Charge'*	£0	£0	£0	£0	£0

*Please see CIL Charging Schedule for definitions

1.6 Funding and Delivery

Infrastructure provision within the District is funded in a number of different ways, as explained in the sections below.



Figure 2: The CIL charging area and the charge zones

The map shows the geographical boundaries of the different CIL charging zones.

The Zone 2 sites highlighted in pink on the above map are the strategic sites Land North of Horsham and Kilnwood Vale. Based on viability evidence undertaken in preparation for the current Local Plan, the Horsham District Planning Framework (2015), it was found that these sites, if liable for CIL, together with S106 payments, would potentially make development unviable. Therefore, it was subsequently determined that these sites would be 'zerorated' for CIL and exempt from the levy.

On behalf of the Council, Aspinall Verdi has undertaken a Local Plan Viability Study and an Executive Summary has been published alongside the Cabinet papers for consideration of the Regulation 19 Submission Draft Horsham District Local Plan. The Viability Study sets out that for future strategic sites to be zero-rated a CIL review would be required. The testing of the emerging Local Plan site allocations and policies has included CIL, as the sites are not currently zero-rated, with the findings to inform (amongst other things) whether a CIL review is required for strategic sites. It is anticipated that the strategic sites will be liable for CIL and will not therefore be zero-rated. The Study highlights that including CIL on strategic sites may mean an element of double counting with the assumptions used for S106, as these sites progress the Council will need to ensure no double counting does occur.

With the exception of the area that lies in the administrative area of the South Downs National Park Authority, the remainder of the District lies within Zone 1 (green area on the map) and for 2021 attracts a CIL charge of £157.19 per m². The charges set out in the charging schedule are index-linked which means that the rates are adjusted in line with information published by the Royal Institute of Chartered Surveyors (RICS) to ensure that the rates continue to reflect the costs of infrastructure provision that the levy will be used to fund. The adjustment to charge rates is applied from 1 January each year, using the index figure published by RICS for the previous 1 November.

It is the Council's responsibility to prioritise the spending of CIL. CIL must be spent on infrastructure to support the overall development of the area, although,

it is important to bear in mind that CIL is not expected to cover the costs of delivering all infrastructure within the District.

On 28 November 2019, Cabinet approved the Council's CIL Governance Arrangements which establishes a clear CIL Governance Structure to oversee the collection, auditing and spending of CIL monies.

Regulation 121A of the Community Infrastructure Levy Regulations 2010 (as amended) requires authorities that receive developer contributions to produce an Infrastructure Funding Statement each year. The Infrastructure Funding Statement (IFS) summarises developer contributions and sets out how the Council intends to use those contributions. The Council's IFS for 2019/20 is available online at www.horsham.gov.uk/planning/planning-policy/community-infrastructure Funding

1.6.2 Planning Obligations

Planning obligations are the main mechanism for meeting critical infrastructure requirements and are used to reduce the impact of a new development and to make sure it does not place an unnecessary burden on existing infrastructure.

These contributions are secured through a Section 106 legal agreement or a Section 106 unilateral undertaking. However, planning obligations may only constitute a reason for granting planning permission if it is determined that they are necessary to make the development acceptable in planning terms, directly related to development and fairly and reasonably related in scale and kind. Planning obligations cannot therefore be used to resolve existing capacity issues in infrastructure provision.

Horsham District Council adopted its Planning Obligations and Affordable Housing Supplementary Planning Document on 21 September 2017 in accordance with the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 (as amended) and the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012 (as amended) (Regulation 14). This document provides details on the provision of affordable housing, services and facilities and the priorities of provision that will be required when land is proposed for development and where planning obligations would be sought. This document will be updated in due course to reflect the revised Local Plan.

1.6.3 Other funding

It is important to note that not all infrastructure will be funded through the above mechanisms and CIL cannot deliver all the necessary infrastructure to support the growth and development of communities. Some infrastructure funding can be secured through public and private sector investment through working with Local Enterprise Partnerships (LEPs), grant funding and funding through Government schemes such as the New Homes Bonus. Many infrastructure providers also have rolling programmes of investment, typically over a 5 year period where investment in critical infrastructure is programmed to support growth. For example, Southern Water sets out its 5 year business plan and programme for investment in their Asset Management Plan cycles. Southern Water's Asset Management Plan 7 covers the period 2020-2025. A number of organisations operate in this way and understanding how infrastructure providers programme infrastructure investment and upgrades is crucial in ensuring that the infrastructure necessary to support growth is delivered in a timely manner that keeps pace with development.

1.7 Process of Preparing the Infrastructure Delivery Plan

1.7.1 Preparing the Draft Infrastructure Delivery Plan

The IDP has been prepared by Horsham District Council working collaboratively with other Government bodies and relevant infrastructure delivery agencies in accordance with national planning policy and planning practice guidance (as highlighted in Chapter 1.2 of this report).

This is the latest version of the emerging IDP and, at the time of publication in July 2021, contains the best available data at this particular point in time. The

IDP is a 'live' document and is designed to change and develop, anticipating growth and development within the District.

The steps the Council has taken in preparing this document are set out as follows;

- 1. Identification of the relevant organisations providing infrastructure services and facilities.
- 2. Information gathering and review of current plans and strategies. Identifying the existing infrastructure provision within the District and existing deficiencies. This forms the basis of the information provided in Section 2 of this document.
- 3. Contacting infrastructure providers to arrange initial and follow-up meetings. These meetings and subsequent engagement have helped to inform the Infrastructure Delivery Schedule which can be found in Part 3 of this document. This Schedule sets out the Council's understanding of what infrastructure is likely to be needed to support new growth within this District and deliver the desired outcomes of the Local Plan. This should be treated as a 'snapshot' and not exhaustive, with a number of unknowns, both in terms of the infrastructure required and the associated costs and timescales for delivery. The evidence base supporting the preparation of the updated Local Plan will be updated over time and the IDP will need to be updated to reflect any developments.
- 4. Engaging with Parish and Neighbourhood Councils, and the Horsham Blueprint Neighbourhood Forum, to gain more in depth understanding of local issues and requirements in order to support Neighbourhood Plans, the Local Plan and the Council's CIL Charging Schedule.

The information we have received from the parish and neighbourhood councils has been very useful and clearly indicates the local priorities for infrastructure within each of the parish and neighbourhood council areas. There are numerous projects put forward by parish and neighbourhood councils that have already been identified by the infrastructure providers and therefore we have not sought to duplicate this information. A number of other projects identified were not considered to be strategic and therefore necessary to deliver the Horsham District Local Plan and have not been included in Part 3: Infrastructure Delivery Schedule of the IDP. However, where overarching requirements have been identified in the Infrastructure Delivery Schedule, for example as identified in the Open Space, Sports and Recreation Review (2021) or as a result of the requirements for new development to meet Policy 45 Community Facilities, Leisure and Recreation, the infrastructure projects for improvements to existing community facilities identified by parish and neighbourhood councils will be very useful to the Council as part of the consideration of CIL spending and S106 negotiations. All of the information submitted to the Strategic Planning team has been shared with the Planning Obligations team in this regard.

The Council is continuing to work closely with all relevant partners and infrastructure providers throughout the Local Plan Review process to aid delivery of the identified infrastructure requirements set out in this document.

1.8 Evidence Base Documents

1.8.1 Key documents and information sources

The information set out in this document has largely been provided to us through collaboration with infrastructure providers, delivery agencies and other key partners. This work has also been informed by the latest evidence base documents, either provided to us by our partners or those freely available in the public realm. Table 3 below is a list of all the evidence base documents that have helped to inform this report.

Table 3: Evidence base documents

Evidence Base Documents
A Green Future: Our 25 Year Plan to Improve the Environment (HM
Government, 2018)

Evidence Base Documents
Arun and Western Streams Catchment Flood Management Plan 2009
Coastal West Sussex CCG Annual Report and Accounts 2019/20
Coastal West Sussex CCG Local Estates Strategy 2018
Commissioning Better Outcomes for West Sussex: A Commissioning Strategy for WSCC
Crawley Borough and Upper Mole Catchment Level 1 Strategic Flood Risk Assessment 2020
DfE Securing developer contributions for education 2019
DfT Manual for Streets
Future Telecoms Infrastructure Review (DCMS, 2018)
Gatwick Sub-Region Water Cycle Study (August 2020)
High Weald AONB Management Plan 2019-2024
Horsham and Mid Sussex CCG Annual Report and Accounts 2019/20
Horsham District Council Authority Monitoring Report (AMR) 2019/20
Horsham District Council Built Sports Facility Strategy 2019
Horsham District Council Corporate Plan 2019-2023
Horsham District Council District-Wide Community Facilities Assessment (February 2021)
Horsham District Council Electric Vehicle Charge Point Strategy
Horsham District Council Habitats Regulations Assessment Screening Report (2019)
Horsham District Council Golf Supply and Demand Assessment February 2021
Horsham District Council Green Infrastructure Study 2014
Addendum: Green Infrastructure Strategy 2014 – Jan 2021
Horsham District Council Green Space Strategy 2013-2023
Horsham District Council Local Cycling and Walking Infrastructure Plan (LCWIP) 2020
Horsham District Council Local Plan Viability Study June 2021
Horsham District Council Play Strategy 2017-2027
Horsham District Council Playing Pitch Strategy 2019
Horsham District Council Secondary Settlement Boundary Review (February 2020)
Horsham District Council Sport and Physical Activity Strategy 2016-2031

Evidence Base Documents
Horsham District Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Review May 2021
Horsham District Council Transport Study 2021
Horsham Local Plan Habitats Regulations Assessment (2021)
Horsham Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA) Update November 2019
Network Rail Investment in Stations: A guide for promoters and developers 2017
Network Rail London and South East Market Study (2013)
Network Rail Railway Upgrade Plan: South East 2017/18
Network Rail South East Route Strategic Plan 2018
Network Rail South East Route: Sussex Area Route Study 2015
Network Rail Strategic Business Plan (2019-2024)
NHS Long Term Plan
Northern West Sussex Economic Growth Assessment 2020
Northern West Sussex Economic Growth Assessment: Focused Update for Horsham (November 2020)
Northern West Sussex Strategic Housing Market Assessment (November 2019)
Our Waste, Our Resources: A Strategy for England (HM Government, 2018)
River Adur Catchment Flood Management Plan 2009
SGN Annual Report and Financial Statements 2020
SGN Gas Network Innovation Strategy March 2018
SGN Long Term Development Statement 2020
SGN RIIO-GD2 Business Plan 2019
SGN RIIO-GD1 Stakeholder Report 2019/20
South East Coast Ambulance Service Five Year Strategic Plan 2017-2022
South East Coast Ambulance Service Quality Account 2019-20
Southern Water Asset Management Plan 6
Southern Water Asset Management Plan 7
Southern Water Business Plan 2020-2025
Southern Water Drainage and Wastewater Management Plans (DWMPs) Delivery Plan 2019

Evidence Base Documents
Southern Water Drought Plan 2019
Southern Water New Connection Services: Charging Arrangements 2020- 2021
Southern Water: Water Resource Management Plan 2020-2070
Sport England Active Places Power Tool
Scottish & Southern Electricity Networks RIIO-T2 Business Plan
SSE PLC Annual Report 2020
SSE PLC Sustainability Report 2020
Sussex Health and Care Partnership: West Sussex – Place-Based Response to the Long Term Plan 2019
Sussex PCC Medium Term Financial Strategy 2020/21 to 2023/24
Sussex Police & Crime Plan 2017/21
Sussex Police Transformational Strategy 2018-22
Thames Water Asset Management Plan 2015-2020
Thames Water Asset Management Plan 2020-2025
The West Sussex Digital Strategy
The West Sussex Plan 2017-2022
UK Power Networks - Business Plan 2015-2023
Upper Mole Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA) September 2020
Water Neutrality Study (July 2021)
West Sussex Bus Strategy 2018-2026
West Sussex County Council Economy Reset Plan 2020- 2024
West Sussex County Council Fire and Rescue Integrated Risk Management Plan 2018-2022
West Sussex County Council Highway Infrastructure Asset Management Policy 2020-2022
West Sussex County Council IT Strategy
West Sussex County Council Joint Minerals Local Plan 2018
West Sussex Transport Plan 2011-2026 (and emerging Draft West Sussex Transport Plan 2022-2036)
West Sussex County Council Planning School Places 2021
West Sussex County Council Public Rights of Way Improvement Plan 2018-2028

Evidence Base Documents
West Sussex County Council Rights of Way Management Plan (2018-
2028)
West Sussex County Council Special Educational Needs and Disability
(SEND) and Inclusion Strategy 2019-2024
West Sussex Cycling Design Guide: A Guide for Developers, Planners
and Engineers (August 2019)
West Sussex Fire and Rescue Service Annual Report 2019-20
West Sussex Fire and Rescue Service Annual Statement of Assurance
2019-20
West Sussex Life 2017-2019
West Sussex LLFA Policy for the Management of Surface Water
(November 2018)
West Sussex County Council SEND Developer Contribution
Requirements as a Statutory Education Provider (Dec 2020)
West Sussex Walking and Cycling Strategy 2016-2026
West Sussex Waste Local Plan 2014-2031

Part 2: Infrastructure Provision within Horsham District

2.1.1 Transport: Road Network	
Lead Organisation(s)	 West Sussex County Council - Local Highways Authority (LHA) Highways England
Evidence / Sources of Information	 Meetings with West Sussex County Council Horsham Transport Study 2021 West Sussex Transport Plan 2011-2026 and the emerging Draft West Sussex Transport Plan 2022-2036 West Sussex County Council Highway Infrastructure Asset Management Policy 2020-2022 Transport for the South East – A bold vision for a bright future: A 30 year transport strategy for the South East
Current Provision	There are no roads in the District which fall within the Strategic Road Network (SRN) (roads managed by Highways England) although the A3 lies west of the District, the A27 to the south of the District and the M23/A23 lies in close proximity to the eastern boundary. The A24 and the A264, which are located within Horsham District, form part of the County Strategic Road Network. The A24 is the main north-south route, and the A272 is the main east-west road in the District. There are also networks of local roads which link some of the medium and larger villages and are used for commuting and to access some of the main community and recreational facilities in the District. The A264 is the main connection between Horsham and Crawley and further on to the A23, M23 and M25. The A29 runs from the south western corner of the District, north through Pulborough and Billingshurst and connects these settlements to Horsham via Broadbridge Heath, and to Guildford and Dorking.
	While none of the District's roads form part of the SRN, a number fall within the Major Road Network (MRN). The MRN is a Department for Transport (DfT) defined tier of economically important A roads, with its own funding stream for improvements known as the National Roads Fund to be made available between 2020 and 2025. As well as economic and strategic objectives, a key aim of the MRN is to support housing delivery. The A29, A24, A264 between Five Oaks and Broadbridge Heath and between Horsham and Crawley, A283 between Washington Roundabout and Shoreham and the A272 between Buck Barn crossroads and the A23 are all included in the MRN, however there are no planned improvements through the MRN investment stream.
	Two Air Quality Management Areas (AQMAs) have been declared in Horsham; one in Storrington on the A283 and the other in Cowfold on the A272. The Air Quality Action Plans set out congestion improvement measures through changes to road layouts and access to alternative transport options. They are supported by separate local air quality guidance and direct applicants to the Sussex Air <i>Air quality and emissions mitigation guidance for Sussex (2020)</i> for advice on how to

	 mitigate impacts of development on air quality. These two AQMAs are of particular relevance to any development which is close to, or is assessed as impacting on traffic levels through, Storrington or Cowfold. Relatively few junctions in the Horsham District are signal controlled, due to the rural nature of the area and the fact that many settlements are small in size. There are 11 traffic signal controlled junctions and interchanges in the District. Other than the A24/A272 Buck Barn interchange, all are within the Horsham, Broadbridge Heath, Billingshurst and Southwater areas. Other main junctions in the District are usually formed of roundabouts. There have been some committed improvements for new junctions and crossings on the A264 northern bypass between Horsham and Crawley and on the A24/B2237 approaches to support the strategic development Land North of Horsham. The LHA, in their Annual Delivery Programme (ADP), account for developer-funded improvements to the highway network. These can be fully funded schemes, where a S106 agreement will outline the nature and cost of the scheme to be fully funded by developers but delivered by the LHA. 'Larger than local' schemes (Strategic Transport Investment Programmes or STIPs), Local 'top down' Schemes and Community 'bottom up' Schemes can all make use of developer contributions.
Planned Provision	As part of the Local Plan evidence base, the Council has worked with consultants to prepare a detailed Transport Study, which gives a clear indication of the key issues arising, specifically as a result of any proposed development, on both the local road network and the SRN. Both West Sussex County Council (the LHA) and Highways England have been involved in this piece of work. The study has identified a number of issues at junctions where mitigation will be required. Details of the physical mitigation required has been included in the Transport section of the Infrastructure Delivery Schedule in Part 3 of this report (please see 3.2.1) The following issues have been raised by the Local Highways Authority as existing traffic problems in the District in relation to the road network. The mitigation strategy identified in the Horsham Transport Study (2021) is designed to address the impacts arising from the development identified in the Horsham District Local Plan. Whilst development cannot solve existing deficiencies, transport mitigation required for Local Plan development may help to address some of these issues: Longstanding need for major improvements to the Surrey boundary sections of the A24, with severe issues between the Great Daux roundabout and Capel. There are related cross-boundary impacts on Mole Valley with significant development in and around Horsham town exacerbating these issues.

	 A264 route between Horsham and Crawley is heavily congested. There is also a narrow section of dual carriageway eastbound outside Crawley. Traffic signals junction on dual carriageway where A272 crosses A24 at Buck Barn is a departure from standards. A24 south of Buck Barn performs well in terms of flow and capacity as far as Ashington, however there are safety issues with gaps in central reservation at priority junctions. This is mitigated by reduced speed limits and camera enforcement. Junction of A24 and A283 (south periphery of the Washington roundabout) is prone to severe congestion, with its proximity to the South Downs National Park making resolution more complicated. A29 is generally of a poor standard with the exception of the Billingshurst Bypass, particularly north of Bury around Pulborough/Codmore Hill. A264 between Horsham and Five Oaks has a number of issues with bends and the junction at Lyons Corner with no dedicated right hand turn lane. There are capacity issues on the A29 to Billingshurst and Five Oaks. The Five Oaks roundabout, where the A264 meets a busy stretch of the A29 to Billingshurst, can become congested. A283/B2139 at Storrington and the A272/A281 in Cowfold both experience issues with cross-traffic movement, issues of queuing and air quality. Issues at the Hop Oast roundabout at Southwater which, WSCC indicate, may require signals or a cut through roundabout ('through-about - where the main road passes through the centre of a signalised roundabout). West Sussex County Council is responsible for the preparation of a Local Transport Plan. The West Sussex Transport Plan (WSTP) is the County Council's main policy on transport with a draft of the updated WSTP for 2022 to 2036 anticipated to be published for consultation between 16 July and 8 October 2021. The County Council's transport strategy for Horsham District includes the delivery of bus priority at signal-controlled junctions, small-scale hi
Sources of Funding	 Developer contributions (S278, CIL, S106) West Sussex County Council Capital Budget Highways England Designated Funding
Key Issues & Future Considerations	 National Roads Fund Transport for the South East Stantec were commissioned by Horsham District Council to produce a high-level transport assessment to support the Local Plan Review. This Study has assessed the impact of a number of development scenarios on the local highway network

managed by West Sussex County Council, along with assessing impacts on the Strategic Road Network, managed by Highways England. The modelling work undertaken for the Study has also informed the mitigation strategy required to support the Local Plan.
 The following junctions could be dealt with through sustainable travel measures and changes to the signal timings: A283/A29 mini roundabouts, Pulborough (sustainable mitigation) A283/Amberley Road Roundabout, Storrington (sustainable mitigation) A29/High Street Roundabout, Pulborough (sustainable mitigation) B2237/Wimblehurst Road (signal optimisation) Moorhead Roundabout (signal optimisation) Albion Way/B2337 (signal optimisation) East Street/Park Way Junction (signal optimisation) A281/New Street Junction (signal optimisation) A264/Langhurst Wood Road (signal optimisation)
 Where it has been demonstrated that sustainable travel measures would not be enough to fully mitigate the impacts of the Local Plan, further mitigation measures have been assessed. The following junctions are shown to require physical mitigation within Horsham District: A24/A272 Buck Barn A24 Hop Oast Roundabout A24 Washington Roundabout A24/Steyning Road Junction
Mitigation measures to address the impact on the Cowfold AQMA and A272/A281 mini roundabouts have been identified along with mitigation measures to protect the Strategic Road Network, including junction upgrades at the Hickstead A2300 junction of the A23.
Transport for the South East (TfSE) is the sub-national transport body for the South East of England. Following publication of TfSE's 30 year Transport Strategy in June 2020, TfSE is currently undertaking five Area Studies to investigate the issues, challenges and opportunities identified in the Transport Strategy. The outcome of these studies will form the basis of TfSE's Strategic Investment Plan which is intended to be submitted to Government in early 2023. The TfSE Plan will apply across the board, not just to road transport, but also to rail, airports, ports and to sustainable transport measures.

2.1.2 Transport: Bus Service	
Lead Organisation(s)	 Bus service operators West Sussex County Council
Evidence / Sources of Information	 Meetings with service providers Meetings with West Sussex County Council Horsham District Council Transport Study 2021 West Sussex County Council Local Transport Plan 2011-2026 and the emerging Draft West Sussex Transport Plan 2022-2036 West Sussex County Council Bus Strategy 2018-2026 West Sussex County Council Highway Infrastructure Asset Management Policy 2020-2022 Transport for the South East – A bold vision for a bright future: A 30 year transport strategy for the South East
Current Provision	Bus service across the District is provided by the following bus operators and Community Transport Schemes: Arriva Buses4u Compass Travel Metrobus Southern Transit Stagecoach Sussex Coaches Southdown Buses A number of community and rural transport services are run across the District on a voluntary basis. These can be eligible for voluntary transport grants, which are co-ordinated by the West Sussex Forum for Accessible Transport. West Sussex Council also plays an important role, constructing and maintaining much of the District's public transport infrastructure, commissioning and operating bus services where commercial services may not be financially viable and providing access to schools by bus for eligible children. Bus travel plays an important role in improving sustainable transport, reducing the number of private vehicles on the road, and thus reducing congestion. In the Horsham District, 88% of households own at least one car compared with a regional average of 81% and a national average of 74%. 76% of journeys to work from residences within the District tend to be

	taken by car. Making bus travel more attractive helps to reduce carbon emissions and improving bus provision allows
	people with disabilities, older and vulnerable people and those without access to a car to travel around the District.
	Hop Oast Park and Ride has a high frequency service running to Horsham and Southwater and is served by routes running
	to Crawley as well as local Southwater services. West Sussex County Council supports buses and community transport
	(including those deemed to be important for the community but not commercially viable) through funding, which has been
	reduced significantly in recent years due to budget pressures and this is likely to continue. The West Sussex Bus Strategy
	2018-2026 highlighted that new services are only likely to come forward if funded through fares driven by new development.
	Infrastructure improvements can also be funded though developer contributions, or through Local Enterprise Partnership
	(LEP) funding. Priority for funding is based on the following considerations:
	Cost of subsidy per passenger
	Economic impact of service
	Likelihood of service becoming commercially viable
	Likelihood of alternative funding
	Presence of alternative services
	Interchange possibilities
	Contribution of Land Use Policy
	There are aspirations among some bus operators to increase their involvement at the early stages of development to
	ensure that design and layout encourage and facilitate the use of bus services.
Planned Provision	West Sussex County Council's West Sussex Transport Plan (WSTP) 2011-2026 aims to improve public transport in
	order to reduce congestion on the existing road network and to improve the capacity of, and access to, bus services by
	working with bus operators. An update to the WSTP is expected to be published for consultation between July and
	October 2021 which will include an assessment of the current bus service in the District and address any gaps which will
	help meet wider transport aspirations for the county.
	It is understood that Metrobus has plans to secure funding to improve bus stop facilities including provision for higher
	quality shelters and Real Time Information. Metrobus has also seen good uptake from free bus travel offered to residents
	of new developments, acting as an incentive to choose bus travel as a mode of transport, which helps to make existing
	services commercially viable and in turn makes it possible to invest and improve the service further. This is because the
	promotion of bus services through free or reduced ticket pricing helps establish mode share choice early on in the delivery
	of a development scheme.
Sources of Funding	Developer contributions (via CIL / S106)
	West Sussex County Council

	 National Roads Fund (funding only available for bus infrastructure, not bus service provision)
	Local Enterprise Partnership funding
	 Strategic Transport Investment Programme (STIP) (schemes over £1million)
	 Local Transport Investment Programme (LTIP) (schemes under £1million)
Key Issues & Future	Most bus services in the District operate commercially, but some routes receive subsidies from West Sussex County
Considerations	Council. Following recent consultation, there have been reductions in this funding and subsequent changes to some of
	these routes and services across the District.
	The main bus station in Horsham Town Centre does have limitations, as the capacity of the bus station now dictates the volume of the service provided.
	Key parts of some of the routes in the District are impacted by congestion resulting in poor performance of services in terms of reliability and journey times and operators. Some parts of key routes are also impacted by road safety issues meaning that bus stops have had to be decommissioned and services to some rural locations reduced or stopped completely.
	Horsham District Council commissioned Stantec to produce a high-level assessment to support the Local Plan Review. The study, assessing the impact of a number of development scenarios on the local highway network and the Strategic Road Network, has also informed the mitigation strategy required to support the Local Plan. These measures are summarised in section 2.1.1, and have included an integral prioritisation of active transport modes at these junctions as part of the mitigation measures. In addition to this A24 Hop Oast modelling has been undertaken to include bus priority a the junction.

2.1.3 Transport: Rail Network	
Lead Organisation(s)	Network Rail
	 Govia Thameslink Railway (train operating company or TOC)
Evidence / Sources of Information	Network Rail engagement
	Horsham District Council Transport Study 2021
	West Sussex County Council Local Transport Plan 2011-2026
	 Network Rail London and South East Market Study (2013)
	Network Rail Network Specification 2016: South East Route

	 Network Rail Route Specification 2016: South East Network Rail Railway Upgrade Plan: South East 2017/18 Network Rail South East Route Strategic Plan 2018 Network Rail South East Route: Sussex Area Route Study 2015 Network Rail Strategic Business Plan (2019-2024) Network Rail Investment in Stations: A guide for promoters and developers 2017 Transport for the South East – A bold vision for a bright future: A 30 year transport strategy for the South East
Current Provision	Network Rail's Sussex Route is one of the busiest and most congested rail routes in the country, transporting large numbers of commuters and leisure travellers between the south coast, Gatwick Airport and London Terminals. Govia Thameslink Railway is the leading TOC, operating Southern, Thameslink, Gatwick Express and Great Northern (not in Horsham District) services and running trains on the Arun Valley line between Southampton/Portsmouth/Bognor Regis north to Three Bridges. These services run through Pulborough, Billingshurst, through Horsham and on to Crawley. The Sutton and Mole Valley Line also runs between Horsham, via Warnham through Surrey to South London.
	There are eight railway stations in the District at the following locations: Littlehaven Warnham Faygate Horsham Christ's Hospital Billingshurst Pulborough Amberley
	 Horsham station is the main commuter station in the District, serving in the region of 10,000 passengers a day. Billingshurst serves in the region of 1,600 passengers a day and Pulborough 1,300. The following weekday services run out of Horsham station: 3 trains per hour to Gatwick Airport and onto London Victoria 1 train per hour to Dorking and onto London Victoria* 2 trains per hour to Gatwick Airport, London Bridge, Kings Cross St Pancras and onto Peterborough 1 train per hour to Southampton Central, running fast to Barnham 1 train per hour to Portsmouth Harbour, running fast to Barnham

	2 trains per hour to Bognor Regis, part stopping service and part running fast to Barnham
	On Sunday, a service runs hourly to London Victoria, London Bridge and Bognor Regis. This service is reduced to an hourly service on weekday evenings.
	*No services run on the Dorking line on Saturday evenings or Sundays.
	It should be noted, data on the services and frequency of train services quoted here, is based on pre-pandemic information
Planned Provision	Land for new railway stations has been safeguarded as part of the outline planning permissions for the strategic site allocations at Kilnwood Vale and Land North of Horsham. Since the grant of planning permission for the sites, concern has been raised regarding the capacity of the Arun Valley line to accommodate additional stations. Work has been undertaken between Network Rail, Govia Thameslink Railway, Department for Transport, West Sussex County Council, Horsham District Council, Crawley Borough Council and Coast to Capital Local Enterprise Partnership to explore capacity on the Arun Valley line and to assess whether the line can accommodate one or two new railway stations between Horsham and Crawley. It has been concluded that any new stations on this section of the line will significantly compromise performance on the Thameslink network.
Sources of Funding	 Central funding (for maintenance of existing facilities) Developer contributions via CIL / S106 (for enhancements to existing facilities and in some cases the provision of new facilities)
Key Issues & Future Considerations	The Arun Valley line, which is the main line linking the south of the District and Horsham with Crawley, Gatwick Airport and London, is heavily congested and there is a relatively high concentration of stations on this part of the line already (5 stations within 7 miles of track). Currently it is considered that there is insufficient capacity on the network to accommodate any new stations between Horsham and Crawley without impacting current performance. Enhancements to walking and cycling access and bus routes linking existing stations with current and new development have been recommended in this location. Despite the latest findings that there is insufficient capacity on this line to accommodate an additional railway station, Horsham District Council is very supportive of at least one new station on the Arun Valley Line and will continue to work with other stakeholders to enhance railway provision in the north of the District.
	Network Rail, in its Regulation 18 response, has highlighted the potential for larger sites proposed in the vicinity of railway lines, or with the potential to provide good access to railway stations, to facilitate the closure of crossings and their replacement with bridges or underpasses. Network Rail also emphasised the importance of ensuring development does not encourage trespassing onto the railway (ie by diverting footpaths or providing bridges over the line) and engagement between site promoters and Network Rail is essential to ensure development does not lead to increased risk around the railway.

congestion on on brownfield s upgrading the Controlled Bar require the relo contributions a	ave highlighted that increased usage of the Parsonage Road level crossing in Horsham, and increased the local road, network due to approved development in the area and the potential for future development ites close to the level crossing will require improvements to safety. Network Rail's preferred option is crossing (currently an Automatic Half Barrier crossing with two half-width barriers) to a Manually ier crossing with CCTV (MCB-CCTV) with the potential for additional control measures. This would cation of two signals with improvements to road closures times for southbound trains. CIL funding re requested towards to the works, which are required as a result of adjacent development not being irt of this Local Plan.
	dvise that development close to the disused railway line between Shoreham and Christ's Hospital should
be delivered w	th protections of the existing alignment in order to safeguard the line for future transport need.
	understanding of where residents within new developments will work in relation to settlements is crucial in
	rail services to meet the needs of new and existing residents, as this will directly impact how they travel to . As well as considering sustainable transport between new dwellings and stations, Network Rail have
	ne delivery of employment sites should be supported by provision of bus and cycle routes to stations.
On-street park	ng by railway passengers can cause parking pressure around many stations, particularly Littlehaven.

2.1.4 Transport: Cycling, Walking and Equestrian Routes	
Lead Organisation(s)	Horsham District Council
	West Sussex County Council
Evidence / Sources of Information	West Sussex County Council
	Horsham District Council Transport Study 2021
	 Horsham District Local Cycling & Walking Infrastructure Plan (LCWIP) 2020
	 West Sussex County Council Local Transport Plan 2011-2026
	 West Sussex Walking and Cycling Strategy 2016 to 2026
	 West Sussex County Council Public Rights of Way Management Plan 2018-2028
	Horsham District Council Index of Cycle Routes
	 West Sussex Cycling Design Guide: A guide for Developers, Planners and Engineers (August 2019)
	 West Sussex Local Cycling & Walking Infrastructure Plan (emerging)
	Southwater Cycling Network Review

	 Transport for the South East – A bold vision for a bright future: A 30 year transport strategy for the South East
Current Provision	Horsham town has a relatively dense network of walking routes. In broad terms these comprise footways adjacent to roads,
	pedestrianised areas including the town centre, and traffic-free connections such as between residential streets, through
	parks, or in the open spaces surrounding the town. In recent decades there has been significant investment to improve
	the quality of provision for pedestrians in the town centre. In terms of cycling, the town is mostly reliant on carriageway
	routes, with a limited number of traffic-free, off road connections of varying quality.
	Dedicated cycling infrastructure is more limited outside of the Horsham town area, and footway networks tend to be
	restricted to within the town and villages only. An exception to this is the Downs Link, which provides a traffic-free cycling
	and walking route on a former railway alignment. The District as a whole has an extensive network of public rights of way;
	however, many of the links are more suited to journeys for leisure rather than utility. The District has considerable horse
	ownership which also helps to drive the rural economy and adds to the character of the District. Bridleways are an important
	feature of the District's Public Rights of Way Network.
	The Public Right of Way network has considerable statutory protection and development has the potential to ensure the
	network meets future demand and the latest standards. As well as providing recreational benefits and improving health
	and wellbeing, networks can help encourage utility journeys to be made on foot and support the local economy. West
	Sussex County Council has indicated the following general considerations for delivering successful PRoW networks:
	 Good availability of circular routes and a range of routes to reduce variety of access
	 Improvements to surfacing and drainage on existing routes close to site boundaries to accommodate likely additional use by future residents
	 Connection to road highway should be minimised,
	 "Access for all" with minimal use of bollard and gates
	The West Sussex Transport Plan 2011-2026 states that much of the District's cycling and walking network is disjointed
	and suffers from inadequate signage, safe crossing points and poor surfacing. The Council's Local Cycling and Walking
	Infrastructure Plan highlights the key barriers to cycling and walking movement in the Horsham area, particularly due to
	the railway line, the A24 and A264 dual carriageways, and the town centre ring road (Albion Way / Park Way). The quality
	of a selected number of key radial routes have been assessed in more detail. In terms of cycling, high traffic flows and
	speeds currently make sections of road unsuitable for cycling, along with busy junctions where cyclists mix with motor
	vehicles. Common pedestrian environment issues include narrow footways, complex junctions, an absence of signal or
	zebra crossings in some locations and poorly maintained footways.

Planned Provision	As part of the West Sussex LCWIP Partnership, Horsham District Council has prepared its first LCWIP, which was adopted at Full Council on 9 December 2020. The LCWIP covers Horsham town and connections from nearby settlements and identifies a strategic network of cycling and walking routes to cater for travel to a range of destinations, particularly short-distance utility trips. The LCWIP focuses on radial routes connecting residential neighbourhoods and new development areas to the town centre. The LCWIP focuses on radial routes connecting residential neighbourhoods and new development areas to the town centre. The LCWIP are: • Corridor 1 a and 1b – North Horsham to Horsham town centre • Corridor 2 – Roffey to town centre • Corridor 3 – Forest School • Corridor 4 – Southwater • Corridor 5 – Broadbridge Heath; and • Warnham Mill to the town centre (walking only) The corridors identified above will be subject to further consideration and it will be for West Sussex County Council as the Highways Authority to deliver the projects. New and improved cycling and walking infrastructure is planned as part of committed developments across the District, in particular the strategic allocation of Land North of Horsham. Strategic development identified in the emerging Horsham District Local Plan is also expected to minimise the need to travel in the first instance and prioritise pedestrian and cycling opportunities. West Sussex County Council has also identified a number of Public Rights of Way (bridleway) improvements that could be delivered as part of the proposed site allocations and are identified in Part 3: Infrastructure Delivery Schedule. West Sussex County Council is also preparing an LCWIP, which will focus on longer corridors to connect the county's main urban centres. The Horsham to Crawley corridor is one of the initial six shortlisted routes being developed.
Sources of Funding	 Developer contributions (via CIL / S106) West Sussex County Council Coast to Capital Local Transport Board Government grants Environmental stewardship
	Grant funding
Key Issues & Future	The presence of physical barriers, such as busy roads, rivers and railway lines around the District which act as good
Considerations	defensible boundaries around settlements, can hinder the development of pedestrian and cyclist friendly routes. It is key that connectivity and linkages for walking and cycling are considered at an early stage of master planning and

transport/access provisioning. The NPPF specifies that "development should: a) give priority first to pedestrian and cycle movements, both within the scheme and with neighbouring areas" (Para. 110), requiring development to provide safe and attractive routes with sufficient step-free crossing points, where appropriate.
Parts of the Public Rights of Way (PRoW) network require maintenance and improvement to ensure these are accessible to as many people as possible and to make them attractive for all members of the community to use. This could involve resurfacing to improve accessibility or designation of a new route in order to improve connectivity across the network. Maintenance and minor improvements often rely on volunteers, either in association with WSCC or in partnership with other groups.
Horsham District Council commissioned Stantec to produce a high-level assessment to support the Local Plan Review. The study, assessing the impact of a number of development scenarios on the local highway network and the Strategic Road Network, has also informed the mitigation strategy required to support the Local Plan. These measures are summarised in section 2.1.1, and have included an integral prioritisation of active transport modes at these junctions as part of the mitigation measures.
New development must be required to deliver some form of PRoW provision in order to ensure new communities benefit from safe, attractive connections to key routes across the network and with their local community. WSCC highlight that PRoW provision has considerable statutory protection and development can present opportunities to redefine existing routes and the network to upgrade these off-road paths to the latest standards. As a general rule, the accommodation of existing PRoW and the creation of new routes should be designed for multi-modal use. Whether it is existing footpaths being upgraded to accommodate cyclists and horse riders, where appropriate, or new paths within development sites that may not be intended to have future PRoW status, all should be designed for use by different modes to aid a cultural shift in personal mobility and maximise individual quality of life. Generally, bridleways, which provide right of access for walkers, cyclists and horse riders is suggested. West Sussex County Council have advised that whilst in some locations horse riding may be very unlikely, this allows for a public cycling route to be created, with cycling networks expected to be a main outcome of future development.
The West Sussex County Council PRoW team can provide more detailed advice on alignment, legal mechanisms to create, divert or extinguish rights of way, width and surfacing standards and the use of structures such as bollards and gates.

2.2 Education

Education		
Lead Organisation(s)	West Sussex County Co	uncil
Evidence / Sources of Information	WSCC SEND Developer	
Current Provision	It is the role of West Sussex Cou commission places for all mainta academy sponsors, multi-acader stakeholders. To plan sufficiently Forecasting pupil numbers is bas housing numbers. Following publication of its SENE 2024. This Strategy sets out how focus on SEND pupils. The SEN pupils, particularly through the us identifies where WSCC has advis available information at the time of SEND pupils, along with other In its document <i>Planning School</i>	Inty Council (WSCC) as the Local Education Authority, to plan, organise and ined schools in the county. WSCC exercises this function in partnership with dioceses, my trusts, governing bodies of schools, head teachers, local communities and other key of or school places in the county, WSCC is required to forecast future roll numbers. Seed on a number of factors, including birth data, migration patterns and anticipated futur O Strategy 2016-2019, WSCC has developed its SEND and Inclusion Strategy 2019- wWSCC will support the inclusion of all children and young people, with a particular D Strategy 2019-2024 seeks to increase the number of available places for SEND see of Special Support Centres (SSC's) at mainstream schools. Part 3 of this report sed that SSC's are likely to be required. These requirements are based on the best however, developers are advised that they will be required to mitigate for the education educational needs generated as a result of any housing growth. <i>Places (2021)</i> WSCC highlights that the range of educational provision for children and complex in terms of the numbers of providers involved. To aid understanding, however,
	Table 4: Three core phases of	
	Age 0-4 years	'Early years' in which private, voluntary and independent playgroups and nursery schools are the main providers

	Age 4-16 years	'Compulsory school age' in which schools are the main providers
	Age 16-19 years	'Further education' in which colleges and school sixth forms both offer
	Age 10-13 years	substantial provision. N.B. this extends to age 25 for young people with
		SEND.
	There are currently 44 primary sch	ools within Horsham District, three of which are located within the South Downs National
		A new primary school at Kilnwood Vale opened in September 2019 and a new primary
	-	ned (dependent on the Education and Skills Funding Agency (ESFA) programme). A
	-	ngshurst is also planned although this is later in the phasing of the development. There
		the District providing education from 11-16 years of age. Bohunt Horsham opened in
	-	I Horsham although is expected to relocate to its new purpose-built accommodation on
		2022. Bohunt is planned as an all-through school arranged on a campus with primary,
		o primary schools are planned to come forward on the North Horsham site, with one as
		ol. With effect from September 2021 Forest School for Boys in Horsham is intended to
		e District's secondary schools provide education up to the age of 18 years of age: The
		hool. There are also two colleges within the District providing education between the
		al education needs school, which is the Queen Elizabeth II Silver Jubilee School, based
	in Horsham.	
		into four school planning areas: Billingshurst, Horsham East, Horsham West and
		ajority of schools falling within these areas are located within the District, there are a
		in other planning authority areas – specifically Chichester District and the South Downs
		e pupils may therefore attend schools located outside of Horsham District.
Planned Provision	_	ategic-scale developments in Horsham District where new schools are to be provided.
	The Planning for School Places 20	021 document produced by WSCC provides information on the expansion of existing
	schools in the District and an upda	te on the delivery of new education facilities in the District as a result of strategic-scale
	housing development. It should be	noted that this information is a snapshot in time and does not guarantee that the
	planned provision will be complete	d within the anticipated timescales set out within the WSCC document.
	The housing growth set out in the	proposed submission draft Horsham District Local Plan will require additional school
		further education and this anticipated provision has been set out in Part 3
1		
	(Infrastructure Delivery Schedule)	of this report. Following advice from WSCC, the IDP has identified the need for

Years, Further Education and SEND. These requirements will be met through the provision of new schools and
expansion of existing facilities. The schemes contained within Part 3 (Infrastructure Delivery Schedule) identify the
provision for contributions from CIL and S106 agreements to pay for these facilities or deliver new schools. WSCC
expects developers' contributions to mitigate the cost of education services required as a direct consequence of the
residential developments. Where new schools are requested, WSCC will require the provision of land as well as
contributions or delivery of education facilities.
Developer contributions (via CIL / S106)
 Funding from the Education and Skills Funding Agency (ESFA)
West Sussex County Council (Basic Need capital funding)
According to WSCC, pupil numbers are rising and are likely to continue to do so across the county as a result of population
and demographic changes along with the timing, size, tenure and location of new housing. Large-scale, strategic
development coming forward in the Local Plan will require new and expanded education facilities, and smaller
developments will require enhancements to existing facilities.
WSCC has stated that new primary education should be located within housing developments that are large enough to
accommodate their own provision. A more peripheral site may be acceptable for secondary school provision, however the
principles of sustainable development should be applied to both primary and secondary school planning. Where additional
school places are required as a consequence of development the developer and/or landowner will be expected to make
financial contributions via CIL/planning obligations. Any new school sites should be provided, fully serviced and free of
charge, by developers. Requirements set out in the Infrastructure Delivery Schedule are based on the strategy, numbers
and demographic data available at the time, indicative requirements will be subject to further feasibility and design work
as the Local Plan progresses. Requirements may change as demographic data is updated.
It should be noted that the education requirements included in the Infrastructure Delivery Schedule in Part 3 contain the
education requirements provided by West Sussex County Council and are correct at the time of publication. However, it
should also be noted that these details are subject to annual revision, based on the latest data regarding pupil numbers
and future projections and any requirements are expected to be based on the advice of the education authority at the time

2.3 Health and Social Care

2.3.1 Health and Social Care: P	rimary Care
Lead Organisation(s)	 West Sussex Clinical Commissioning Group (CCG) (formally Horsham and Mid Sussex CCG, Coastal West Sussex CCG and Crawley CCG) Surrey and Sussex Healthcare NHS Trust (SASH) Sussex Community NHS Foundation Trust
Evidence / Sources of Information	 West Sussex Clinical Commissioning Group (CCG) Sussex Community NHS Foundation Trust Surrey and Sussex Healthcare NHS Trust (SASH) NHS Long Term Plan Horsham and Mid Sussex CCG Annual Report and Accounts 2017/18 Coastal West Sussex CCG Local Estates Strategy 2018 Commissioning Better Outcomes for West Sussex: A Commissioning Strategy for WSCC
Current Provision	Healthcare across the District is delivered primarily by NHS England and the Clinical Commissioning Group (CCG), who commission Trusts to provide certain services and facilities. Care is delivered both at primary level, within communities, through GP surgeries and dentists and at the secondary (acute) care level. Within the District, this secondary care is delivered through the Horsham In and Out Patient services, provided by the Surrey and Sussex Healthcare NHS Trust (SASH). Residents are also served by acute care facilities outside the District, including East Surrey Hospital in Redhill, also managed by SASH.
	 Primary Care Networks (PCNs) are in place across the District and are based on groups of General Practices working together and with a range of other providers to deliver primary healthcare provision to local communities. These are based on populations of between 40,000 to 50,000 people. PCNs also cover social care and mental health services. The following PCNs operate across the District: Chanctonbury PCN (Billingshurst, Henfield, Steyning and Storrington) Rural North Chichester PCN (Pulborough and surgeries in Chichester District) Horsham Central PCN (surgeries within Horsham town) Horsham Collaborative PCN (surgeries across Horsham town and surrounding villages) The PCNs allow practices to work collaboratively within each network to offer a range of services individual practices would not ordinarily be able to provide. The networks also allow networks of surgeries to improve appointment capacity.

	Sussex Community NHS Foundation Trust provide community-based care services such as immunisations, health visitors, and specialist clinics which are usually delivered in association with established community facilities, such as GP surgeries. Care is delivered in line with the plans of the CCG. In association with other organisations, the Sussex and East Surrey Sustainability and Transformation Partnership (STP) has been formed to enable a more standardised and consistent interpretation of health needs and outcomes across the area. Any dental treatment that is classified as clinically necessary can be accessed through the NHS and dental services in the
	District are commissioned by CCGs. Other optional or cosmetic treatments may be offered by the same dentist or orthodontist but will not be available on the NHS.
Planned Provision	Three CCGs – Coastal West Sussex, Horsham and Mid Sussex and Crawley – recently merged to form the West Sussex CCG, covering a population of 855,000 people. The boundaries of the newly formed CCG match the footprint of the associated local authority, West Sussex County Council and it is envisaged that this will allow a greater co-operation and integration between the organisations.
	There are plans, notwithstanding any workforce and estate issues, to relocate services around the South East in order to continue to offer the level of health care required, with a smaller estate footprint. There are also plans to tackle existing and future labour supply issues, upskilling and retraining existing staff and encouraging GPs to specialise in community-based services.
Sources of Funding	 Central Government funding Developer contributions (via CIL / S106)
Key Issues & Future	Future growth across the District is likely to have an impact on NHS capacity and demand on NHS services, particularly
Considerations	locally, for primary care services. Growth of a small settlement, or a new settlement may not necessary justify, for example, a new GP surgery. However there are instances where growth can help sustain an existing service, or justify an increase in the specialist services at a nearby hub.
	Changes in the way the CCG is managed and structured signal to an intensification and enhancement of existing facilities and services being required in the first instance to support development. Whilst new facilities may not be built or staffed, funding will still be required for improvements to estates in order to increase capacity. In a new development, pharmacy provision and space within a community facility which could support a range of primary care services depending on the needs of the local community, for example, may be more appropriate.
	Horsham and Mid Sussex CCG's (now part of West Sussex CCG) representation on the Regulation 18 consultation commented that the Local Plan could result in between 15,000 and 19,000 new dwellings in the District, which could

equate to 37,500 to 47,500 new patients to be added into the CCG's forward planning model. In order to accommodate
this increase, they have advised that existing GP practice buildings will require "meaningful alterations".

2.3.2 Health and Social Care: A	cute care and general hospitals
Lead Organisation(s)	West Sussex Clinical Commissioning Group (CCG) (formally Horsham and Mid Sussex CCG, Coastal West Sussex CCG and Crawley CCG)
Evidence / Sources of Information	 Sussex Community NHS Foundation Trust Sussex and Surrey Healthcare NHS Trust (SASH) West Sussex CCG
Current Provision	 Horsham District residents have a choice of acute care facilities and general hospitals available to them, although all but one of these are outside the District. They are: *Horsham Hospital, Hurst Road *Crawley Hospital, Crawley East Surrey Hospital, Redhill Princess Royal Hospital, Haywards Heath St Richards Hospital, Chichester Royal Sussex County Hospital, Brighton Worthing Hospital, Worthing *Limited services, such as minor injuries, some in- and out-patient clinics and maternity services Anecdotally, following conversations with SASH, and based on travel times, residents in the north of the District are likely to attend East Surrey, whereas those south of Cowfold and east of Pulborough are more likely to use Haywards Heath, Brighton or Worthing hospitals.
Planned Provision	In order to address ongoing staffing issues, SASH will be recruiting in the region of 600 staff from overseas. There are issues around accommodation and housing for these staff, particularly among lower paid employees for whom affordability in the area is an issue. There is the potential for developers and NHS bodies to work together when creating new communities to ensure the need to plan for healthy communities is addressed at the earliest stage. Equally important is local authorities and healthcare bodies working together to develop policies which promote healthy communities within new developments.

	Currently CCGs set the budgets and direction of Trusts, however an Integrated Care System (ICS) is being developed, which brings together representatives from each healthcare organisation within an area to agree health outcomes and how their budget is used.
Sources of Funding	Developer contributions (CIL, S106)
obulces of Funding	 Developer contributions (CIL, STOS) Central Government funding
Key Issues & Future	Horsham District has an ageing population which is likely to put a strain on services across the District, with the population
Considerations	aged 65 and over set to increase by 61% by 2039, and 85 and over by 119% in the same period, compared with an overall population growth of 24%.
	There is no access to a general hospital or A&E within the District, with residents travelling to Redhill, Worthing, Brighton, Chichester or Haywards Heath – the choice of hospital will vary depending on location in the District. There is currently a high and increasing level of A&E attendance in the area (made up of patients accessing the department via the ambulance service or as walk-ins) and this signals to insufficient GP and minor injuries provision.

2.3.3 Health and Social Care: Adult Social Care – Sheltered, Supported and Extra Care Housing	
Lead Organisation(s)	West Sussex County Council
Evidence / Sources of Information	Advice from West Sussex County Council
	 Adult social care in West Sussex: Our vision and strategy 2019-2021
	West Sussex Care Guide 2020/21
	 Northern West Sussex Strategic Housing Market Assessment (SHMA) (November 2019)
Current Provision	West Sussex County Council has a statutory responsibility for social care and public health as set out in the Care Act 2014 and corresponding legislation. The West Sussex Adult social care strategy 2019-2021 highlights that within the next 20 years the number of people aged 65 and over living in West Sussex will increase by more than 100,000. People aged 85 and over will make up a third of this increase. Within Horsham District, the Northern West Sussex SHMA states that significant expected growth in the population of older people is expected with those over the age of 65 expected to grow by 19,960 in the District by 2039, equivalent to a 61% increase. This compares with an overall population growth of 24% and a more modest increase in the under 65 population. For persons over the age of 75, the SHMA anticipates that there will be an increase of 13,654 persons. Extra Care Housing is different to Care Homes, in that it is expected that the development will be of self- contained residential adapted accommodation with occupation being via either a tenancy or a lease. The care and support element is a vital factor, and is integral to the success of an Extra Care Scheme. WSCC would expect care delivery to be
	 able to support care needs of residents 24/7. Developments that cannot provide this should not be classed as Extra Care Housing. WSCC prefer the delivery of Extra Care Housing to be via a Registered Provider (RP). This ensures that affordable housing on site is delivered, and that those with an assessed care need from West Sussex can access the scheme. There is a need to support working-age adults who have care and support needs to allow them to be as independent as possible. Extra Care Housing can contribute to meeting the housing and care requirements of some of these adults. The removal of an age restriction for Extra Care Housing i.e. for those aged over 55 would enable this. Although there are existing Extra Care Housing schemes in Horsham District, there remains an undersupply of Extra Care
---------------------------------------	---
	Housing and therefore room for further development in Horsham District.
Planned Provision	WSCC has a target of 500 new Extra Care Housing homes across West Sussex until 2025 and beyond.
Sources of Funding	 WSCC Adult Social Care Budget Private sector funding Developer contributions (on-site delivery of specialist or retirement housing)
Key Issues & Future Considerations	WSCC advises that where new purpose-built Extra Care Housing is proposed, it should deliver at least 60 homes per development, with a land requirement of at least 2 acres so that the housing and associated communal facilities can be accommodated. These should be close to centres of population, and in sustainable locations where there are existing or planned facilities, such as local shops, health facilities etc and public transport is available or can be enhanced. This is to meet the needs of residents of such developments who may not, or who no longer, drive and to enable the workforce to easily access the Extra Care Housing in order to deliver services. WSCC will not support Extra Care Housing development, which is isolated from local facilities, and remote from public transport. Policy 19: Retirement and Specialist Care of the emerging HDLP sets out the Council's expectations for the delivery of housing for older people and those with specialist needs.
	The SHMA identifies a need for 2,087 units (1,132 units of housing with support and 955 units of housing with care) of specialist older persons housing in Horsham District, equivalent to 104 units per annum over the period 2019 – 2039. In addition, a need is identified for 1,518 care home bed spaces (C2 use class) in the District over the period to 2039.
	WSCC supports the delivery of Extra Care Housing (C3), over the development of residential institutions such as Care Homes (C2). There is an imbalance of provision in the County towards Care Homes (C2) and WSCC wish to develop the provision of Extra Care Housing (C3) to enable older people, and those with an assessed care need, to remain independent for as long as possible. WSCC notes that the District covers a large geographical area, and there may be demand for several schemes of 60 homes each in the timescale of this strategy.

	Although additional housing will increase demand for the services WSCC provides, the impact of the increase is difficult to estimate. It will be particularly influenced by the type of housing within the new developments. Development itself is unlikely to generate the requirement for specific infrastructure, however, an increase in the demand for the services that the Social Care team provide is likely to require additional staff. To meet the challenges of an ageing population, a range of innovative and flexible models of housing with care will need to be developed. The housing requirements and provision for older people and those with disabilities are covered through the housing policies of the emerging Horsham District Local Plan. West Sussex County Council highlight that development may be required to make provision for the needs of adults with social care needs in line with advice and guidance issued by WSCC at the time of application.
--	--

2.4 Community and Sports Facilities

2.4.1 Community and Sports Facilities: Outdoor sport and recreation including children's play space				
Lead Organisation(s)	Horsham District Council			
	Parish and Neighbourhood Councils			
	Developers/site management organisations			
	Sports and leisure clubs			
Evidence / Sources of Information	 Horsham District Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Review 2021 			
	 Horsham District Council District-Wide Community Facilities Assessment (February 2021) 			
	 Horsham District Council Golf Supply and Demand Assessment February 2021 			
	 Horsham District Council Built Sports Facility Strategy 2019 			
	Horsham District Council Playing Pitch Strategy 2019			
	Sport and Physical Activity Strategy 2016-2031			
	Horsham District Council Play Strategy 2017-2027			
	Sports England Active Places Power Tool (and Sports Facility Calculator)			
	Local Football Facility Plan (Football Foundation) 2019			
Current Provision	According to the details contained in the Council's Playing Pitch Strategy (2019) and in terms of the Public Health			
	England definition for physical activity (150 minutes per week) 18.3% of adults are doing enough physical activity to			
	benefit their health (compared with the national average of 17.5%). However, the Sport England Active Lives survey			
	suggests that the District is slightly below the regional and national averages for proportions of 'Active' people.			

 alongside an indoor training facility. The second is a private, outdoor eight-lane track at the private Rikkyo Sch Rudgwick. It is understood that the track at Broadbridge Heath has been proposed to be relocated and expanded eight-lane track, although there are no formal plans to this effect at the time of writing. The District has 22 outdoor tennis courts which are run as 'Pay and Play' facilities in addition to being used by clubs. are also 28 Multi-Use Games Areas (MUGAs) which can be used for tennis, among other sports, and a further 58 M type facilities within parks across the District. These are of varying quality and may require updating. 52 children's areas across the District are managed by Horsham District Council, with others managed by either Parish Counc privately. To support the Local Plan Review a District-wide Community Facilities Assessment has been undertaken to evaluat current community facilities and identify if there are any shortfalls across settlements, including Horsham tow community facilities were identified and evaluated as part of the assessment. Based on current circumstance assessment found that the facilities in Billingshurst and Steyning and Bramber had some minor latent demand to additional provision was required. Current demand is met by the existing facilities in Broadbridge Heath, He Pulborough and Codmore Hill and Southwater so no additional provision is required. In Storrington and Sullingto Upper Beeding it was found that existing facilities meet current demand but any significant housing growth may wan increase in provision. Similarly, assessment of medium and smaller villages and secondary and unclassified estitle found that demand for community bookings is generally met for each settlement, most facilities are operating above 	Pitch sports in Horsham District depend on public sector provision, particularly local parks and recreation grounds. It therefore, important to ensure these are maintained and designed to accommodate multi-functional uses. There a currently six sand-based Artificial Turf Pitches (ATPs) in the District, in Billingshurst, Horsham, Broadbridge Heath a Steyning, with most of the District having reasonable access to these facilities. Far fewer residents have access to a "3 pitch.	re nd
are also 28 Multi-Use Games Areas (MUGAs) which can be used for tennis, among other sports, and a further 58 M type facilities within parks across the District. These are of varying quality and may require updating. 52 children's areas across the District are managed by Horsham District Council, with others managed by either Parish Counc privately. To support the Local Plan Review a District-wide Community Facilities Assessment has been undertaken to evalua current community facilities and identify if there are any shortfalls across settlements, including Horsham tow community facilities were identified and evaluated as part of the assessment. Based on current circumstance assessment found that the facilities in Billingshurst and Steyning and Bramber had some minor latent demand to additional provision was required. Current demand is met by the existing facilities in Broadbridge Heath, Her Pulborough and Codmore Hill and Southwater so no additional provision is required. In Storrington and Sullingto Upper Beeding it was found that existing facilities meet current demand but any significant housing growth may w an increase in provision. Similarly, assessment of medium and smaller villages and secondary and unclassified settler found that demand for community bookings is generally met for each settlement, most facilities are operating above	There are two artificial athletics tracks in Horsham; one 'Pay and Play' synthetic six-lane track at Broadbridge Hea alongside an indoor training facility. The second is a private, outdoor eight-lane track at the private Rikkyo School Rudgwick. It is understood that the track at Broadbridge Heath has been proposed to be relocated and expanded to eight-lane track, although there are no formal plans to this effect at the time of writing.	in
current community facilities and identify if there are any shortfalls across settlements, including Horsham tow community facilities were identified and evaluated as part of the assessment. Based on current circumstance assessment found that the facilities in Billingshurst and Steyning and Bramber had some minor latent demand be additional provision was required. Current demand is met by the existing facilities in Broadbridge Heath, Her Pulborough and Codmore Hill and Southwater so no additional provision is required. In Storrington and Sullingto Upper Beeding it was found that existing facilities meet current demand but any significant housing growth may w an increase in provision. Similarly, assessment of medium and smaller villages and secondary and unclassified settler found that demand for community bookings is generally met for each settlement, most facilities are operating above	The District has 22 outdoor tennis courts which are run as 'Pay and Play' facilities in addition to being used by clubs. The are also 28 Multi-Use Games Areas (MUGAs) which can be used for tennis, among other sports, and a further 58 MUG type facilities within parks across the District. These are of varying quality and may require updating. 52 children's pl areas across the District are managed by Horsham District Council, with others managed by either Parish Councils privately.	A- ay
13 facilities available to the public and, with the exception of Drill Hall (below average), all were rated as average or All facilities were found to have spare capacity with the exception of Roffey Millennium Hall which had some latent der	To support the Local Plan Review a District-wide Community Facilities Assessment has been undertaken to evaluate the current community facilities and identify if there are any shortfalls across settlements, including Horsham town, community facilities were identified and evaluated as part of the assessment. Based on current circumstances the assessment found that the facilities in Billingshurst and Steyning and Bramber had some minor latent demand but additional provision was required. Current demand is met by the existing facilities in Broadbridge Heath, Henfie Pulborough and Codmore Hill and Southwater so no additional provision is required. In Storrington and Sullington a Upper Beeding it was found that existing facilities meet current demand but any significant housing growth may warra an increase in provision. Similarly, assessment of medium and smaller villages and secondary and unclassified settlemer found that demand for community bookings is generally met for each settlement, most facilities are operating above 60° and therefore any significant housing growth is likely to necessitate additional community floorspace. Horsham town he 13 facilities available to the public and, with the exception of Drill Hall (below average), all were rated as average or good All facilities were found to have spare capacity with the exception of Roffey Millennium Hall which had some latent demar The provision of Open Space facilities is considered a priority from the outset in the planning of residential development.	97 ne no d, nd nt tts %, as d. d.

An update to the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Review was commissioned by HDC to assess the current capacity of
spaces and facilities, and where enhancements are likely to be required in the future. The conclusion of the review with
regards to current provision are summarised below.
The district has 52 sites classified as parks and gardens, covering over 126 hectares. In addition, there are around 339
hectares of natural and semi-natural green space across 59 separate sites. Fields in Trust suggest 1.8 hectares per 1,000
population as a guide, with the District providing 3.57 hectares per 1,000 population. This figure includes Pulborough
Brooks, which is within the South Downs National Park, outside the planning area for HDC. There are a further 81 hectares
of amenity green space over 130 sites – these spaces include informal recreation space and village greens.
The District has 188 play locations over 9 hectares, which equates to around 0.07 hectares per 1,000 head of population.
The Review found that two thirds offer a reasonably high standard of quality of play provision, while around 40 sites are
rated as low quality. Around 14% of sites also rate as low value, indicating a lack of diverse equipment reducing the range
of ages they cater for.
or ages they caterior.
Outdoor sports
Outdoor sports provision includes bowling greens, tennis courts, golf and grass pitches. There are 8 bowling greens, 21
tennis courts, 7 golf sites and 19 grass pitch sites dedicated to club use (for instance, football, rugby or cricket and excluding
grass pitches already counted under wider open spaces such as parks).
The District has good bowling green provision with the exception of West Chiltington and Thakeham where there are no
facilities within an appropriate walking or driving catchment. Rudgwick, Slinfold, Cowfold and Ashington have facilities
within driving catchment but not within walking distance.
The District generally has good tennis court provision, however Rusper, Cowfold, Thakeham and Ashington do not appear
to be covered by a catchment.
Mapping undertaken for the Review demonstrated a good level of coverage for golf with no significant gaps in provision.
A separate Golf Supply & Demand Assessment has been undertaken which has found that there are more golf facilities
(eight in total) in the Horsham District than national and regional rates, and compared with most neighbouring local authority
areas, with only one club reporting capacity issues with a waiting list in place. Supply and demand analysis suggests there
is sufficient golfing provision.

Planned Provision	Updates and improvements to play areas in Rudgwick, Holbrook West and Horsham Park are currently under, or awaiting, consultation with the Council aiming to renew at least four areas a year.
	The strategic development at North Horsham is expected to deliver a sports hub, playing fields, leisure facilities, public open space and play parks as well as any outdoor sports facilities associated with the school.
	In Southwater, a request has been made for the outdoor sports facility financial contribution from the Broadacres development to go toward providing a 3G pitch at The Ghyll leisure centre. This project is still in the early stages with feasibility studies being undertaken and no funding yet committed.
	Any strategic-scale sites recommended for allocation through the Local Plan review process will be expected to provide on-site community and sports facilities and/or provide enhancements to existing local facilities to meet generated demand.
	The District-Wide Community Facilities Assessment calculated whether any additional community floor space is required in relation to key future housing growth areas and suggest that a new facility will be required as a result of Land at Buck Barn. Land East of Billingshurst and Land West of Southwater will require extensions to the existing facilities and it is suggested that an additional two court badminton-sized facility will be required as a result of Land West of Ifield, although this is subject to a recommended review of facilities in Crawley. These requirements have been set out in Part 3: Infrastructure Delivery Schedule.
Sources of Funding	 Developer contributions (on-site managed provision or enhancements to existing facilities via S106 / CIL) External grants, accessed through local community groups (play areas) Central government grants/funding Grants from sport governing bodies and/or Sport England
Key Issues & Future Considerations	Sports facilities required in the District have been identified in the Council's Built Sports Facilities Strategy and the Playing Pitch Strategy which both highlight a number of priorities including a need for an additional swimming pool (4 lane 25m pool or equivalent, an unmet demand in Horsham for Gymnastics facilities, a requirement for new 3 rd Generation artificial surface pitches, a deficit in youth football provision and hockey facilities in and around Horsham and in the Billingshurst sub-area.
	Some facilities, such as children's play spaces, local recreation areas and multifunctional green spaces, should be delivered alongside new development to ensure sufficient capacity to meet the demand generated by the scheme. It may be appropriate for other provision, such as youth facilities, to be delivered through developer contributions towards off-site schemes.

In order to compete with nearby eight-lane athletics facilities in Crawley, the District requires its own eight-lane athletics track with associated space for field events. This may be addressed by the relocation of the Broadbridge Heath track.
New development will generate its own requirement for play space for children, consequently this should be delivered alongside any new development schemes and in line with Council policy.
The Golf Supply & Demand Assessment (2021) commissioned by the Council suggests that while the District is well provided for with the facilities currently on offer, affordability is a key consideration with a potential requirement for more
pay and play facilities given the relative lack of choice of facilities in comparison with membership clubs.

2.4.2 Community and Sports Fac	ilities: Indoor sports facilities	
Lead Organisation(s)	 Horsham District Council Parish and Neighbourhood Councils Developers/site management organisations Private gym/fitness providers 	
Evidence / Sources of Information	 Horsham District Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Review 2021 Horsham District Council Built Sports Facility Strategy 2019 Horsham District Council Playing Pitch Strategy 2019 Sport and Physical Activity Strategy 2016-2031 	
Current Provision	 Sports England Active Places Power Tool (and Sports Facility Calculator) Swimming and going to the gym are the most popular sporting activities for adults in the Horsham District, with around 13% of adults participating at least once a month. There are at least 698 fitness stations in the District, across 25 sites all of which require membership or payment on a Pay and Play basis. There are currently considered to be sufficient health and fitness facilities to accommodate future demand with any unmet demand likely to be met by the private sector, which tends to be more popular and retain more loyal users than public facilities. Commercial fitness facilities are comparable in cost with Horsham District Council's facilities. The new Bridge Leisure Centre at Broadbridge Heath has a gym, sports hall and studio space including a cycling studio. There is also specialist provision for children, including a climbing wall. The Horsham District Council Sport and Physical Activity Strategy (2016-2031) set a target of an 18% increase in attendance at Council-owned leisure facilities by 2020, and the Bridge Leisure Centre was a key action in order to meet this. 	

	 Similarly, the existing supply of sports halls and swimming pools is sufficient for the current population. There are 22 swimming pools in the District, including hydrotherapy facilities, school pools and a pool at Horsham Hospital and Horsham Aquatic Centre. Three pools in the District are provided by Horsham District Council and have moveable floors which give flexibility in terms of use and capacity. 15 of these pools are available for community use (as opposed to private use only) and five of these have 'Pay and Play' access. The main Horsham town pool, Pavilions in the Park, is of good quality having been renovated in 2014. The age of the main structure means refurbishment or eventual replacement will need to be considered. Many of the pools in the District were built in the 1970s, therefore update and rebuilding may be required over time. The District benefits from an indoor training facility in Broadbridge Heath, known as The Tube, which acts as a regional specialist training facility. Tennis clubs have reported a decline in membership over recent years. The District has no purpose-built indoor tennis courts, however MUGAs do help to meet the current demand for courts. There are skateparks around the District, in Billingshurst, Broadbridge Heath, Henfield, Southwater and other villages. The largest is located in Horsham Park and is managed by Horsham District Council. The facility is open during daylight hours in the summer and floodlit until 11pm in the winter. 	
Planned Provision	The strategic development at North Horsham is expected to deliver a sports hub with indoor facilities as well as sports facilities associated with the school. Any strategic scale sites recommended for allocation through the Local Plan review process will be expected to provide	
Sources of Funding	 on-site community and sports facilities and/or provide enhancements to existing local facilities to meet generated demand. Developer contributions (on-site managed provision or enhancements to existing facilities via S106 / CIL) External grants, accessed through local community groups Central Government grants/funding Grants from sport governing bodies and/or Sport England 	
Key Issues & Future Considerations	Parish Councils have been encouraged to identify any unmet need for community facilities such as halls or community centres, or existing facilities which would benefit from maintenance or enhancement, with a view to the proportion of CIL paid to Parishes being directed towards these improvements. It should be noted that this is distinct from the proportion of CIL collected by Horsham District Council for the provision of infrastructure. There is a District-wide requirement for a dedicated gymnastics facility with a sprung floor. The location of a new facility would be best suited in the Horsham town area or south east of the District.	

2.4.3 Community and Sports Fac	ilities: Local halls and neighbourhood halls	i		
Lead Organisation(s)	Horsham District Council			
	Parish and Neighbourhood Councils			
	Developers/site management organisatio	ns		
	Church/Faith groups			
Evidence / Sources of Information	J			
	Horsham District Council District-Wide Co		uary 2021)	
	 Sport and Physical Activity Strategy 2016 		ary 2021)	
	Horsham District Council Built Sports Fac			
Current Provision	Small towns and larger villages will often serve th		ant itself as well as populations in	
	smaller settlements, particularly Smaller, Second			
			e	
	Secondary and Unclassified Villages will often me	et the needs of the individual settlemen	it itsell, though some may support	
	larger settlements nearby.			
There are 31 community facilities available for public hire within Horsham Town itself, and a further 58 factor		nd a further 56 facilities available		
	in the wider District.			
	Table 5: Number of community facilities quailable for him in Hereborn District			
	Table 5: Number of community facilities available for hire in Horsham District			
	Settlement Number of Community			
	Facilities			
	Horsham Town	31		
	Smaller Towns/Larg	er Villages		
	Billingshurst	2		
	Steyning & Bramber	4		
	Broadbridge Heath	3		
	Henfield	1		
	Pulborough & Codmore Hill	1		
Southwater 3				
	Storrington & Sullington	5 2		
	Upper Beeding Medium Villa			
	Ashington	4		
		7		

Barns Green	1
Cowfold	1
Partridge Green	1
Rudgwick & Bucks Green	4
Slinfold	1
Thakeham	1
Warnham	3
West Chiltington	2
Smaller, Secondary & Uncla	ssified Villages
Shipley	1
Colgate	1
Coolham	1
Copsale	1
Faygate	1
Lower Beeding	1
Mannings Heath	1
Rackham	1
Rusper	1
Small Dole	1
Washington	1

Horsham District Council's Community Facilities Assessment identified 97 distinct community facilities across the Horsham District. The majority of these are in areas of high population including Horsham Town however there is provision in more rural locations which help to serve smaller settlements.

A review of the available floorspace for settlements (based on a sqm per person calculation relative to the settlement size) and quality of community facility provision suggested that while there is no current requirement for further facilities, the provision in Storrington & Sullington and Upper Beeding will require an expansion, particularly at a strategic facility level, in order to accommodate any significant future growth. The Open Space, Sport and Recreation Review defines a strategic facility, based on Badminton England and Sport England guidance, as one which has a minimum floorspace of 300sqm. These are considered adequate to serve as a multi-functional community facility.

A number of facilities in the District meet the Badminton England standards and can be classed as a strategic facility – all Small Town / Larger Village scale settlements have at least one such facility with the exception of Storrington & Sullington

	and Upper Beeding. Both have smaller facilities within the settlement, and strategic scale facilities within a 3km radius.	
	There is reported as being little latent demand with adequate capacity either within settlements or nearby.	
	There is a fully sized 3G pitch planned for The Ghyll Community Building in Southwater.	
	Broadbridge Heath Leisure Centre has three sprung floor studios, two of which can be combined to form a larger, 233sqm, studio. The Drill Hall in Horsham Town is the second facility in the District with a sprung floor.	
	Community facilities within Horsham Town, as the main settlement, serve a strategic role for the whole District. Of the 31 facilities available in Horsham Town 14 meet the floor space requirement to meet the Sport England/Badminton England standard (exceeding 158sqm). Five meet the standard to be considered strategic facilities (floorspace greater than 300sqm). All except the Drill Hall are rated Good or Average in quality. Some require more pressing upgrades than others to improve quality however funding has not yet been committed. No facilities in the town are operating at capacity, with five operating at between 60-80% capacity, suggesting that, overall, supply is sufficient to meet demand.	
Planned Provision	Any strategic scale sites recommended for allocation through the Local Plan review process will be expected to provide	
	on-site community facilities and/or provide enhancements to existing local facilities to meet generated demand.	
Sources of Funding • West Sussex County Council		
	 Developer contributions (on-site managed provision or enhancements to existing facilities via S106 / CIL) 	
Key Issues & Future	The Community Facilities Needs Assessment concluded that although most community facilities currently have capacity	
Considerations	to meet demand, most are operating above 60% capacity. Consequently, significant housing growth in many of the District's settlements will result in a need for additional floorspace to meet future demand.	
	Many of the halls across the District, particularly rural halls which do not serve residents from outside the area or settlement, are old and in need of maintenance and improvements to make them fully fit for purpose. New halls should be designed with a wide range of activities and users in mind, with multiple rooms of varying sizes, kitchens and fully accessible toilet facilities. New development is likely to impact existing, local communities and will be expected to contribute to the enhancement of existing facilities, or their replacement where appropriate, to reflect the increased demand new residential units will place on community halls. The standard and quality following improvements should, at a minimum, reflect the current level of provision per person.	
	Based on an assumption about average persons per dwelling and the floorspace requirement generated, the District- Wide Community Facilities Assessment has suggested the following requirements will be generated by the proposed strategy:	

 <i>East of Billingshurst</i>: additional need is likely to fall below the amount required to justify a new facility on-site. An off-site contribution should be sought towards the enhancement or expansion of Billingshurst Community & Conference Centre, the Women's Hall or Adversane Village Hall, either to create additional floorspace or improve the quality and layout to cater for increased demand. <i>Buck Barn</i>: new facility likely to be required on site with the potential for additional support for existing facilities to supplement. This could be targeted to Dial Post or Partridge Green Village Halls. <i>West of Ifield</i>: new facility likely to will be required on site. Given the proximity to Crawley, however, a review of Crawley facilities is recommended. <i>West of Southwater</i>: additional need likely to fall below the amount required to justify a new facility on-site. An off-site contribution should be sought towards enhancement or extension of Southwater Leisure Centre, or expansion of Southwater Village Hall.

2.4.3 Community and Sports Fac	ilities: Libraries
Lead Organisation(s)	West Sussex County Council
Evidence / Sources of Information	West Sussex County Council
Current Provision	Anyone who lives, works or studies within the County can join a library and borrow items using a library card. This is a statutory service and is provided free of charge. The County Council collects contributions towards new libraries, the expansion of existing facilities or the delivery of Tier 7 facilities in large-scale development where facilities are delivered within community spaces.
	The County Council provides library services in custom-built facilities or within space allocated in community facilities. Stock is also available in the form of an e-Library service which gives users remote access to a selection of eBooks, eMagazines and eNewspapers.
	 There are currently libraries in the following locations across Horsham District: Horsham Billingshurst Henfield Pulborough Southwater Storrington Steyning

Planned Provision	The strategic development North of Horsham is expected to provide library facilities to meet the needs of local residents,
	potentially being provided within the community hub.
	Sites recommended for allocation through the Local Plan review process will be expected to provide on-site library facilities
	(where appropriate) and/or provide enhancements to existing local facilities to meet generated demand.
Sources of Funding	West Sussex County Council
	Developer contributions (via CIL / S106)
Key Issues & Future	New development is likely to increase the volume at which library resources are used. While an increase in use helps to
Considerations	increase the viability of the relevant facility, lack of corresponding funding increases and investment may threaten their
	future given that the service is free at the point of use.
	Smaller development may not be at a scale to justify a new facility, but developer contributions to a nearby facility will be required. Where expansion of the closest facility is not possible, it may be more appropriate for contributions to go to a larger library nearby. Similarly, development which is particularly rural or isolated from existing larger settlements should offer facilities as part of the scheme.
	In addition to contributions towards existing local libraries, a number of sites would require a new library facility to be provided. While there is an existing library in Southwater, expansion of this facility is not possible, therefore contributions would be sought towards Horsham Library.

2.4.4 Community and Sports Fac	ilities: Cemeteries
Lead Organisation(s)	Horsham District Council
Evidence / Sources of Information	Horsham District Council Cemeteries Manager
Current Provision	There is currently a 14 year supply of burial land in the District. Horsham District Council runs and maintains three
	cemeteries; Hills Cemetery (principal cemetery), Roffey Cemetery and Denne Road Cemetery (now closed).
	Additional space has been provided at Hills Cemetery recently, however there is no longer capacity at Roffey Cemetery for new plots and Denne Road cemetery is now closed.
	There is no crematorium in the District, with the nearest facilities in either Worthing, Chichester or Crawley.
Planned Provision	As part of the strategic allocation at Land North of Horsham a new cemetery will be delivered. 10ha of land has been
	safeguarded for this under the outline planning application. This will take the supply of burial land to over 100 years,

	therefore assuming this provision comes forward there will be no need for further burial land in the District for the
	foreseeable future.
Sources of Funding	Charges for burial plots and memorials
	Developer contributions (via CIL / S106)
Key Issues & Future	Future provision of burial land requires the proposal for a new cemetery at Land North of Horsham to come forward. If this
Considerations	does not come forward, or if this is delayed beyond 7/8 years, additional facilities will be required.
	A lodge house, in order to discourage vandalism, should be required for any new cemetery in the District.

2.4.5 Community and Sports Fa	acilities: Allotments
Lead Organisation(s)	Horsham District Council
	Parish and Neighbourhood Councils
	Developers/site management organisations
Evidence / Sources of Information	Horsham District Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Review 2021
	Horsham District Council's Community Services Directorate
Current Provision	There are 28 sites classified as allotments in Horsham District, equating to 23.33 hectares (0.16 hectares per 1,000 head
	of population). This is below the standard minimum amount set by the National Society of Allotment and Leisure Gardens
	of 34.89 hectares (0.25 per 1,000 head of population).
	There are currently 12 allotments within Horsham town itself and more across the District. Most of those in the town can
	be leased from Horsham District Council or Parish Councils and are run by allotment societies. Allotments outside
	Horsham town are managed either by Parish Councils, or privately. Most allotment site have vacant plots.
Planned Provision	Any strategic scale sites recommended for allocation through the Local Plan review process will be expected to provide
	on-site allotment facilities and/or provide enhancements to existing local facilities to meet generated demand.
Sources of Funding	Developer contributions (via CIL / S106)
Key Issues & Future	There is a lack of allotment provision across the District and therefore the Council is committed, where appropriate, to
Considerations	require development to contribute to additional allotment provision.
	Re-development of existing allotments will require the equivalent provision in a suitable location.

2.5 Green Infrastructure

Lead Organisation(s)	West Sussex County Council
	Environment Agency
	Horsham District Council
Evidence / Sources of Information	Horsham Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA) January 2020
	Upper Mole SFRA September 2020
	 West Sussex LLFA Policy for the Management of Surface Water 2018
	River Adur Catchment Flood Management Plan 2009
	 Arun and Western Streams Catchment Flood Management Plan 2009
Current Provision	There are no Areas Benefitting from Defences (ABD) in Horsham District (defined as areas where defences are designed to protect against a 1 in 100 annual probability). The SFRA 2020 highlights the following areas of the District which are dependent on flood defences:
	Raised embankments on the River Arun downstream of Pallingham Lock
	 150m Flood defence wall along River Arun in Pulborough
	Raised embankments on western and eastern branches of River Arun up to upstream of Partridge Green
	According to the SFRA, approximately 91% of the Horsham District within the Arun and Adur catchments falls within Flood Zone 1, with a low probability of flooding from rivers (fluvial). 6% is within Flood Zone 2 (medium probability) and 5% in Flood Zone 3 (high probability).
	Flood zones in the north east of the District (around Horsham town and the surrounding villages) are affected by the River Arun, whereas the River Adur's flood zones affect the south east of the District, including the parishes of Henfield, Steyning Bramber and Upper Beeding.
	West Sussex County Council is the Lead Local Flood Authority (LLFA) for the District. The Local Flood Risk Management Strategy is produced to manage flood risk across the area. The vast majority of properties in the District are not identified as being at risk from flooding. The document identifies six 'wet spots' in the District – areas where existing properties are at risk from flooding. These areas do not cover the entire extent of the settlement or parish. These are:

Wet Spot Area	Surface Water Flood Risk	River and Sea Flood Risk
Billingshurst	Y	Y
Bramber and Upper Beeding	Y	Y
Horsham	Y	N
Pulborough	Y	N
Southwater	Y	N
Storrington	Y	N

 Table 6: WSCC 'Wet Spots' in Horsham District

The highest flood risk comes from surface water flooding. The risk is predominantly from surface water flooding as a result of heavy rainfall. All six wet spots are drained by the urban sewer network (which is maintained routinely but also reactively when necessary) and by the river network.

Flooding may occur as a result of excess surface water run-off where drainage is inhibited and, to some extent, from excess from local watercourses. Serious flooding would be caused by very high rainfall events. WSCC's Policy for Management of Surface Water document states that any drainage strategy for new development must take account of any Surface Water Management Plan (SWMP) where the site is covered by one. Horsham District is not currently covered by any SWMPs.

There are two Catchment Flood Management Plans (CFMPs) in the District – one for the Adur, one for the Arun. The Adur CFMP places most of the Horsham District under Policy 6, relating to areas of low to moderate flood risk. Action is targeted in locations where water storage or run-off management will provide either environmental benefits or improve flood risk locally or overall. Steyning and Upper Beeding are the only exceptions to this policy. This area is covered by Policy 3, which relates to areas where flood risk is currently being managed effectively. Action here is focused on improving new flood risks as they emerge.

There are 19 rivers and watercourses in the District which fall within the river catchments of the Adur or the Arun.

	frequent and severe	the importance of taking into account the susceptibility of areas currently at risk of flooding flooding as the effects of climate change are felt. It will also be necessary to consider when ng will increase in area over time, as a result of climate change.	
Planned Provision		nting flooding both on- and off-site is dealt with during the masterplanning and design stage a of the likely specific impacts of the development itself.	and
		ces along tidal areas of the rivers Arun and Adur are owned and maintained by either the	
	Environment Agency		
Sources of Funding		ernment funding (Defra)	
		ontributions (via CIL / S106)	
Key Issues & Future Considerations	surface water run off back into the ground, Developer contributio	nt (10 or more dwellings or 1,000sqm of floorspace) is required to ensure there is no increase as a result of development. Individual developments should discharge surface water run-off to or into a surface water body and then into a sewer. The sought to mitigate the impact of development on flood risk, including the delivery povements to existing defences, alleviation and attenuation schemes and culvert maintenance	firstly of new
	District.	for at risk properties and highways. The following table indicates the spatial risk of flooding in a conflooding in the District (taken from the Horsham SFRA 2020)	
	District.	for at risk properties and highways. The following table indicates the spatial risk of flooding in	
	District. Table 7: Spatial risk	for at risk properties and highways. The following table indicates the spatial risk of flooding in a of flooding in the District (taken from the Horsham SFRA 2020)	
	District. Table 7: Spatial risk Flood Risk Source	for at risk properties and highways. The following table indicates the spatial risk of flooding in a confinence of flooding in the District (taken from the Horsham SFRA 2020) Areas at Principal Risk	
	District. Table 7: Spatial risk Flood Risk Source Fluvial/Tidal	for at risk properties and highways. The following table indicates the spatial risk of flooding in A of flooding in the District (taken from the Horsham SFRA 2020) Areas at Principal Risk Horsham town and the surrounding villages, Pulborough, Upper Beeding and Bramber Horsham, Pulborough, Storrington, Southwater, Bramber & Upper Beeding and	
	District. Table 7: Spatial risk Flood Risk Source Fluvial/Tidal Surface	for at risk properties and highways. The following table indicates the spatial risk of flooding in a of flooding in the District (taken from the Horsham SFRA 2020) Areas at Principal Risk Horsham town and the surrounding villages, Pulborough, Upper Beeding and Bramber Horsham, Pulborough, Storrington, Southwater, Bramber & Upper Beeding and Billingshurst	

2.5.2 Green Infrastructure: Ope	en spaces and parks
Lead Organisation(s)	West Sussex County Council
	High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB)
	South Downs National Park Authority
	Sussex Wildlife Trust
Evidence / Sources of Information	Horsham District Council Open Space, Sport and Recreation Review 2021
	Horsham District Council Green Space Strategy 2013-2023
	High Weald AONB Management Plan 2019-2024
	Horsham District Council Green Infrastructure Strategy 2014
	Addendum: Green Infrastructure Strategy 2014 – January 2021
Current Provision	As well as offering leisure and recreation benefits, open space and parks can provide a valuable resource for those who are less likely to participate in formal, organised physical activities.
	The Council offers a Healthy Walks programme which is aimed at both those with long term health conditions or those looking for longer, more strenuous routes. Many of these walks make use of the District's parks and open spaces.
	As well as an area of Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB), and a designation within (and easy access to) the South Downs National Park, there are three major parks in the District; Horsham Park, Southwater Country Park and Warnham Local Nature Reserve. The following open spaces are also available to the public:
	Chesworth Farm
	Leechpool and Owlbeech Woods
	Garden of Remembrance and Mill Bay, Horsham
	Sandgate Park, Storrington
	Meadowside, Storrington
	Henfield Common
	There are also a number of walking routes across the District and smaller informal areas of open space. Parish Councils can adopt their own green spaces if the land is identified as serving the local community.
	Public Rights of Way also form an important part of the District's Green Infrastructure and are covered in more detail in section 2.1.4 Transport: Cycling, Walking and Equestrian Routes
Planned Provision	In 2019 Horsham District Council and Sussex Wildlife Trust agreed a five year partnership under the Wilder Horsham District project. The aim of the project, alongside the Council's Corporate Plan, is to create a network of green spaces

			fit the resilience of the District's ecology to climate mprove their local natural environments.	e change whilst
Sources of Funding	-	contributions (via CIL / S106		
		ntal stewardship		
	Grant fundi	5		
Key Issues & Future Considerations	· · ·		the existing public rights of way, incorporating the solation and cumulatively) of the development.	ese into schemes in
	opportunities across Development will be depending on some The Open Space, S comprehensive acc	s the District for investment i e expected to deliver a minin e sites) and this will ultimately oport and Recreation Review ess could be provided, in ord	hip, an emerging strategic Nature Recovery Network, and enhancement of green spaces, habitats are num 10% biodiversity net gain (though the gain de y contribute to the network of green infrastructure 2021 has identified where new sites may be nee der to support new development and to mitigate the been reviewed in terms of catchment (access with	nd nature recovery. elivered may be higher and open spaces. ded, or more he impact of increases
		following catchment gaps hand the gaps by settlement		and a defined area) and
		following catchment gaps ha		
		following catchment gaps ha	ve been identified:	
		following catchment gaps han t gaps by settlement Analysis area	Catchment gap	
		following catchment gaps ha It gaps by settlement Analysis area Rudgwick	Catchment gap Gap in catchment	
		following catchment gaps ha nt gaps by settlement Analysis area Rudgwick Slinfold	Catchment gap Gap in catchment Gap in catchment Gap in catchment	
		following catchment gaps ha at gaps by settlement Analysis area Rudgwick Slinfold Itchingfield	Catchment gap Gap in catchment	
		following catchment gaps ha Analysis area Rudgwick Slinfold Itchingfield Broadbridge Heath	Catchment gap Gap in catchment	
		following catchment gaps ha Analysis area Rudgwick Slinfold Itchingfield Broadbridge Heath Warnham	Catchment gap Gap in catchment	
		following catchment gaps ha Analysis area Rudgwick Slinfold Itchingfield Broadbridge Heath Warnham Rusper	Catchment gap Gap in catchment Gap in catchment	

	Pulborough	Minor gaps in catchment
	West Chiltington	Minor gaps in catchment
	Thakeham	Gap in catchment
	Storrington & Sullington	Minor gaps in catchment
	Steyning	Minor gaps in catchment to west
	Ashurst	Gap in catchment
	Woodmancote	Gap in catchment
	Henfield	Minor gaps in catchment to north

2.6 Emergency Services

2.6.1 Emergency Services: Am Lead Organisation(s)	Sussex East Coast Ambulance Service (SECAmb)		
• • • • •			
Evidence / Sources of Information	Meetings with South East Coast Ambulance Service		
	 South East Coast Ambulance Service Five Year Strategic Plan 2017-2022 		
	 South East Coast Ambulance Service Annual Report 2017/2018 		
	 South East Coast Ambulance Trust Delivery Plan 2017-2019 		
	Demand and Capacity Review of South East Coast Ambulance Service NHS Foundation Trust Final Summary		
	Report August 2018		
Current Provision	The District's Ambulance service is provided by South East Coast Ambulance Service (SECAmb), which forms part of the		
	NHS Foundation Trust. SECAmb covers the area around Horsham and Crawley as well as other Districts across West		
	Sussex, Brighton and Hove, East Sussex, Kent, Surrey and North East Hampshire.		
	In 2016, Horsham, Crawley, East Grinstead and Horley ambulance stations combined to form a new Make Ready Centre		
	(MRC) in Manor Royal, near Gatwick - one large, purpose-built facility where operatives can clean, re-stock and prepare		
	vehicles, ready for paramedics to take out. The Gatwick MRC is one of 10 operating units across the SECAmb network		
	and is also one of the largest with around 140 A&E staff working on site. Alongside MRCs are Ambulance Community		
	Response Posts (ACRPs); small units, sometimes shared with GP surgeries or fire stations, where ambulance crews can		

	be sent during their shift in order to provide cover for an area. The Horsham ACRP for the Manor Royal site is based on
	Hurst Road, Horsham.
	Turst Road, Horsham.
	Calls to SECAmb are categorised, based on their acuity, from C1 (most critical) to C4. The Trust is currently meeting target
	response times for C1 and C2 calls, but not meeting targets for C3 and C4 calls (based on pre-pandemic information
	provided to us by SECAmb).
	The Trust has successful Community First Despender (CED) schemes tunning in communities across the District mode
	The Trust has successful Community First Responder (CFR) schemes running in communities across the District, made
	up of volunteers trained to attend certain emergency calls in the areas where they live and work and to provide emergency
	care and reassurance before an ambulance arrives. The CFR network is particularly important to communities in rural
	locations where response times can be longer. A new CFR team requires at least 2 CFRs, who are trained by SECAmb
	and who also provide equipment and a mobile phone. New CFRs are provided with uniforms and an AED (Automated
Disus ed Drevision	External Defibrillator) by the CFR team.
Planned Provision	The service is in the process of increasing its workforce and resources under a Service Transformation and Delivery Plan,
	which has already commenced and will run until 2021, following significant investment by commissioners.
	Improvements to the convice on part of the 2010-2021 plan focus on increasing exercising lateff courses the Tructu
	Improvements to the service as part of the 2019-2021 plan focus on increasing operational staff across the Trust;
	increasing the numbers of Double Crewed Ambulances (DCAs); and developing the existing network of Community First
	Responders.
	The new plans for areas covered by the Gatwick MRC commenced in April 2019 and replace those which have been in
	place since 2016. These areas have seen a 20% increase in front line operational staff numbers by April 2021. Resources
	on site will increase the peak number of DCAs from 12 to 17 and these DCAs will continue to be supported by Team
	Leaders and Specialist Paramedics. Non-emergency response vehicles have been re-introduced, which can respond to
	lower acuity patients who have already been assessed by healthcare professionals.
	lower acuity patients who have already been assessed by healthcare professionals.
	The Trust's CFR schemes will also be expanded thanks to the clinical training facilities available at the Gatwick MRC.
	These plans have been based on current (pre-pandemic) demand, therefore housing development and population increase
	in the area will result in further demand which would need to be addressed.
Sources of Funding	
	maintenance is shared between the CFR teams and other local community groups, including Parish Councils.

	Charitable donations		
	Developer contributions (via CIL / S106)		
Key Issues & Future	Public Access Defibrillators are known to save lives and should be available within local communities and in places where		
Considerations	significant numbers of people gather, such as community centres. There are initial set up costs associated with AEDs as well as on-going costs for maintenance (ie replacement batteries and pads). The cost of providing uniform and equipment for new or expanding CFR teams are normally met by the CFR team or with charitable donations.		
	Recent ambulance station closures and centralisation of their resources mean there are areas in the District with a high number of 999 calls with no ambulance station. In these areas there is a requirement for Ambulance Community Response Posts (ACRPs). SECAmb advise that the ACRP at Billingshurst may require expansion and enhancement or, alternatively, a purpose-built replacement facility.		

2.6.2 Emergency Service: Fire and Rescue Service		
Lead Organisation(s)	West Sussex Fire and Rescue Service	
Evidence / Sources of Information	West Sussex County Council Fire and Rescue Integrated Risk Management Plan 2018-2022	
	 West Sussex Fire and Rescue Service Annual Report 2019/20 	
	 West Sussex Fire and Rescue Annual Statement of Assurance 2019-20 	
	Communities and Public Protection Strategy 2016-2020	
Current Provision	West Sussex Fire and Rescue Service (WSFRS) covers a wide area, including Horsham, Bognor Regis, Crawley an Gatwick Airport as well as part of the South Downs National Park. They serve just over 840,000 people in both urban an rural areas. Their headquarters, shared with West Sussex County Council, are in Chichester.	
	As well as responding to emergency calls, the service raises awareness on how to prevent fires and other emergency incidents through education, protection and prevention activities.	
	Service standards measure the time taken to respond to critical fires. Special services and resources are mobilised via the Sussex Control Centre with further resources requested by responding officers if required. Performance is, therefore, directly linked to the number of officers and vehicles available to respond.	
	Although the WSFRS has strategically located fire stations, the capacity of these stations can vary and crews work flexibly, attending incidents some distance away from their assigned fire station where necessary.	

Planned Provision	WSFRS is taking steps to improve the recruitment levels of retained firefighters by introducing retained liaison officers as well as reviewing working arrangements and opportunities for staff. This will improve response times across the District but particularly in rural areas, where on-call engines are often the closest to the incident.			
	The One Public Estate programme is a national initiative which encourages public sector services to share land and buildings. As part of the programme, it is understood that WSFRS plans to deliver a combined emergency response centre which will provide operational and training facilities for the Fire Service and Sussex Police.			
	As part of the Service's strategy for the period 2018-2022 it plans to:			
	 Develop a safe system to enable fire engines to be crewed with fewer than the current four firefighters, where necessary; and 			
	 Provide enhanced firefighting equipment, including smaller fire engines as part of a more modern and sustainable fleet 			
Sources of Funding	 Council Tax Central Government funding Developer Contributions (via CIL / S106) 			
Key Issues & Future	Development must make contributions which are sufficient to enable WSFRS to continue meeting its statutory requirement			
Considerations	and prescribed standards of fire cover.			
	WSFRS is staffed by both whole time and retained (on-call) firefighters. Retained staff provide 27 of the 35 fire engines across the whole of West Sussex, however recruiting sufficient numbers of on-call responders is a challenge and recent recruitment activity has been aligned to the number of firefighters leaving the service.			
	The rural nature of the District can present its own issues as the travel distance to reach emergencies can increase response times. West Sussex County Council advise that although WSFRS has strategically placed fire stations, the crewing and firefighting capacity at each station can vary. As such, WSFRS resources work flexibly and regularly attend incidents some distance away from their assigned station in order to suitably resource each emergency incident. For example a fire in Henfield is likely to use resources from Fire stations in Henfield, Partridge Green and Horsham (and further afield if the incident is a large one). West Sussex County Council therefore, highlight that the effect of the proposed site allocations must be examined holistically.			
	In addition to the increase in emergency incidents that additional housing incurs, there will be further 'Prevention and Protection' activity required, which will increase the demand on WSFRS resources.			

WSCC have indicated that redevelopment of existing fire stations in Horsham, Billingshurst, Crawley and Partridge
Green will be required to support delivery of strategic sites in the plan, including training facilities and equipment.
Stations in Storrington, Steyning and Henfield will also require development to support smaller sites. Community Fire
Safety resource and equipment will also be necessary at Horsham Fire Station in order to mitigate cumulative impacts.

Lead Organisation(s)	Sussex Police			
Evidence / Sources of Information	Meetings with Susse	x Police		
	Sussex Police & Crir	ne Plan 2017/21		
	Sussex PCC Medium	n Term Financial Strategy 2020/	/21 to 2023/24	
	Sussex Police: Operative	ational Delivery Plan 2018-2019)	
Current Provision	Policing in Sussex is split into thre Arun, Chichester, Crawley and Moverlaps. Policing roles and their Divisional (specialist services, su crime or counter terrorism). Across Sussex as a whole there wide departments. There are also support staff are dedicated to def staff where numbers are clearly a Local Policing is a key element of Policing Model is based around F Sussex Police currently operates	Aid Sussex. The SDNP is also r departments are categorised a ch as investigations) or Force-w are in excess of 3,100 officers to 2,461 support staff employed livery of policing in the Horsham and directly associated with pop of Sussex Police's approach to Prevention, Response and Invest	covered by respective as either Dedicated (D vide (specialist services on active duty across across the three depar n District, however thes ulation growth keeping communities a	teams within each division that histrict or Neighbourhood specific s across the county, such as Maj be Dedicated, Divisional and Forc tments. Around 96 officers and 5 se figures account only for policir
	Site	Location	Ownership	Opening Hours
	Horsham County Hall Police Office	County Hall, Horsham	Leasehold	No public access
	Horsham Police Station	Hurst Road, Horsham	Freehold	10am – 2pm and 3pm – 6pm (Monday to Friday)

	Steyning Police Station	Charlton Street, Steyning	Freehold	10am – 2pm (Monday to Friday)
	Pulborough Police Office (opened following closure of Pulborough Police Station)	Stane Street Nurseries, Pulborough	Leasehold	Appointment Only
	Southwater Community Police Office	Beeson House, Fairbank Road, Southwater	Leasehold	Appointment Only
	Billingshurst Community Police Office	Billingshurst Village Hall, Roman Way, Billingshurst	Leasehold	Appointment Only
	In the Horsham administrative a Neighbourhood Policing teams) an		÷ .	
	Police funding is derived from a concern changes in the formula for calculate available.	ing grants from central governm	ent mean there is so	me uncertainty over future funding
Planned Provision	In 2018 Sussex Police announced overall increase of 200 officers. Su station or relocate to a new site in Generally speaking, 1,000 homes anything from a space within a con	ssex Police have identified a sig the District. s would be enough to trigger a	requirement for add	e improvements to Horsham police
Sources of Funding	 Central Government fu Council Tax Developer contribution 			
Key Issues & Future Considerations	In order to avoid placing additional strain on existing offers and support staff, the Police service will always seek to deploy additional staff and infrastructure to deliver services where additional development takes place. Given that policing is a population-based service, an increase in the population inevitably leads to an increase in the demands of the Police. Development at the level proposed in this Plan period is significant, in policing terms, and will require additional staffing to ensure a level of policing in line with what is already being provided. The following infrastructure would be required in line with new development: Personal equipment, including radios, protective equipment, uniforms A range of additional police vehicles 			

Premises/enhancements to premises to accommodate staff
 Additional Automatic Number Plate Recognition (ANPR) and Closed Circuit Television cameras. CCTV is owned and operated in partnership with Local Authorities and private businesses, and new development should be required to invest in this infrastructure as a preventative measure
 Other capital infrastructure such as telephony and specialist equipment (ie for use by forensics teams, prisoner detention and transportation).
It has been estimated that, based on the current population of the District and recent rates of crimes and other incidents
the police service has a duty to respond to, an additional 10,000 new homes would require in the region of 15 new officers and 20 new support staff.
ANPR Cameras
The proposed level of development in the District is likely to require a number of new ANPR cameras in order to counter increased vehicle movements during both construction and occupancy phases. The proposed ANPR sites will need to be flexible in order to take account of alterations in the road network. It is planned that maintenance and replacement of the cameras would be borne by Sussex Police.
Local and neighbourhood policing is key to successful engagement with communities, solving local problems and building trust, however a proactive and flexible approach is required. There is also an increasing need to work with partner agencies such as social services, health and education and other emergency services.
ANPR cameras have proven to be an effective method of investigating local and cross-border crime, gathering evidence and identifying and disrupting criminals. The siting and number of these cameras is directly linked to the location and scale of new development.

2.7 Utilities and Waste

2.7.1 Utilities and Waste: Electricity supply		
Lead Organisation(s)	Distribution Network Operators (DNOs)	
	 UK Power Networks (UKPN) 	
	 Scottish and Southern Electricity Networks (SSEN) 	
Evidence / Sources of Information	UK Power Networks – Business Plan 2015-2023	
	 Scottish & Southern Electricity Networks RIIO-T2 Business Plan 	

	SSE plc Annual Report 2019
Current Provision	The electricity transmission system across England is owned and maintained by the National Grid. The energy is then distributed to electricity Distribution Network Operators (DNOs) who have a statutory duty to provide an electrical supply to home and business premises across the District. They supply and maintain all cabling and other infrastructure used to carry electricity from the National Grid to the point where it is picked up by individual electricity suppliers.
	There are two main DNOs covering the District; UK Power Networks (UKPN), who cover the majority of the District, and Scottish and Southern Electricity Networks (SSEN), covering the western part of the District. There are also small distributors working within these two main boundaries, such as GTC.
	SSEN confirm that there is currently limited capacity within the network around the west of the District, and to serve proposed allocations in and around Billingshurst, reinforcement would not hold up residential development for long but would be required for new connections.
Planned Provision	UKPN's Infrastructure Planning team work within the Asset Management department and manage reinforcements and improvements to the network as well as new connections. Development is generally served by the nearest substation, depending on the scale of the development and existing substation capacity. Developers approach UKPN direct with an application and there is, typically, an 8-12 week turnaround for delivery of the infrastructure. This may be vary for very large sites.
	SSEN are in a position to carry out short-term network reinforcement to the west of District in order to accommodate domestic connection requests made by developers. Reinforcements will only be triggered when an application requiring unavailable capacity is made.
Sources of Funding	 Reinforcement of the network is likely to be funded in part by developers and in part by the DNOs in accordance with the Common Charging Connection Methodology, particularly on a site where development is already underway, or where development is more certain to come forward. A new site, particularly one with uncertainty around build out rates and completion, would generally be funded by the developer of the site.
Key Issues & Future	Delivery of 10,000+ new homes would, generally speaking, require the provision of a new electricity substation, which
Considerations	would take approximately 3-5 years to deliver. There is usually capacity within the existing network to supply power to the first phase of homes and other premises being built out in the interim, with the increased capacity available in later phases.
	SSEN have indicated that the precise level of demand on the network will vary depending on the provision of facilities for electric vehicle charging, for example and whether these are made mandatory for new development by the Local Authority.

The speed of charging facilities required will also affect how many connections can be made before the network reaches
capacity.
There are potential capacity issues in the electricity network to the west of the District and this will need to be taken into
account in terms of phasing and delivery of any development in the Billingshurst area. SSEN has indicated the first stage
of reinforcement to support new development would be a new interconnector to a primary in Five Oaks and should not
result in significant delays to the level of residential development proposed in the Local Plan in this part of the District. Any additional commercial or industrial requirements in the area may limit the scope for development under this level of
reinforcement. The next stage of reinforcement would involve upgrading the Billingshurst or Five Oaks primaries, which
would be a major capital scheme and would take several years to complete. Upgrade works at this level would require
further feasibility work to be undertaken in order to determine exact requirements and indicative costs. Reinforcement work
would not be committed to by SSEN without clear need to do so (ie new connection applications being made in association
with development).
National Grid have highlighted that Land at Buck Barn is crossed by an overhead transmission line, which is a National
Grid asset. They have advised that it is National Grid policy to retain existing overhead lines in situ except for exceptional
circumstances of regional or national importance and have provided guidance on the use of design and layout to minimise
the impact on, and of, the overhead lines.

2.7.2 Utilities and Waste: Gas supply		
Lead Organisation(s)	• SGN	
Evidence / Sources of Information	SGN RIOO-GD2 Business Plan 2019	
	SGN Annual Report & Financial Statements 2018	
	 SGN Long Term Development Statement 2018 – Network Capacity 	
Current Provision	SGN is the gas distribution network responsible for providing and maintaining the gas infrastructure network in the District.	
	There is existing gas infrastructure at Horsham, Henfield, Storrington and Washington (Transmission Reduction Stations (TRS)) and Billingshurst and Washington (Pressure Reduction Installations (PRI)).	
	Where reinforcement is required to deliver development SGN will, where possible, provide an interim load which allow a certain number of units to be connected to the gas network before reinforcement work takes place in order to avoid delay to the development. This is dependent on network conditions and capacity at the time of connection.	

	 Reinforcement can take between 12 and 18 months, and is only programmed once a connection request has been made to SGN by the developer. Any work required will be programmed in, taking into account the development trajectory, to ensure there will be no supply issues and delays to the development. Delays to development will only occur if there is insufficient capacity in the network to provide an interim supply while reinforcement takes place. Analysis for a major development's impact on the gas network is usually only conducted at the higher pressure tier level, whereas more detailed, localised assessment will happen once the scheme's design is known. Periods within which enhancements and reinforcements are planned for delivery: GD1 – 2018- 2021 GD2 - 2021-2026 GD3 - 2026 onwards
Planned Provision	Planned MP (Medium Pressure) reinforcement taking place as part of the North of Horsham development has expired, however SGN anticipate this connection will be made in the future
Sources of Funding	Subject to an Economic Test, which evaluates the cost of delivering the infrastructure against the transportation income for SGN, a developer contribution may be required towards the cost of reinforcements to the network.
Key Issues & Future Considerations	 GD3 2026 onwards: If further development were to take place around Ashington, there would be a requirement for further reinforcement to alleviate falling pressure – this would be likely to take place around 2028/29. Currently there is sufficient capacity at Henfield Transmission Reduction Station (TRS), however, if large-scale development were to take place in that part of the District there would be a requirement for site reinforcement (estimated to take place around 2029/30 if construction starts in 2024). There is capacity for approximately 1,000 dwellings around Billingshurst in the interim, but reinforcement would be required to increase capacity. There is capacity for approximately 100 dwellings between Billingshurst and Pulborough in the interim, but significant reinforcement would be required to increase capacity. Significant reinforcement is required in Southwater, with capacity for approximately 400 dwellings in the interim. Development at Land at Buck Barn would require a regulator station in order to reduce pressure supplied to the development. There is currently no gas infrastructure in the area surrounding Cowfold. Any development in the area between west of North of Horsham and Adversane would be served by one source which may not have the capacity to supply all sites and would therefore require a rebuild or a completely new station.

2.7.3 Utilities and Waste: Water supply, wastewater treatment and sewerage	
Lead Organisation(s)	Southern Water
	Thames Water

Evidence / Sources of Information	Meetings with Southern Water and Thames Water	
	Gatwick Sub-Region Water Cycle Study 2020	
	Water Neutrality Study (July 2021)	
	 Habitats Regulations Assessment Screening Report (2019) 	
	Southern Water Asset Management Plan 6	
	Southern Water Asset Management Plan 7	
	 Southern Water: New Connection Services – Charging Arrangements 2020-21 	
	Southern Water: Water Resource Management Plan 2020-2070	
	Southern Water: Water Resource Management Plan 2015-2040	
	Thames Water Asset Management Plan 2015-2020	
	Thames Water Asset Management Plan 2020-2025	
Current Provision	Southern Water has a statutory duty to supply new developments in the District with water. Any improvements to the water network and increases to capacity will be planned in advance as part of 5 year business plans called Asset Management Plans (AMPs).	
	Thames Water is responsible for wastewater treatment and sewerage in the north-eastern corner of the District, while	
	Southern Water cover the remainder of the District.	
	The Gatwick Sub-Region Water Cycle Study 2020 highlights that the District is in an area where water supply is under "Serious Stress" and the Study recommends that the Council should require new development to limit water use to 100 litres/person/day with a target of 80 litres/person/day for sites delivering above 200 homes.	
Planned Provision	Whilst it is highly unlikely that new development would not be deliverable because of infrastructure concerns over supply of drinking water or removal of wastewater, there are likely to be considerations around the timescales within which larger scale development in certain areas can be delivered, based on existing capacity and necessary enhancements.	
	The provision of treatment for both wastewater and water supply is met from fixed infrastructure charges per new dwelling which are met by the developer. The updated Water Cycle Study highlights that early engagement between developers, the Council and the water companies is essential to ensure that sewerage capacity can be provided without unnecessary delays to development. Phasing of development sites needs careful consideration and early engagement to ensure that additional capacity is provided prior to occupation. For sites delivering 20 - 100+ units it can take around 24 months or longer in some instances, to plan and implement the requisite network reinforcements. For sites delivering upward of 1,000 units, the consideration of infrastructure requirements is delivered through the water companies'	

	 business planning processes with Thames Water indicating that significant upgrades can take between 3 and 5 years to design and deliver. As identified in the Water Cycle Study, Southern Water has highlighted its concern over the environmental impact of the operation at Hardham groundwater abstraction source on designation sites (SAC, SPA, SSSI and Ramsar) in the Arun Valley. The full extent of possible impacts, and measures to ensure sustainability, are being investigated by Southern Water, however it may be necessary to reduce the amount of water extracted at the site. This may need to be supported by finding alternative water supplies (which would come forward through five-year business plans), reducing leaks and improving water efficiency as well as making use of neighbouring water companies supplies and wastewater treatment works.
	Southern Water's Asset Management Plan 6 (AMP6) ran from 2015 to 2020. AMP7, which runs from 2020-2025, aims to create water resilience and reduce water consumption from 129 litres a day to 120 by 2025 and 100 by 2040 and promote sustainable drainage and soakaways and reduce reliance on sewer systems. Thames Water's 2020-2025 AMP was published in April 2019 and sets outs plans to invest in 48 wastewater sites to reduce the impact of power outages and flooding.
Sources of Funding	 Southern Water and Thames Water investment in infrastructure Developer contributions (via CIL / S106)
Key Issues & Future Considerations	The Gatwick Sub-Region Water Cycle Study has indicated that no potential site allocations have major constraints on water supply, subject to early engagement between developers, HDC and water companies to allow any necessary upgrades to be programmed in line with development phasing. In addition to the Water Cycle Study, the Council has undertaken a Habitats Regulations Assessment and is working with Crawley Borough Council and Chichester District Council on a Water Neutrality Study. All of these studies have provided recommendations for mitigation, including the 100 litres and 80 litres per person per day limit on water consumption (80 litres limit in developments delivering above 200 homes) contained within Strategic Policy 38: Sustainable Design and Construction in the emerging Horsham District Local Plan.
	New infrastructure must be planned in advance within a Five year Asset Management Programme period. Failure to anticipate required upgrades to the network will delay development. Further details on the type, level and timing of any new infrastructure is required. Southern Water has indicated there will be necessary reinforcement works required in order to support the development proposed across the catchment area in the District. A number of sites have limited existing local sewerage capacity and

require reinforcement of the wastewater network, some require easements over existing underground infrastructure, and some will need to take account of existing local sewerage treatment works. While not all of these works will be funded by developer contributions the work will need to be factored into the master planning and phasing/delivery of some sites.
Investigations into the sustainability of the Hardham groundwater abstraction site are ongoing and, while Southern Water and the regulators are working to manage the risk to water supply and the environment, new connections to the supply network within Southern Water's Sussex North Water Resource Zone can still be made. Southern Water has advised the Council that the housing allocations at Land West of Ifield (Strategic Policy HA2), Land at Buck Barn (Strategic Policy HA5) and the smaller site allocations in Rusper (Strategic Policy HA17 / RS1, RS2 and RS3) are not within or immediately adjacent to an existing Southern Water wastewater treatment works catchment. Options for wastewater treatment for these sites will need to be investigated.
Thames Water has highlighted that upgrades to both the wastewater network and sewerage treatment infrastructure will be required to support the proposed development in the northern part of the district and particularly in relation to the West of Crawley development. Up to three years is usually required for planning and delivery of upgrades, and ten years for the planning and construction of major treatment works.

2.7.4 Utilities and Waste: Waste	and recycling
Lead Organisation(s)	West Sussex County Council
	Horsham District Council
Evidence / Sources of Information	West Sussex Waste Local Plan 2014
	 Horsham District Council Authority Monitoring Report (AMR) 2019/20
	 A Green Future: Our 25 Year Plan to Improve the Environment (HM Government, 2018)
	Our Waste, Our Resources: A Strategy for England (HM Government, 2018)
	Joint Materials Resource Management Strategy for West Sussex (2005-2035)
Current Provision	West Sussex County Council (WSCC) is the Waste Disposal Authority (WDA) for the District and co-ordinates and
	manages the disposal of municipal solid waste, which includes household waste and some commercial and industrial
	waste (either directly delivered to landfill or via Waste Transfer Stations) and waste deposited at Household Waste
	Recycling Sites (HWRS) directly. WSCC currently provide two Household Waste Recycling Sites within the Horsham
	District, both of which are managed by a contractor:

	Site	Location
	Household Waste Recycling Site (Municipal Solid	Newbridge Road, Billingshurst
	Waste)	
	Household Waste Recycling Site (Municipal Solid	Hop Oast, Worthing Road, Horsham
	Waste)	
	Southern villages in the District are served by Household Red	cycling sites in Shoreham-by-Sea.
	The West Sussex Waste Local Plan (2014) sets out the stra allocates strategic waste sites for new commercial facilities. various waste streams, planned housing growth and waste re rates for waste.	The Plan uses assumptions about likely rates of growth for
	Municipal waste in the District is collected by Horsham District the household bin collections which collect all general refuse Council is responsible for overseeing all elements of the way vehicles. Waste collected by the WCA is delivered to one of	e, glass, paper, plastics and metals and garden waste. The aste collections, including providing and maintaining refuse
	The Horsham District Council Authority Monitoring Report (AN 53% of its total household waste in the District. This exceeds Council was the first WCA in West Sussex to achieve. The Materials Recycling Facility (MRF) outside the District. Waste of via a new Mechanical Biological Treatment (MBT) Plant a will continue to process non-recycled waste but is more envir	the 50% target for recycling by 2020 which Horsham District recyclable portion of the District's waste is transported to a that cannot be dealt with via recycling is treated and disposed t Brookhurst Wood, north Horsham run by Biffa. This facility
	As well as household waste, there are a number of other wast aggregate, garden waste and wood recycling.	
Planned Provision	In December 2018 Defra released a policy paper setting out t	
	the release of the Government's 25 Year Environment Plan, t	
	condition for the next generation and has set out that the Res	
	Government want to move from a more linear economic mod	
	use as long as possible and extract the maximum value from future requirement to separate more waste streams at the keep	
		sibolic, this moluces a communent to separate 1000 waste

	collections by 2023. It is therefore likely that these measures will have an impact on District waste collection and the
	infrastructure required to support this.
	A recent appeal on an application submitted by Britaniacrest Recycling, has seen permission now granted for a Recycling,
	Recovery and Renewable Energy (3Rs) facility at the site of the Former Wealden Brickworks, Langhurst Wood Road, to
	the north of Horsham. The site itself already has permission to handle 230,000 tonnes of industrial, commercial and
	municipal waste and the permitted 3Rs facility will divert 180,000 tonnes of waste from landfill to be used to generate
	energy.
Sources of Funding	Council Tax
	Government funding
Key Issues & Future	The West Sussex Waste Local Plan 2014 requires that development does not prevent or prejudice the use of existing
Considerations	waste management sites or their infrastructure and sets out minimum distances between sites and adjacent development.
	This is to ensure the operation of the sites is not compromised in any way.
	There will be a need for new developments to consider their design and layout to ensure that waste collection can maximise
	recycling rates and is achievable in as efficient a method as possible.
	The Household Waste Recycling Sites currently have some capacity but the transfer stations do struggle operationally at
	peak times. West Sussex County Council has indicated that any significant development close to an existing HWRS or
	WTS should consider the safeguarding of land to allow for the site to be expanded in the future. Given the significant scale
	of housing proposed across the District, developer contributions may be required towards a new transfer station.
	Please refer to Table 7 on p.71 which contains further information on proposed site allocations that are located in Waste
	Safeguarding Areas.

2.7.5 Utilities and Waste: Telecommunications and digital infrastructure		
Lead Organisation(s)	West Sussex County Council	
	Openreach and other digital infrastructure providers	
Evidence / Sources of Information	Meetings with West Sussex County Council	
	The West Sussex Plan 2017-2022	
	West Sussex Life 2017-2019	
	West Sussex County Council Digital Strategy	
	West Sussex County Council Economy Reset Plan 2020- 2024	

	 West Sussex County Council IT Strategy UK Industrial Strategy: Building a Britain Fit for the Future 2017 Future Telecoms Infrastructure Review (DCMS, 2018)
Current Provision	Digital infrastructure in the District currently does not meet the required capacity and fibre density to ensure the needs of businesses and residents are met and will be met in the future.
	In order to support the data transmission speeds which will be necessary for technologies like 5G, digital infrastructure provision should deliver gigabit-capable broadband (Fibre to the Premises or FTTP). High quality digital infrastructure is necessary for domestic and commercial use, enabling people to remain in contact and conduct business, as well as supporting innovation, development of future technology and industry. The Government has outlined its aim to see nationwide full fibre coverage by 2033, outlined in the Future Telecoms Infrastructure Review published in 2018. The UK Industrial Strategy has pledged £1bn of investment for both 5G and local full fibre infrastructure.
	The current system comprises fibre from the exchange as far as the cabinet and subsequent transfer from the cabinet to households or businesses over copper wire. Full fibre broadband refers to data which is sent over fibre optic cable all the way to the house or business with no requirement for a cabinet or other on street furniture and no change to copper wire along the line.
	Horsham District, as part of the Gatwick Coast to Capital area, is a centre of connectivity, growth, integration and creativity, and improving the digital network capacity is one of 8 economic priorities identified for the area with the aim of becoming the first area in the UK with full 21st century fibre infrastructure. This priority will involve a bid for funding through the Wave 3 Local Full Fibre Network Challenge Fund, delivering mobile coverage in 'not-spots' and a wider roll out of 5G technology.
	West Sussex County Council are working with the Department for Digital, Culture, Media & Sport (DCMS) and CityFibre to build a gigabit-capable full fibre infrastructure to public sector sites as part of the Local Full Fibre Networks (LFFN) programme. Gigabit broadband connectivity is considered to be a key measure of success in achieving an infrastructure that supports a successful economy.
	The Gatwick Diamond Local Strategic Statement identifies the need to roll out superfast broadband to new domestic and commercial properties, whilst retrofitting existing communities.
	By the end of 2018 WSCC had invested a third of a £30m programme to enable more than 95% of premises in the county have access to fibre broadband.

	Openreach run a "Fibre for Free" offer which allows developers building 30 units or more to connect to fibre broadband for free, however the offer does not benefit smaller developments.
Planned Provision	As part of the West Sussex Plan 2017-2022, WSCC outline the importance of new and existing telecommunications in support of the local economy and communities, reducing the need to travel and supporting home working as well as some types of rural employment.
	While WSCC's West Sussex Gigabit project will connect key public sector sites, WSCC are committed to providing full fibre to benefit homes and businesses across the county in the future. Small and medium business enterprises are eligible for a voucher scheme which will help towards the costs of connection. Council's across West Sussex have the following priorities:
	 densification of the network between key urban areas;
	 extending the existing network into rural areas;
	 enabling those within the telecoms industry to work together to accelerate digital infrastructure investment.
	90% of West Sussex residents are projected to have access to high speed broadband by the end of 2019, and more than 90% of residents will have access to a smartphone. It is generally considered less costly and less disruptive to residents to install superfast broadband at the point a development is built rather than retrofitting broadband services.
	The WSCC Digital Strategy is currently being refreshed for discussion with the county's Chief Executives. It has broadened from a position of increasing fibre broadband coverage per se to ensuring local connectivity for homes and businesses enabling access to gigabit-capable broadband and developing regional connectivity to support inward investment and innovation. West Sussex County Council is actively exploring a range of scalable new digital connectivity solutions that will support economic growth, spread prosperity and reduce carbon emissions in line with the WSCC Reset Plan. The challenge will be to address digital 'levelling up' across the county where there is market failure and create the conditions that will accelerate economic and social benefits associated with well-connected places.
Sources of Funding	 Private and commercial investment including funding from developers Government funding West Sussex County Council funding
Key Issues & Future	Many parts of the District are rural and isolated, meaning there is a large gap in terms of quality and reliability of digital
Considerations	infrastructure provision. This impacts economic growth, innovation and investment, but also leads to isolation and means individuals cannot benefit from the convenience of digital services such as online billing.
	Smaller sites (those below 30 dwellings) do not qualify for free connection under Openreach's current connection policy, meaning the cost is incurred by the developer.

Retrofitting existing developments with full fibre infrastructure is costly, therefore it is important to ensure that
infrastructure is adequate to meet the needs of homes and businesses in the future.

Lead Organisation(s)	West Sussex County Council			
Evidence / Sources of	West Sussex County Council Joint Minerals Local Plan 2018			
Information	 Joint Materials Resource Management Strategy for West Sussex (2005-2035) 			
Current Provision	Key minerals present across the District, and safeguarded by the Joint Minerals Local Plan include:			
	Brick Clay (Weald and Wadhurst)			
	Horsham Building Stone			
	Hythe Building Stone			
	Soft Sand			
Key Issues & Future	West Sussex County Council is the Minerals Planning Authority (MPA) and Waste Planning Authority (WPA) covering			
Considerations	Horsham District. The presence of key minerals within safeguarded areas in the District will impact development on the			
	relevant sites. The Minerals and Waste Local Plan contains policies which safeguard land around these sites, or stipulate			
	consultation on any development in the area in orde	consultation on any development in the area in order to protect the minerals and the supply infrastructure and facilities.		
	It has been identified that the following potential site	allocations are located in a Minerals and/or Waste Safeguarding or		
		allocations are located in a Minerals and/or Waste Safeguarding or		
	It has been identified that the following potential site Consultation area:	allocations are located in a Minerals and/or Waste Safeguarding or		
	Consultation area:			
	Consultation area:			
	Consultation area: Table 9: Proposed site allocations in a Minerals a	and/or Waste Safeguarding Area		
	Consultation area: Table 9: Proposed site allocations in a Minerals a Proposed Site Allocation	and/or Waste Safeguarding Area Presence in a Minerals and/or Waste Safeguarding Area		
	Consultation area: Table 9: Proposed site allocations in a Minerals a Proposed Site Allocation SP HA2: Land West of Ifield	and/or Waste Safeguarding Area Presence in a Minerals and/or Waste Safeguarding Area Brick Clay (Weald) MCA		
	Consultation area: Table 9: Proposed site allocations in a Minerals a Proposed Site Allocation SP HA2: Land West of Ifield	and/or Waste Safeguarding Area Presence in a Minerals and/or Waste Safeguarding Area Brick Clay (Weald) MCA Horsham HWRS Consultation Area; Brick Clay (Weald) MCA		
	Consultation area: Table 9: Proposed site allocations in a Minerals a Proposed Site Allocation SP HA2: Land West of Ifield SP HA3: Land West of Southwater	and/or Waste Safeguarding Area Presence in a Minerals and/or Waste Safeguarding Area Brick Clay (Weald) MCA Horsham HWRS Consultation Area; Brick Clay (Weald) MCA and Building Stone (Horsham) MCA.		
	Consultation area: Table 9: Proposed site allocations in a Minerals a Proposed Site Allocation SP HA2: Land West of Ifield SP HA3: Land West of Southwater SP HA4: Land East of Billingshurst	Presence in a Minerals and/or Waste Safeguarding Area Brick Clay (Weald) MCA Horsham HWRS Consultation Area; Brick Clay (Weald) MCA and Building Stone (Horsham) MCA. Brick Clay (Weald) MCA		
	Consultation area: Table 9: Proposed site allocations in a Minerals a Proposed Site Allocation SP HA2: Land West of Ifield SP HA3: Land West of Southwater SP HA4: Land East of Billingshurst SP HA5: Land at Buck Barn	Presence in a Minerals and/or Waste Safeguarding Area Brick Clay (Weald) MCA Horsham HWRS Consultation Area; Brick Clay (Weald) MCA and Building Stone (Horsham) MCA. Brick Clay (Weald) MCA Brick Clay (Weald) MCA		
	Consultation area: Table 9: Proposed site allocations in a Minerals a Proposed Site Allocation SP HA2: Land West of Ifield SP HA3: Land West of Southwater SP HA4: Land East of Billingshurst SP HA5: Land at Buck Barn SP HA6 / ASN1: Ashington	Presence in a Minerals and/or Waste Safeguarding Area Brick Clay (Weald) MCA Horsham HWRS Consultation Area; Brick Clay (Weald) MCA and Building Stone (Horsham) MCA. Brick Clay (Weald) MCA		
	Consultation area: Table 9: Proposed site allocations in a Minerals a Proposed Site Allocation SP HA2: Land West of Ifield SP HA3: Land West of Southwater SP HA4: Land East of Billingshurst SP HA5: Land at Buck Barn SP HA6 / ASN1: Ashington SP HA7 / BGR1, BGR2 & BGR3: Barns Green	Presence in a Minerals and/or Waste Safeguarding Area Brick Clay (Weald) MCA Horsham HWRS Consultation Area; Brick Clay (Weald) MCA and Building Stone (Horsham) MCA. Brick Clay (Weald) MCA Brick Clay (Weald) MCA		
Horsham District Council Infrastructure Delivery Plan 2021

SP HA10 / CW1, CW2 & CW3: Cowfold	Brick Clay (Weald) MCA and Building Stone (Horsham) MCA
SP HA11 / HNF1: Henfield	Brick Clay (Weald) MCA
SP HA12 / HOR1: Land at Hornbrook Farm	N/A
SP HA12 / HOR2: Land at Mercer Road	Brick Clay (Weald) MCA; Building Stone (Horsham) MCA;
	Permitted Minerals Site Consultation Area (Warnham
	Brickworks); Allocated Waste Site Consultation Area
	(Brookhurst Wood Landfill and Brookhurst Wood) and
	Permitted Waste Site Consultation Area (multiple uses at
	Brookhurst Wood
SP HA13 / WKV1: Land West of Kilnwood Vale	Brick Clay (Weald) MCA and Building Stone (Horsham) MCA
SP HA14: LWB1, LWB2 & LWB3: Lower Beeding	N/A
SP HA15 / PLB1, PLB2 & PLB3: Pulborough	Building Stone (Hythe) MSA/MCA and Soft Sand MSA/MCA
SP HA16 / RD1 & RD2: Rudgwick	Brick Clay (Weald) MCA
SP HA17 / RS1, RS2, & RS3: Rusper	Brick Clay (Weald) MCA
SP HA18 / SMD1: Small Dole	Brick Clay (Weald) MCA and Soft Sand MCA
SP HA19 / STE1: Steyning	N/A
SP HA20 / STO1 & STO2: Storrington & Sullington	Brick Clay (Weald) MCA; Building Stone (Hythe) MCA and
	Soft Sand MCA
SP HA21 / TH1 & TH2: Thakeham	N/A
SP HA22 / WRN1: Warnham	Brick Clay (Weald) MCA and Building stone (Horsham) MCA
SP HA23 / WCH1, WCH2 & WCH3: West Chiltington	Building Stone (Hythe) MCA and Soft Sand MCA
and West Chiltington Common	
SP 14 – Additional 500 units (in addition to the	Brick Clay (Weald) MCA; Building Stone (Horsham) MCA;
consented 2,750 dwellings) at Land North of	Permitted Minerals Site Consultation Area (Warnham
Horsham	Brickworks); Allocated Waste Site Consultation Area
	(Brookhurst Wood Landfill and Brookhurst Wood) and Permitted Waste Site Consultation Area (multiple uses at
	Brookhurst Wood)
West Sussex County Council have indicated that any al	located development sites will require further consultation with the
	tion measures and / or Minerals Resource Assessment will be
necessary.	
	SP HA11 / HNF1: Henfield SP HA12 / HOR1: Land at Hornbrook Farm SP HA12 / HOR2: Land at Mercer Road SP HA12 / HOR2: Land at Mercer Road SP HA12 / HOR2: Land at Mercer Road SP HA12 / HOR2: Land West of Kilnwood Vale SP HA12 / HOR2: Land West of Kilnwood Vale SP HA12 / HOR2: Land West of Kilnwood Vale SP HA13 / WKV1: Land West of Kilnwood Vale SP HA14: LWB1, LWB2 & LWB3: Lower Beeding SP HA15 / PLB1, PLB2 & PLB3: Pulborough SP HA16 / RD1 & RD2: Rudgwick SP HA16 / RD1 & RD2: Rudgwick SP HA17 / RS1, RS2, & RS3: Rusper SP HA19 / STE1: Steyning SP HA20 / STO1 & STO2: Storrington & Sullington SP HA20 / STO1 & STO2: Storrington & Sullington SP HA22 / WRN1: Warnham SP HA23 / WCH1, WCH2 & WCH3: West Chiltington and West Chiltington Common SP 14 – Additional 500 units (in addition to the consented 2,750 dwellings) at Land North of Horsham West Sussex County Council have indicated that any al MPA and WPA and that consideration of suitable mitiga



Infrastructure Delivery Schedule 2021



Part 3: Guidance Note on the Infrastructure Delivery Schedule

3.1.1 Assessing the importance of infrastructure in delivering the emerging Horsham District Local Plan

Prioritising Infrastructure Projects

The IDP seeks to establish the importance of certain types of infrastructure to the delivery of the emerging Local Plan. This includes 'critical' infrastructure that is vital in 'unlocking' the development of a site, 'essential' infrastructure that may not be immediately necessary in the commencement of development, but without development would fail in the longer term and 'desirable' infrastructure is services and facilities considered to be important in the overall sustainable delivery of the Local Plan.

Broad definitions of the importance of certain types of infrastructure to the emerging Horsham District Local Plan are as follows;

Critical: This describes infrastructure that must take place for the development to proceed. This would include connections to key infrastructure such as utility networks or transport infrastructure. It is typically triggered by the commencement of development and the absence of these types of infrastructure could result in significant delays in the delivery of development. Critical infrastructure must be prioritised.

Essential: Essential infrastructure describes the provision of services and facilities that are necessary to mitigate the impact of development. This typically includes education and health facilities and open space provision. It enables development to come forward sustainably and in a way that is acceptable in

planning terms and is usually triggered by the occupation of the development site. Whilst it may not prevent development in the short to medium term it could result in delays in the longer term and leave development without the necessary facilities and/or services.

Desirable: This describes infrastructure that is required for sustainable growth and to contribute towards good place-making. Whilst the absence of this type of infrastructure would not prevent the development from taking place, it helps to improve operational capacity and deliver wider benefits to the local community. Desirable infrastructure would not prevent development from taking place and is therefore not required to deliver the plan, however, it is still considered to be a crucial element in the sustainable delivery of the Local Plan.

Indicative Costs

Where we have been provided with this information, an indication of costs for strategic infrastructure schemes has been included in the Schedule. These costings are only indicative and are provided purely as guidance at this stage of the Infrastructure Delivery Plan. Given the current stage of the emerging Local Plan, little existing committed funding has been identified to deliver the projects identified in the Infrastructure Delivery Schedule. As detailed in Part 1, the IDP is, however, a 'live' document and as funding is identified it is anticipated that details of committed funding can be added to the Infrastructure Delivery Schedule. It is also anticipated that the Council's Infrastructure Funding Statement, required to be published annually as part of the CIL Regulations 2010 (as amended), will set out developer contributions secured towards the infrastructure projects detailed in this document.

3.2 Infrastructure Delivery Schedule 2021

3.2.1 TRANSPORT

Infrastructure Project and Location	Evidence / Need for Project (including Policy and Development Link)	Provider / Delivery Lead	Indicative Cost	Delivery Timescales	Funding Sources	Importance to Strategy
A 'middle section' Western Crawley Link Road	Identified in the Horsham Transport Study (2021) Strategic Policy HA2 Land West of Ifield	WSCC, Homes England	TBC	Anticipated to be completed in Phase 2 of the development	Developer contributions via S106 / S278 plus potential further funding from WSCC / Homes England / Government	Critical
Major network junction upgrade of the A24/A283 Washington Roundabout including localised widening and signalisation of the roundabout (also to include bus priority measures)	Identified in the Horsham Transport Study (2021) Cumulative impact of District-wide strategic and settlement site allocations in the emerging Horsham District Local Plan	WSCC	£3,258,393	To be determined	Developer contributions via S106 / CIL / S278 plus further WSCC and Government funding	Critical
Mitigation measures to support A272/A281 mini roundabouts, Cowfold (this may include measures on the surrounding network to mitigate any impacts on this location)	Identified in the Horsham Transport Study (2021) Cumulative impact of District-wide strategic and settlement site allocations in the emerging Horsham District Local Plan	WSCC	£300,000 - £500,000	To be determined	Developer contributions via S106 / CIL / S278 plus further WSCC and Government funding	Essential
A24/A272 Buck Barn major junction improvements – Cut through roundabout ('through- about') plus potential widening	Identified in the Horsham Transport Study (2021) Strategic Policy HA5 Land at Buck Barn and cumulative impact of District-wide strategic and settlement site allocations in the emerging Horsham District Local Plan	WSCC and Developer	£5,479,592	To be determined	Developer contributions via S106 / CIL / S278 plus further WSCC and Government funding	Critical
Improvements to A24/B2135 Steyning Road junction (signalisation to replace the current priority arrangement)	Identified in the Horsham Transport Study (2021)	WSCC	£748,860	To be determined	Developer contributions via S106 / CIL / S278 plus further	Critical

Infrastructure Project and	Evidence / Need for Project (including	Provider /	Indicative	Delivery	Funding Sources	Importance to
Location	Policy and Development Link)	Delivery Lead	Cost	Timescales		Strategy
	Cumulative impact of District-wide strategic and settlement site allocations in the emerging Horsham District Local Plan				WSCC and Government funding	
Main network junction upgrades at Hop Oast Roundabout (potential signalisation/part-signalisation and bus priority scheme at the junction)	Identified in the Horsham Transport Study (2021) Cumulative impact of District-wide strategic and settlement site allocations in the emerging Horsham District Local Plan	WSCC	£2,825,384	To be determined	Developer contributions via S106 / CIL / S278 plus further WSCC and Government funding	Critical
Mitigation of A283/Amberley Road roundabout, Storrington (potential to signalise junction)	Identified in the Horsham Transport Study (2021) Cumulative impact of District-wide strategic and settlement site allocations in the emerging Horsham District Local Plan	WSCC	£2-5million	To be determined	Developer contributions via S106 / CIL / S278 plus further WSCC and Government funding	Essential
Mitigation of Pulborough junction (A29/A283 roundabouts)	Identified in the Horsham Transport Study (2021) Cumulative impact of District-wide strategic and settlement site allocations in the emerging Horsham District Local Plan	WSCC	To be determined	To be determined	Developer contributions via S106 / CIL / S278 plus further WSCC and Government funding	Essential
SRN network junction upgrades at the Hickstead A2300 junction of the A23	Identified in the Horsham Transport Study 2021 Cumulative impact of District-wide strategic and settlement site allocations in the emerging Horsham District Local Plan	Highways England	To be determined	To be determined	Developer contributions via S106 / CIL / S278 plus further WSCC and Government funding	Essential
Mitigation measures to protect the Strategic Road Network (A23, M23, A27) from the impact of development across the region	Identified in the Horsham Transport Study 2021 Cumulative impact of District-wide strategic and settlement site allocations in the emerging Horsham District Local Plan in combination with development coming forward in other local authority areas	Highways England	To be determined	To be determined	Developer contributions from S106 / CIL / S278 plus further WSCC and Government funding (pooled contributions from across West	Essential

Major high capacity BRT (Bus Rapid Transit) bus routes to serve Land West of lifeid and Land West of Kinwood Vale Identified in the Horsham Transport Study 2021 WSCC / Bus operators / Developers To be determined To be determined Critical Major high capacity/ BRT (Bus serve Land West of Kinwood Vale and Other bus-based Dus priority at junctions. Bus routes should provide connections to Manor Royal, Gattwick Airport, fileId and Three Bridges Railway Stations Identified in the Horsham Transport Study West of Kinwood Vale WSCC / Bus operators / Developers To be determined Developer contributions from/on-site ure positions via Stategic Policy HA12 Land West of field Critical Major high capacity/frequency (including West of field) Identified in the Horsham Transport Study 2021 WSCC / Bus operators / Developers To be determined To be determined Developer contributions via S106 / S278 / CiL Critical Strategic Policy HA13 / WKV1 Land West of Kinwood Vale Strategic Policy HA12 Land West of field WSCC / Bus operators / Developers To be determined To be determined Developer contributions via S106 / S278 / CiL Critical Strategic Policy HA13 / WKV1 Land West of Kinwood Vale Strategic Policy HA12 HOR1 (Land at Hornbrook Farm) and HOR2 (Land at Mercer Road) To be determined To be determined Developer contributions from other strategic and settement site allocations as approprintate. To be operators / Developers </th <th>Infrastructure Project and Location</th> <th>Evidence / Need for Project (including Policy and Development Link)</th> <th>Provider / Delivery Lead</th> <th>Indicative Cost</th> <th>Delivery Timescales</th> <th>Funding Sources</th> <th>Importance to Strategy</th>	Infrastructure Project and Location	Evidence / Need for Project (including Policy and Development Link)	Provider / Delivery Lead	Indicative Cost	Delivery Timescales	Funding Sources	Importance to Strategy
Rabid Transit bus routes to serve Land West of Kilnwood Vale and Strategic Policy HA12 Land West of Kilnwood Vale2021operators / Developersdetermineddeterminedcontributions from/on-site measures socured by S106 / S278Indestret Stoud provide connections to Manor Royal, Gativitik Airport, lifeld and Three Bridges Railway Stategic Policy HA12 Land West of Klinwood ValeWSCC / Bus policy HA13 / WKV1 LandWSCC / Bus policy HA13 / WKV1 LandTo be determinedDevelopersDevelopersMajor high capacity/frequency (including West of Ifield)Identified in the Horsham Transport Study 2021WSCC / Bus polerators / DevelopersTo be determinedDeveloper contributions via S106 / S278 / CILCritical Contributions via S106 / S278 / CILMajor high capacity/frequency (including West of Ifield)Identified in the Horsham Transport Study 2021WSCC / Bus polerators / DevelopersTo be determinedDeveloper contributions via S106 / S278 / CILCritical Contributions via S106 / S278 / CILCriticalStrategic Policy HA12 / HOR1 (Land at Hornbrook Farm) and HOR2 (Land at Mercer Road)Strategic Policy HA3 Land West of SuthwaterTo be determinedEveloper to be contributions via S106 / S278 / CILCritical to be contributions via S106 / S278 / CIL						Sussex local	
Major high capacity/frequency bus priority corridor between Horsham and Crawley (including West of Ifield) Identified in the Horsham Transport Study 2021 WSCC / Bus operators / Developers To be determined Developer contributions via S106 / S278 / CIL Critical Strategic Policy HA13 / WKV1 Land West of Kilnwood Vale Strategic Policy HA13 / WKV1 Land West of Kilnwood Vale Strategic Policy HA13 / WKV1 Land West of Kilnwood Vale Strategic Policy HA12 / HOR1 (Land at Hornbrook Farm) and HOR2 (Land at Mercer Road) Strategic Policy HA3 Land West of Suthwater Strategic Policy HA3 Land West of Suthwater Strategic Policy HA5 Land at Buck Barn Fus contributions from other strategic and settlement site allocations as appropriate. Strategic and settlement site allocations as appropriate. Strategic Allocations as appropriate. Strategic Allocations as appropriate.	Rapid Transit) bus routes to serve Land West of Ifield and Land West of Kilnwood Vale and other bus-based measures such as dedicated bus lanes, bus only routes and bus priority at junctions. Bus routes should provide connections to Manor Royal, Gatwick Airport, Ifield and Three Bridges Railway	2021 Strategic Policy HA2 Land West of Ifield and Strategic Policy HA13 / WKV1 Land	operators /			Developer contributions from/on-site measures secured	Critical
	Major high capacity/frequency bus priority corridor between Horsham and Crawley	2021 Strategic Policy HA2 Land West of Ifield Strategic Policy HA13 / WKV1 Land West of Kilnwood Vale Strategic Policy 14 – Additional 500 dwellings at Land North of Horsham Strategic Policy HA12 / HOR1 (Land at Hornbrook Farm) and HOR2 (Land at Mercer Road) Strategic Policy HA3 Land West of Southwater Strategic Policy HA5 Land at Buck Barn Plus contributions from other strategic and	operators /			contributions via	Critical
Bespoke SustainableIdentified in the Horsham Transport StudyDevelopersTo beTo beDeveloperCriticalTransport measures for Land2021determineddeterminedcontributionsCritical	Bespoke Sustainable	Identified in the Horsham Transport Study	Developers	To be	To be	Developer	Critical

Infrastructure Project and	Evidence / Need for Project (including	Provider /	Indicative	Delivery	Funding Sources	Importance to
Location	Policy and Development Link)	Delivery Lead	Cost	Timescales		Strategy
West of Ifield and Land West of Kilnwood Vale (considered together), Land West of Southwater and Land at Buck Barn. Measures to include Transport on Demand, Shared Transport solutions, MaaS (Mobility as a Service), Behaviour Change,	Strategic Policy HA2: Land West of Ifield and Strategic Policy HA13 / WKV1 Land West of Kilnwood Vale (two sites considered holistically in terms of sustainable transport provision measures) Strategic Policy HA3 Land West of				from/on-site measures secured by S106	
Micromobility and Active Travel Solutions	Southwater Strategic Policy HA5: Land at Buck Barn					
Horsham and Crawley Bus Station improvements e.g. at Horsham Bus Depot, improved capacity by additional drive-in, drive-out stand at the south end of the station or addressing pedestrian/bus conflict at this site	Identified in the Horsham Transport Study 2021 <u>Crawley Bus Depot</u> Strategic Policy HA2: Land West of Ifield and Strategic Policy HA13 / WKV1 Land West of Kilnwood Vale (considered holistically with Land West of Ifield) <u>Horsham Bus Depot</u> Strategic Policy HA2: Land West of Ifield and Strategic Policy HA13 / WKV1 Land West of Kilnwood Vale Strategic Policy HA3 Land West of Southwater Strategic Policy HA5 Land at Buck Barn Plus contributions from other strategic and settlement site allocations as appropriate	Horsham District Council / Crawley Borough Council	To be determined	To be determined	Developer contributions via S106 / CIL	Essential
Bus service improvements: - Bus frequency improvements (diversion and extension) to Horsham and Worthing including improving	Identified in the Horsham Transport Study 2021 Strategic Policy HA3 Land West of Southwater	Bus operators / WSCC / Developers	To be determined	To be determined	Developer contributions via S106	Critical

Infrastructure Project and	Evidence / Need for Project (including	Provider /	Indicative	Delivery	Funding Sources	Importance to
Location	Policy and Development Link)	Delivery Lead	Cost	Timescales		Strategy
 frequency to Horsham railway station. This could be achieved by extending the 98 service. Bus priority routes at A24 Hop Oast, Horsham town, station, Albion Way/Worthing Road roundabout and Copnall Way/Piries Place car park 	Strategic Policy HA5 Land at Buck Barn					
Traffic calming measures in Southwater village with bus / cycle bypasses	Identified in the Horsham Transport Study 2021 Strategic Policy HA3 Land West of Southwater	WSCC / Developer	To be determined	To be determined	Developer contributions via S106 / S278	Desirable
Bus service (frequency) improvements to Horsham from East of Billingshurst strategic site	Identified in the Horsham Transport Study 2021 Strategic Policy HA4 Land East of Billingshurst	Bus operators / WSCC / Developer	To be determined	To be determined	Developer contributions via S106	Critical
Mitigation for A29 Northern Roundabout (Bypass / Stane St / Amblehurst Green / High St) –e.g. conventional roundabout improvements or signals with bus priority	Identified in the Horsham Transport Study 2021 Strategic Policy HA4 Land East of Billingshurst	WSCC / Developer	To be determined	To be determined	Developer contributions via S106 / S278	Essential
Sustainable Transport measures to include local / personal mobility solutions, MaaS, electric buggies / vehicles, travel on demand to/from station and town centre, EV charging points, communal bus/car club initiatives, travel management measures	Sustainable transport measures identified in the Horsham Transport Study 2021 Site-specific and transport management measures based on recommendations in the Horsham Local Plan Habitats Regulations Assessment (2021 Strategic Policy HA4 Land East of Billingshurst	Developer	To be determined	To be determined	Developer contributions from/on-site measures secured by S106	Critical
Provision of east-west bus service serving Billingshurst and Haywards Heath	Identified in the Horsham Transport Study 2021 Strategic Policy HA5 Land at Buck Barn	Bus operators / WSCC / Developer	To be determined	Later phases of the development	Developer contributions via S106	Critical

Infrastructure Project and Location	Evidence / Need for Project (including Policy and Development Link)	Provider / Delivery Lead	Indicative Cost	Delivery Timescales	Funding Sources	Importance to Strategy
Expansion of walking and cycling network at North Horsham (including links to West of Ifield development and Crawley).	Identified in the Horsham Transport Study 2021 Strategic Policy 14 - Additional 500 units at Land North of Horsham	Developer	To be determined	In line with the phasing of development	Developer contributions via S106	Critical
Further improvements in links across A264 and into Horsham (cycle/bus priority at Rusper Road/A264 junction) and						
Bus service improvements from Land North of Horsham site and Horsham and Crawley	Identified in the Horsham Transport Study 2021 Strategic Policy 14 - Additional 500 units at Land North of Horsham	Bus operators / WSCC / Developer	To be determined	In line with the phasing of development	Developer contributions via S106	Critical
Modification of junctions on A264 North Horsham bypass	Identified in the Horsham Transport Study 2021 Strategic Policy 14 - Additional 500 units at Land North of Horsham	WSCC / Developers	To be determined	To be determined	Developer contributions via S278	Essential
Provision of Electric Vehicle Charging Infrastructure (District-wide)	Electric Vehicle Charge Point Strategy (March 2020) Policy 42, emerging Horsham District Local Plan District-wide strategic and settlement site allocations in the emerging Horsham District Local Plan and other development coming forward within the Plan period	Developers (within sites) / HDC (on Council-owned land and in partnership with other organisations including Parish Councils) / WSCC (on WSCC controlled highway land)	To be determined	2021 - 2030	Developer contributions from S106 / CIL / S278, funding from HDC and WSCC, plus further Government funding	Essential
Ifield Station enhancement (e.g. platform widening and/or lengthening canopies, accessible footbridge)	Initial advice from Network Rail – based on the current proportion of residents commuting to London, assumptions made on the additional passengers this development will create Strategic Policy HA2 Land West of Ifield	Network Rail	To be determined	To be determined	Developer contributions from S106/CIL	Desirable

Infrastructure Project and	Evidence / Need for Project (including	Provider /	Indicative	Delivery	Funding Sources	Importance to
Location	Policy and Development Link)	Delivery Lead	Cost	Timescales		Strategy
Horsham Station enhancements (car park, extension/decking, passenger flow improvements)	Based on the findings of the Horsham Transport Study 2021 and initial advice from Network Rail - based on the current proportion of residents commuting to London, development in and around Horsham will require these enhancements.	Network Rail/Govia Thameslink Railway	To be determined	To be determined	Developer contributions from S106/CIL	Desirable
	Horsham settlement site allocations (HA12 / HOR1 & HOR2), Broadbridge Heath settlement site allocation (HA8 / BRH1) and Strategic Policy 14 for additional 500 units at Land at North Horsham					
Christ's Hospital Station and Car Park enhancements such as waiting and cycle parking improvements (existing car park is very small)	Based on the findings of the Horsham Transport Study 2021 and initial advice from Network Rail – this is the nearest station to Southwater and an increase in passengers using this station would be anticipated.	Network Rail	To be determined	To be determined	Developer contributions from S106/CIL	Desirable
	Strategic Policy HA3 Land West of Southwater Christ's Hospital settlement site allocation (HA9 / CH1)					
Additional car park provision to serve Pulborough Station	Initial advice from Network Rail – additional number of passengers expected to use intermediate stations Pulborough settlement site allocations (HA15 / PLB1, PLB2 & PLB3)	Network Rail	To be determined	To be determined	Developer contributions from S106/CIL	Desirable
Enhanced bus links with integrated ticketing from Land West of Southwater to Horsham or a bus link to Christ's Hospital	Recommendations received from Network Rail Strategic Policy HA3 Land West of Southwater	Bus operating companies and Developer	To be determined	To be determined	Developer contributions from S106/CIL	Desirable
Enhanced cycle routes from Land East of Billingshurst to Billingshurst Station	Recommendations received from Network Rail	Developer	To be determined	To be determined	Developer contributions from S106/CIL and	Essential

Infrastructure Project and	Evidence / Need for Project (including	Provider /	Indicative	Delivery	Funding Sources	Importance to
Location	Policy and Development Link)	Delivery Lead	Cost	Timescales		Strategy
	Strategic Policy HA4 Land East of Billingshurst				other funding sources	
Enhanced bus links from Land East of Billingshurst to Billingshurst Station	Recommendations received from Network Rail Strategic Policy HA4 Land East of Billingshurst	Bus operating companies and developer	To be determined	To be determined	Developer contributions from S106/CIL and other funding sources	Desirable
Bus links and cycle routes to Pulborough Station	Recommendations received from Network Rail Pulborough settlement site allocations HA15 / PLB1, PLB2 & PLB3 and employment site allocation EM3 – Land at Broomers Hill Business Park, Pulborough	Bus operating companies and developers	To be determined	To be determined	Developer contributions from S106/CIL and other funding sources	Desirable
Bus links and cycle routes to Littlehaven Station (from site allocation in North Horsham)	Recommendations received from Network Rail Horsham settlement site allocation HA12 / HOR2	Bus operating companies and developers	To be determined	To be determined	Developer contributions from S106/CIL and other funding sources	Desirable
Cycle routes to Warnham Station (from Warnham site allocation)	Recommendations received from Network Rail Warnham settlement site allocation HA22 / WRN1	Developers	To be determined	To be determined	Developer contributions from S106/CIL and other funding sources	Desirable
Platform extension at Littlehaven Station and closure of Roffey Road level crossing	Recommendations received from Network Rail Settlement site allocations in and around Horsham town.	Network Rail	To be determined	To be determined	Developer contributions from S106/CIL and other funding sources	Desirable
Bridleway links from Ifield to: Ifield Wood; to Rusper; to Lambs Green; to Kilnwood Vale and a link from Charlwood Road to County Oak	Guidance from West Sussex County Council relating to bridleways and other improvements to provide access for walkers, cyclist and horse riders Strategic Policy HA2 Land West of Ifield	WSCC / Developer	To be determined	To be determined	Developer contributions from S106/CIL and funding from WSCC	Desirable
Bridleway links from Land West of Southwater to Horsham and from the south of the site connecting with	Guidance from West Sussex County Council relating to bridleways and other improvements to provide access for walkers, cyclist and horse riders	WSCC / Developer	To be determined	To be determined	Developer contributions from S106/CIL and	Desirable

Infrastructure Project and	Evidence / Need for Project (including	Provider /	Indicative	Delivery	Funding Sources	Importance to
Location	Policy and Development Link)	Delivery Lead	Cost	Timescales		Strategy
southern Southwater. Improvement of the Downslink bridleway, both to Christ's Hospital Station and into Southwater. Bridleway link to Barns Green (possibly using the railway underpass northwest of Richmond Farm.	Strategic Policy HA3 Land West of Southwater				funding from WSCC	
Bridleway links from Land East of Billingshurst to connect to Brooker's Lane, Broomfield Drive and into rear of station, bridleway link from A272 south of railway to Daux Avenue and Daux Road, a bridleway link to Coneyhurst and on the A272, provision of a Pegasus crossing to connect the site with bridleway 1918.	Guidance from West Sussex County Council relating to bridleways and other improvements to provide access for walkers, cyclist and horse riders Identified in the Horsham Transport Study 2021 Strategic Policy HA4 Land East of Billingshurst	WSCC / Developer	To be determined	To be determined	Developer contributions from S106/CIL and funding from WSCC	Desirable
Bridleway links to include several local connections to the Downs Link bridleway, Downs link in the east to Dragon's Green in the west (including grade separation of A24) and on the A272 a grade separated crossing to retain connection to footpath 1793.	Guidance from West Sussex County Council relating to bridleways and other improvements to provide access for walkers, cyclist and horse riders Strategic Policy HA5 Land at Buck Barn	WSCC / Developer	To be determined	To be determined	Developer contributions from S106/CIL and funding from WSCC	Desirable
Bridleway links from Land at Ashington including an upgrade to footpath 2611 to establish a link to both Malthouse Lane and bridleway 2612 to Newhouse Lane and a bridleway link west from site to Park Lane	Guidance from West Sussex County Council relating to bridleways and other improvements to provide access for walkers, cyclist and horse riders Ashington site allocations: Strategic Policy HA6 / ASN1	WSCC / Developer	To be determined	To be determined	Developer contributions from S106/CIL and WSCC funding	Desirable
Retention (and potential upgrade) of existing public footpaths within site, including the railway crossing plus	Guidance from West Sussex County Council relating to bridleways and other improvements to provide access for walkers, cyclist and horse riders	WSCC / Developer	To be determined	To be determined	Developer contributions from S106/CIL and WSCC funding	Desirable

Infrastructure Project and	Evidence / Need for Project (including	Provider /	Indicative	Delivery	Funding Sources	Importance to
Location	Policy and Development Link)	Delivery Lead	Cost	Timescales		Strategy
bridleway links to Christ's Hospital railway station and to Southwater (possibly using the railway underpass northwest of Richmond Farm)	Barns Green site allocations: Strategic Policy HA7 / BGR1, BGR2 & BGR3					
Bridleway links to include upgrade footpaths 1632 and 1633 to link eastern part of village with the Downs Link, upgrade footpath 1634 to connect Old Wickhurst Lane with Parthings Lane using existing A24 underpass, bridleway link to Robin Hood Lane (where anew bridleway into Warnham is to be created and a cycle route exists into Horsham) and a bridleway link west from A281 to bridleway 1440 for access into Slinfold.	Guidance from West Sussex County Council relating to bridleways and other improvements to provide access for walkers, cyclist and horse riders Broadbridge Heath site allocation: Strategic Policy HA8 / BRH1	WSCC / Developer	To be determined	To be determined	Developer contributions from S106/CIL and WSCC funding	Desirable
From south of A272, bridleway links south toward existing BW1758 and safe crossing point of A281 linking to bridleways leading to Shermanbury. Also cycle rights created to improve access from developments into the village centre facilities. Safe crossing points between sites to north and south of A272 for horses, cyclists and pedestrians. North of A272, bridleway links north to existing bridleway network in Lower Beeding and west towards Downs Link.	Guidance from West Sussex County Council relating to bridleways and other improvements to provide access for walkers, cyclist and horse riders Cowfold settlement site allocations: Strategic Policy HA10 / CW1, CW2 & CW3	WSCC / Developer	To be determined	To be determined	Developer contributions from S106/CIL and WSCC funding	Desirable
Upgrades to existing public footpaths within site area to be suitable for cyclists plus	Guidance from West Sussex County Council relating to bridleways and other	WSCC / Developer	To be determined	To be determined	Developer contributions from	Desirable

Infrastructure Project and Location	Evidence / Need for Project (including Policy and Development Link)	Provider / Delivery Lead	Indicative Cost	Delivery Timescales	Funding Sources	Importance to Strategy
bridleway links to connect Henfield with the Prairie Gardens on the B2116 and bridleway link from King James' Lane to the Downs Link.	improvements to provide access for walkers, cyclist and horse riders Henfield settlement site allocation: Strategic Policy HA11 / HNF1				S106/CIL and WSCC funding	
Improved cycle connection between Mercer Road and Station Road, including improved crossing of A24 to connect with Warnham Village	Guidance from West Sussex County Council relating to bridleways and other improvements to provide access for walkers, cyclist and horse riders Horsham settlement site allocation: Strategic Policy HA12 / HOR2	WSCC / Developer	To be determined	To be determined	Developer contributions from S106/CIL and WSCC funding	Desirable
Bridleway links from Church Close to bridleway 2832 and to footpath 1724	Guidance from West Sussex County Council relating to bridleways and other improvements to provide access for walkers, cyclist and horse riders Lower Beeding settlement site allocations: Strategic Policy HA14 / LWB1, LWB2 & LWB3	WSCC / Developer	To be determined	To be determined	Developer contributions from S106/CIL and WSCC funding	Desirable
Bridleway links from Church Place to Station Approach and railway station, from Church Place /Coombelands Lane to Stane Street Close and new Highfield development, upgrade footpaths 3500 and 2331 (part) to connect bridleway 2332 with bridleway 2328 (Rectory Lane) and upgrade footpath 2330 to connect bridleway 2332 with The Spinney.	Guidance from West Sussex County Council relating to bridleways and other improvements to provide access for walkers, cyclist and horse riders Pulborough settlement site allocations: Strategic Policy HA15 / PLB1, PLB2 & PLB3	WSCC / Developer	To be determined	To be determined	Developer contributions from S106/CIL and WSCC funding	Desirable
Bridleway links from Church Street to bridleway 1395, over the A281 crossing (the Downs Link currently has to cross at- grade and is a considerable hazard to users and motorists)	Guidance from West Sussex County Council relating to bridleways and other improvements to provide access for walkers, cyclist and horse riders	WSCC / Developer	To be determined	To be determined	Developer contributions from S106/CIL and WSCC funding	Desirable

Infrastructure Project and Location	Evidence / Need for Project (including Policy and Development Link)	Provider / Delivery Lead	Indicative Cost	Delivery Timescales	Funding Sources	Importance to Strategy
plus a bridleway link from Cox Green west to the Downs Link	Rudgwick/ Bucks Green settlement site allocations: Strategic Policy HA16 / RD1 & RD2					
Bridleway links between BW6, BW12 and BW20 to improve links between developments for cyclists and walkers and the community centre. BW6 and BW20 – bridleway links east towards Crawley to improve active travel options to existing network and employment and commercial centre of Crawley and links to development in Ifield. To include safe crossing points on road network. BW12 – new bridleway links west towards Horsham to offer active travel options to commercial and	Guidance from West Sussex County Council relating to bridleways and other improvements to provide access for walkers, cyclist and horse riders Rusper settlement site allocations: Strategic Policy HA17 / RS1, RS2 & RS3	WSCC / Developer	To be determined	To be determined	Developer contributions from S106/CIL and WSCC funding	Desirable
employment centre. Bridleway links from A2037 to the Downs Link, from the village, south to Edburton Road and from the village east to bridleway 2747	Guidance from West Sussex County Council relating to bridleways and other improvements to provide access for walkers, cyclist and horse riders Small Dole settlement site allocation:	WSCC / Developer	To be determined	To be determined	Developer contributions from S106/CIL and WSCC funding	Desirable
Bridleway links to and along River Adur to link existing Downs Link provision north and south of Steyning and bridleway links east toward existing network at Upper Beeding improving sustainable transport access to Truleigh Hill.	Strategic Policy HA18 / SMD1 Guidance from West Sussex County Council relating to bridleways and other improvements to provide access for walkers, cyclist and horse riders Steyning settlement site allocation; Strategic Policy HA19 / STE1	WSCC / Developer	To be determined	To be determined	Developer contributions from S106/CIL and WSCC Funding	Desirable
Bridleway links from Water Lane to bridleway 2627 (Heath Common), from Downsview	Guidance from West Sussex County Council relating to bridleways and	WSCC / Developer	To be determined	To be determined	Developer contributions from	Desirable

Infrastructure Project and	Evidence / Need for Project (including	Provider /	Indicative	Delivery	Funding Sources	Importance to
Location	Policy and Development Link)	Delivery Lead	Cost	Timescales		Strategy
Avenue to Northlands Lane, from Fryern Road to Hurston Lane and from Water Lane to Nightingale Lane	improvements to provide access for walkers, cyclist and horse riders Storrington and Sullington settlement site				S106/CIL and WSCC funding	
	allocations: Strategic Policy HA20 / STO1 & STO2					
Bridleway links from High Bar Lane (bridleway 2473) to bridleway 2404, from bridleway 2404 to Southlands Lane and The Street to Warminghurst plus a footpath link from Furze Common Road	Guidance from West Sussex County Council relating to bridleways and other improvements to provide access for walkers, cyclist and horse riders Thakeham settlement site allocations: Strategic Policy HA21 / TH1 & TH2	WSCC / Developer	To be determined	To be determined	Developer contributions from S106/CIL and WSCC funding	Desirable
to footpath 2463_1 Improved cycle connection between village and Mercer Road/Station Road, including improved crossing of A24 and bridleway link from Tilletts Lane to bridleway 1433	Guidance from West Sussex County Council relating to bridleways and other improvements to provide access for walkers, cyclist and horse riders Warnham settlement site allocation: Strategic Policy HA22 / WRN1 access for walkers, cyclist and horse riders	WSCC / Developer	To be determined	To be determined	Developer contributions from S106/CIL and WSCC funding	Desirable
Bridleway links from West Chiltington village with Haglands Lane and Southlands Lane to bridleway 2404	Guidance from West Sussex County Council relating to bridleways and other improvements to provide access for walkers, cyclist and horse riders West Chiltington and West Chiltington Common settlement site allocations: Strategic Policy HA23 / WCH1, WCH2 & WCH3	WSCC / Developer	To be determined	To be determined	Developer contributions from S106/CIL and WSCC funding	Desirable
Corridor 1a (North Horsham to Town Centre via Rusper Road) and Corridor 2 (Roffey to Town Centre) Cycle & Walking Route Interventions mentioned to be considered individually or as a group/section of the corridor	Horsham District Council's Local Cycling and Walking Infrastructure Plan (LCWIP) Emerging Horsham District Local Plan: Strategic Policy 41 West Sussex County Council LCWIP (emerging)	WSCC / HDC / Developers	£6.5million - £12.5million Note: Indicative high level costing for each intervention reported on a	To be determined	Funding sources to be determined but could be sourced from: Government (DfT), Coast to Capital Local Transport Board, WSCC	Desirable

Infrastructure Project and Location	Evidence / Need for Project (including Policy and Development Link)	Provider / Delivery Lead	Indicative Cost	Delivery Timescales	Funding Sources	Importance to Strategy
	West Sussex Walking and Cycling Strategy 2016 - 2026 DfT Cycling and Walking Investment Strategy (2017)		corridor basis include all elements from concept to scheme delivery		HDC and/or Developer contributions from S106 / CIL / S278	
Corridor 1b (North Horsham to Town Centre via North Heath Lane and North Parade) Cycle & walking Route; and Corridor 6 (Warnham Mill to Town Centre) Walking Route Interventions mentioned to be considered individually or as a group/section of the corridor	Horsham District Council's Local Cycling and Walking Infrastructure Plan (LCWIP) Emerging Horsham District Local Plan: Strategic Policy 41 West Sussex County Council LCWIP (emerging) West Sussex Walking and Cycling Strategy 2016 - 2026 DfT Cycling and Walking Investment Strategy (2017)	WSCC / HDC / Developers	£5million - £10million Note: Indicative high level costing for each intervention reported on a corridor basis include all element from concept to scheme delivery	To be determined	Funding sources to be determined but could be sourced from: Government (DfT), Coast to Capital Local Transport Board, WSCC HDC and/or Developer contributions from S106 / CIL / S278	Desirable
Corridor 3 (Forest School to Horsham Town Centre) Cycle & Walking Route Interventions mentioned to be considered individually or as a group/section of the corridor	Horsham District Council's Local Cycling and Walking Infrastructure Plan (LCWIP) Emerging Horsham District Local Plan: Strategic Policy 41 West Sussex County Council LCWIP (emerging) West Sussex Walking and Cycling Strategy 2016 - 2026 DfT Cycling and Walking Investment Strategy (2017)	WSCC / HDC / Developers	£2million - £4million Note: Indicative high level costing for each intervention reported on a corridor basis include all elements from concept to scheme delivery	To be determined	Funding sources to be determined but could be sourced from: Government (DfT), Coast to Capital Local Transport Board, WSCC HDC and/or Developer contributions from S106 / CIL / S278	Desirable
Corridor 4 (Southwater to Horsham Town Centre) Cycle & Walking Route	Horsham District Council's Local Cycling and Walking Infrastructure Plan (LCWIP)	WSCC / HDC / Developers	£2.5million - £5.5million	To be determined	Funding sources to be determined but could be sourced from:	Desirable

Infrastructure Project and Location	Evidence / Need for Project (including Policy and Development Link)	Provider / Delivery Lead	Indicative Cost	Delivery Timescales	Funding Sources	Importance to Strategy
Interventions mentioned to be considered individually or as a group/section of the corridor	Emerging Horsham District Local Plan: Strategic Policy 41 West Sussex County Council LCWIP (emerging) West Sussex Walking and Cycling Strategy 2016 - 2026 DfT Cycling and Walking Investment Strategy (2017)		Note: Indicative high level costing for each intervention reported on a corridor basis include all elements from concept to scheme delivery		Government (DfT), Coast to Capital Local Transport Board, WSCC HDC and/or Developer contributions from S106 / CIL / S278	
Corridor 5 (Broadbridge Heath to Horsham Town Centre) Cycle & Walking Route Interventions mentioned to be considered individually or as a group/section of the corridor	 Horsham District Council's Local Cycling and Walking Infrastructure Plan (LCWIP) Emerging Horsham District Local Plan: Strategic Policy 41 West Sussex County Council LCWIP (emerging) West Sussex Walking and Cycling Strategy 2016 - 2026 DfT Cycling and Walking Investment Strategy (2017) 	WSCC / HDC / Developers	£4million - £8million Note: Indicative high level costing for each intervention reported on a corridor basis include all elements from concept to scheme delivery	To be determined	Funding sources to be determined but could be sourced from: Government (DfT), Coast to Capital Local Transport Board, WSCC HDC and/or Developer contributions from S106 / CIL / S278	Desirable
Other cycling corridors and key walking routes identified on the Local Cycling & Walking Infrastructure Plan strategic network maps (Horsham and connections to Broadbridge Heath, Mannings Heath, Southwater & Warnham)	Horsham District Council's Local Cycling and Walking Infrastructure Plan (LCWIP) Emerging Horsham District Local Plan: Strategic Policy 41 West Sussex County Council LCWIP (emerging) West Sussex Walking and Cycling Strategy 2016 - 2026	HDC / WSCC	To be determined	To be determined	Funding sources to be determined but could be sourced from: Government (DfT), Coast to Capital Local Transport Board, WSCC HDC and/or Developer contributions from S106 / CIL / S278	Desirable

Infrastructure Project and	Evidence / Need for Project (including	Provider /	Indicative	Delivery	Funding Sources	Importance to
Location	Policy and Development Link)	Delivery Lead	Cost	Timescales		Strategy
	DfT Cycling and Walking Investment Strategy (2017)					
Transport initiatives and improvements in non-road connectivity between Billingshurst and Petworth	Based on recommendations in the Horsham Local Plan Habitats Regulations Assessment (2021) District-wide site allocations	Horsham District Council / West Sussex County Council / Chichester District Council	To be determined	2021 – 2038	Developer contributions from S106 / CIL / S278	Essential
Air quality mitigation measures for the Cowfold AQMA	Impact of Strategic Policies HA5 Land at Buck Barn Air quality and emissions mitigation guidance for Sussex (2020) Emerging Horsham District Local Plan: Strategic Policy 25 Cowfold Air Quality Action Plan (2013) Cowfold Air Quality Management Area Scheme Proposal Review (2017)	Horsham District Council / West Sussex County Council / Cowfold Parish Council	£1 million allocated funding from the developer	2021 - 2038	Developer contributions from S106 / CIL	Essential
Air quality mitigation measures for the Storrington AQMA	Cumulative impact of settlement site allocations on Storrington which acts as a hub to neighbouring settlements Air quality and emissions mitigation guidance for Sussex (2020) Emerging Horsham District Local Plan: Strategic Policy 25 Storrington Air Quality Action Plan (2012) Storrington Air Quality Management Area Scheme Proposal Review (2017)	Horsham District Council / West Sussex County Council / Storrington & Sullington Parish Council	To be determined	2021 - 2038	Developer contributions from S106 / CIL	Essential
Air quality mitigation measures for the Hazelwick AQMA (contained within Crawley Borough)	Impact of Strategic Policy HA2 Land West of Ifield Air quality and emissions mitigation guidance for Sussex (2020)	Crawley Borough Council / West Sussex County Council	To be determined	2021 - 2038	Developer contributions from S106 / CIL	Essential

Infrastructure Project and Location	Evidence / Need for Project (including Policy and Development Link)	Provider / Delivery Lead	Indicative Cost	Delivery Timescales	Funding Sources	Importance to Strategy
Improve cycling and pedestrian connectivity between Horsham and Crawley and Kilnwood Vale and Faygate	Emerging Horsham District Local Plan: Strategic Policy 25 Infrastructure projects in this location recommended by Colgate Parish Council and North Horsham Parish Council Strategic Policy HA2 Land West of Ifield, Strategic Policy HA13 / WKV1 Land West of Kilnwood Vale, Strategic Policy HA12 / HOR2 Land at Mercer Road and Strategic Policy 14: Additional 500 dwellings at Land North of Horsham	WSCC (to be agreed)	To be determined	In line with the phasing of development	Parish may wish to consider funding from their CIL and / or Developer contributions from S106/CIL	Desirable
				ESTIMATED M	IINIMUM COSTS	£58,812,229
3.2.2 EDUCATION						

3.2.2 EDUCATION

Infrastructure Project and Location	Evidence / Need for Project (including Policy and Development Link)	Provider / Delivery Lead	Indicative Cost	Delivery Timescales	Funding Sources	Importance to Strategy
Contributions to Sixth Form provision West of Ifield/Crawley	Guidance from West Sussex County Council on the mitigation requirements generated by the site allocation Strategic Policy HA2 Land West of Ifield (based on 3,250 dwellings). Strategic Policy HA13 / WKV1 Land West of Kilnwood Vale (based on 350 dwellings)	WSCC	To be determined	In line with the phasing of development	Developer contributions from S106/CIL plus further funding from ESFA (DfE) and WSCC	Essential – In the absence of additional capacity there will be insufficient school/college places in the area to meet demand the created by development
8FE expandable to 10FE Secondary School with special support centre or SEND school at Land West of Ifield (to cater for development needs as well as Forge Wood	Guidance from West Sussex County Council on the mitigation requirements generated by the site allocation Strategic Policy HA2 Land West of Ifield (based on 3,250 dwellings).	DfE, Developer	£39,300,000* Excludes SEND/SSC costs	In line with the phasing of development	Developer contributions from S106/CIL plus further funding from ESFA (DfE)	Essential – In the absence of additional capacity there will be insufficient

Infrastructure Project and Location	Evidence / Need for Project (including Policy and Development Link)	Provider / Delivery Lead	Indicative Cost	Delivery Timescales	Funding Sources	Importance to Strategy
High requirements from Crawley)	It should be noted that WSCC advise that a further 8FE expandable to 10FE secondary school with special support centre/SEND school would be required to support any future proposal for 10,000 homes. The child product from Strategic Policy HA13 / WKV1 Land West of Kilnwood Vale (based on 350 dwellings) would be accommodated in this facility, therefore contributions towards this facility would be required.				and WSCC (basic need allocations)	school places in the area to meet demand the created by development. The delivery of this facility is also required to meet increasing needs in Crawley.
1x2FE Primary School with special education facility and 1x2FE expandable to 3FE primary school with special education facility at Land West of Ifield	Guidance from West Sussex County Council on the mitigation requirements generated by the site allocation Strategic Policy HA2 Land West of Ifield (based on 3,250 dwellings). The child product from Strategic Policy HA13 / WKV1 Land West of Kilnwood Vale (based on 350 dwellings) would be accommodated in this facility, therefore contributions towards these facilities would be required	DfE, Developer	£21,200,000* Excludes SEND/SSC costs	In line with the phasing of development	Developer contributions from S106/CIL plus further funding from ESFA (DfE) and WSCC (basic need allocations)	Essential – In the absence of additional capacity there will be insufficient school places in the area to meet the demand created by development
Contributions to a new SEND education facility if SSCs are not required by WSCC (subject to final locations)	Guidance from West Sussex County Council on the mitigation requirements generated by the site allocation Strategic Policy HA2 Land West of Ifield	WSCC	£1,550,000	In line with the phasing of development	Developer contributions from S106/CIL	Essential – In the absence of additional capacity there will be insufficient SEND provision in the area to meet the demand created by the development
191 Early Years places in 2x100 place new full-day care	Guidance from West Sussex County Council on the mitigation requirements generated by the site allocation	WSCC	To be determined	In line with the phasing of development	Developer contributions from S106/CIL plus	Essential – Additional capacity is

Infrastructure Project and Location	Evidence / Need for Project (including Policy and Development Link)	Provider / Delivery Lead	Indicative Cost	Delivery Timescales	Funding Sources	Importance to Strategy
nurseries (subject to final locations)	Strategic Policy HA2 Land West of Ifield (based on 3,250 dwellings).				further Government funding	required to ensure there are sufficient nursery places in the area to meet the demand created by development
Contributions to Sixth Form provision	Guidance from West Sussex County Council on the mitigation requirements generated by the site allocation Strategic Policy HA3 Land West of Southwater, Southwater (based on 1,200 dwellings)	WSCC	To be determined	In line with the phasing of development	Developer contributions from S106/CIL plus further funding from ESFA (DfE) and WSCC	Essential – In the absence of additional capacity there will be insufficient school/college places to meet the demand created by development
6FE expandable to 8FE Secondary School with special support centre at Land West of Southwater	Guidance from West Sussex County Council on the mitigation requirements generated by the site allocation Strategic Policy HA3 Land West of Southwater, Southwater (based on 1,200 dwellings)	Developer, DfE	£30,600,000* Excludes SEND/SSC costs	In line with the phasing of development	Developer contributions from S106/CIL plus further funding from ESFA (DfE) and WSCC (basic need allocations)	Essential – In the absence of additional capacity there will be insufficient school places to meet the demand created by development
1FE expandable to 2FE primary school with special support centre at Land West of Southwater	Guidance from West Sussex County Council on the mitigation requirements generated by the site allocation Strategic Policy HA3 Land West of Southwater, Southwater (based on 1,200 dwellings)	Developer, DfE	£6,000,000* Excludes SEND/SSC costs	In line with the phasing of development	Developer contributions from S106/CIL plus further funding from ESFA (DfE) and WSCC (basic need allocations)	Essential – In the absence of additional capacity there will be insufficient school places to meet the demand created by development

Infrastructure Project and Location	Evidence / Need for Project (including Policy and Development Link)	Provider / Delivery Lead	Indicative Cost	Delivery Timescales	Funding Sources	Importance to Strategy
Contributions to a new SEND education facility if SSCs are not required by WSCC(subject to final locations)	Guidance from West Sussex County Council on the mitigation requirements generated by the site allocation Strategic Policy HA3 Land West of Southwater, Southwater (based on 1,200 dwellings)	WSCC	£620,000	In line with the phasing of development	Developer contributions from S106/CIL	Essential – In the absence of additional capacity there will be insufficient SEND provision in the area to meet the demand created by the development
60 Early Years places in 1x75 place new full-day care nursery at Land West of Southwater	Guidance from West Sussex County Council on the mitigation requirements generated by the site allocation Strategic Policy HA3 Land West of Southwater, Southwater (based on 1,200 dwellings)	Developer / WSCC	To be determined	In line with the phasing of development	Developer contributions plus further Government funding	Essential – Additional capacity is required to ensure there are sufficient nursery places in the area to meet the demand created by development
Contributions to Secondary School and Sixth Form provision	Guidance from West Sussex County Council on the mitigation requirements generated by the site allocation Strategic Policy HA4 Land East of Billingshurst (based on 650 dwellings)	WSCC	To be determined	In line with the phasing of development	Developer contributions from S106/CIL plus further funding from ESFA (DfE) and WSCC (basic need allocations)	Essential – In the absence of additional capacity there will be insufficient school/ /college places to meet the demand created by development
1FE expandable to 2FE primary school with special support centre at Land East of Billingshurst	Guidance from West Sussex County Council on the mitigation requirements generated by the site allocation Strategic Policy HA4 Land East of Billingshurst (based on 650 dwellings)	Developer, DfE	£6,000,000* Excludes SEND/SSC costs	In line with the phasing of development	Developer contributions from S106/CIL plus further funding from ESFA (DfE)	Essential – In the absence of additional capacity there will be insufficient

Infrastructure Project and Location	Evidence / Need for Project (including Policy and Development Link)	Provider / Delivery Lead	Indicative Cost	Delivery Timescales	Funding Sources	Importance to Strategy
					and WSCC (basic need allocations)	school places to meet the demand created by development
Contributions to a new SEND education facility if SSCs are not required by WSCC (subject to final locations)	Guidance from West Sussex County Council on the mitigation requirements generated by the site allocation Strategic Policy HA4 Land East of Billingshurst (based on 650 dwellings)	WSCC	£310,000	In line with the phasing of development	Developer contributions from S106/CIL	Essential – In the absence of additional capacity there will be insufficient SEND provision in the area to meet the demand created by the development
33 Early Years places in 1x50 place new full-day care nursery at Land East of Billingshurst	Guidance from West Sussex County Council on the mitigation requirements generated by the site allocation Strategic Policy HA4 Land East of Billingshurst (based on 650 dwellings)	WSCC, Developer	To be determined	In line with the phasing of development	Developer contributions plus further Government funding	Essential – Additional capacity is required to ensure there are sufficient nursery places in the area to meet the demand created by development
Contributions to Sixth Form Provision	Guidance from West Sussex County Council on the mitigation requirements generated by the site allocation Strategic Policy HA5 Land at Buck Barn, West Grinstead (based on 3,500 dwellings)	WSCC	To be determined	In line with the phasing of development	Developer contributions from S106/CIL plus further funding from ESFA (DfE) and WSCC	Essential – In the absence of additional capacity there will be insufficient school / college places to meet the demand created by the development

Infrastructure Project and Location	Evidence / Need for Project (including Policy and Development Link)	Provider / Delivery Lead	Indicative Cost	Delivery Timescales	Funding Sources	Importance to Strategy
6FE expandable to 8FE Secondary School with special support centre at Land at Buck Barn	Guidance from West Sussex County Council on the mitigation requirements generated by the site allocation Strategic Policy HA5 Land at Buck Barn (based on 3,500 dwellings)	Developer, DfE	£30,600,000* Excludes SEND/SSC costs	In line with the phasing of development	Developer contributions from S106/CIL plus further funding from ESFA (DfE) and WSCC (basic need allocations)	Essential – In the absence of additional capacity there will be insufficient school places to meet the demand created by the development
1x2FE Primary School with special support centre <i>and</i> 1x2FE expandable to 3FE Primary School with special support centre at Land at Buck Barn	Guidance from West Sussex County Council on the mitigation requirements generated by the site allocation Strategic Policy HA5 Land at Buck Barn, (based on 3,500 dwellings)	Developer, DfE	£21,200,000* Excludes SEND/SSC costs	In line with the phasing of development	Developer contributions from S106/CIL plus further funding from ESFA (DfE) and WSCC (basic need allocations)	Essential – Additional capacity is required to ensure there are sufficient school places in the area to meet the demand created by development
Contributions to a new SEND education facility if SSCs are not required by WSCC (subject to final locations)	Guidance from West Sussex County Council on the mitigation requirements generated by the site allocation Strategic Policy HA5 Land at Buck Barn (based on 3,500 dwellings)	WSCC	£1,550,000	In line with the phasing of development	Developer contributions from S106/CIL	Essential – In the absence of additional capacity there will be insufficient SEND provision in the area to meet the demand created by the development
176 Early Years places in 2x100 new full-day care nurseries (subject to final locations)	Guidance from West Sussex County Council on the mitigation requirements generated by the site allocation Strategic Policy HA5 Land at Buck Barn (based on 3,500 dwellings)	WSCC	To be determined	In line with the phasing of development	Developer contributions from S106/CIL plus further Government funding	Essential – Additional capacity is required to ensure there are sufficient nursery places

Infrastructure Project and Location	Evidence / Need for Project (including Policy and Development Link)	Provider / Delivery Lead	Indicative Cost	Delivery Timescales	Funding Sources	Importance to Strategy
						in the area to meet the demand created by development
Contributions to Secondary and Primary Provision and Sixth Form Provision	Guidance from West Sussex County Council on the mitigation requirements generated by the settlement site allocations. It is anticipated that the child product arising from the District-wide settlement site allocations can be accommodated in existing schools and the contributions will meet this demand.	WSCC	To be determined	In line with the phasing of development	Developer contributions from S106/CIL plus further funding from ESFA (DfE) and WSCC (basic need allocations)	Essential – Additional capacity is required to ensure there are sufficient school and college places in the area to meet the demand created by development
Expansion of Ashington School (0.44 ha of land for expansion safeguarded through criterion xix of Policy ASH11: Land West of Ashington School)	Guidance from West Sussex County Council on the mitigation requirements generated by the site allocations Land at Ashington Strategic Policy HA6 / ASN1	WSCC / Developer	To be determined	In line with the phasing of development	Developer contributions and land secured via S106/CIL plus further funding from ESFA (DfE) and WSCC (basic need allocations)	Essential – Additional capacity is required to ensure there are sufficient school places in the area to meet the demand created by development
Contributions to SEND based on the cumulative requirements of all the settlement site allocations (totalling 7.86 pupils**) Subject to final locations to be advised by WSCC	Guidance from West Sussex County Council on the mitigation requirements generated by the settlement site allocations. It is anticipated that the child product arising from the District-wide settlement site allocations can be accommodated in existing schools and the contributions will meet this demand.	WSCC	£1,213,832	In line with the phasing of development	Developer contributions from S106/CIL plus further funding from ESFA (DfE) and WSCC (basic need allocations)	Essential – Additional capacity is required to ensure there is sufficient SEND provision in the area to meet the demand created by the development
140.85 Early Years places** (subject to final locations)	Guidance from West Sussex County Council on the mitigation requirements generated by the in-combination effects of the District-wide settlement site allocations	WSCC	To be determined	In line with the phasing of development	Developer contributions from S106/CIL plus further	Essential – Additional capacity is required to

Infrastructure Project and Location	Evidence / Need for Project (including Policy and Development Link)	Provider / Delivery Lead	Indicative Cost	Delivery Timescales	Funding Sources	Importance to Strategy
					Government funding	ensure there is sufficient nursery places in the area to meet the demand created by the development
Contributions to Secondary School and Sixth Form provision at Horsham/North Horsham	Guidance from West Sussex County Council on the mitigation requirements generated by the increased housing delivery anticipated on the North Horsham strategic allocation Strategic Policy 14 – Additional 500 dwellings at the Land North of Horsham strategic allocation	WSCC	To be determined	In line with the phasing of development	Developer contributions from S106/CIL plus further funding from ESFA (DfE) and WSCC (basic need allocations)	Essential – In the absence of additional capacity there will be insufficient school and college places to meet the demand created by the development
Expansion of new primary school at North Horsham site to 2FE or expansion in the school planning area	Guidance from West Sussex County Council on the mitigation requirements generated by the increased housing delivery anticipated on the North Horsham strategic allocation Strategic Policy 14 – Additional 500 dwellings at the Land North of Horsham strategic allocation	Developer, WSCC	To be determined	In line with the phasing of development	Developer contributions from S106/CIL plus further funding from ESFA (DfE) and WSCC (basic need allocations)	Essential – In the absence of additional capacity there will be insufficient school places to meet the demand created by the development
Contributions to SEND based on 2 pupils (subject to final locations)	Guidance from West Sussex County Council on the mitigation requirements generated by the site allocation Strategic Policy 14 – Additional 500 dwellings at the Land North of Horsham strategic allocation	WSCC	£310,000	In line with the phasing of development	Developer contributions from S106/CIL plus further funding from ESFA (DfE) and WSCC (basic need allocations)	Essential – In the absence of additional capacity there will be insufficient SEND provision in the area to meet the

Infrastructure Project and	Evidence / Need for Project (including	Provider /	Indicative	Delivery	Funding Sources	Importance to
Location	Policy and Development Link)	Delivery Lead	Cost	Timescales		Strategy
25 Early Years places in a 1x50 place new full day care nursery (40 places in total when combined with Land around Mercer Road) in North Horsham	Guidance from West Sussex County Council on the mitigation requirements generated by the increased housing delivery anticipated on the North Horsham strategic allocation Strategic Policy 14 – Additional 500 dwellings at the Land North of Horsham strategic allocation	WSCC, Developers	To be determined	In line with the phasing of development	Developer contributions from S106/CIL plus further Government funding	demand created by the development Essential – In the absence of additional capacity there will be insufficient nursery places to meet the demand created by the development

* The indicative costs for new school facilities are broad estimates, based on the best available information at the time of preparing the Infrastructure Delivery Schedule. It is important to note that the cost estimates come with a range of caveats and more accurate costs will be applied to development proposals on application. These estimated costs do not account for Special Support Centres (SSC) in mainstream schools.

**Includes the education requirements for the site allocations that were in the Neighbourhood Plans 'made' in June 2021

3.2.3 HEALTH AND SOCIAL CARE

Infrastructure Project and	Evidence / Need for Project (including	Provider /	Indicative	Delivery	Funding Sources	Importance to
Location	Policy and Development Link)	Delivery Lead	Cost	Timescales		Strategy
New health facility for the north of the District (on allocated land at North Horsham)	Identified by the CCG through the Estate Strategy and District Valuer build guidance Delivery of a new GP site on the North Horsham strategic allocation agreed in principle and approved strategically by the NHS. Also considered be able to mitigate the need arising from Strategic Policies HA12 / HOR2 (Land at Mercer Road), HA13 / WKV1 (Land West of Kilnwood	Primary Care Practice (owner) Supported by CCG (West Sussex NHS Commissioner)	£10,380,000	2022-2028 (subject to housing build completions)	Developer contributions from S106/CIL and GP/NHS/CCG funding	Essential

Evidence / Need for Project (including Policy and Development Link)	Provider / Delivery Lead	Indicative Cost	Delivery Timescales	Funding Sources	Importance to Strategy
Vale) and support Strategic Policy HA2 (Land West of Ifield), subject to access.					
Identified by the CCG though Estate Strategy and District Valuer Build guidance Sites in Horsham and surrounding area (Strategic Policies HA12 / HOR1 & HOR2, HA8 / BRH1 and HA22 / WRN1)	Primary Care Practice (owner) supported by CCG (West Sussex NHS Commissioner)	£1,500,000	2023 – 2035 (subject to housing build completions)	Developer contributions from S106/CIL	Essential
Identified by the CCG though Estate Strategy and District Valuer Build guidance Strategic Policies HA3 (Land West of Southwater) and HA5 (Land at Buck Barn)	Primary Care Practice (owner) supported by CCG (West Sussex NHS commissioner)	£4,000,000	2023 – 2035 (subject to housing)	Developer contributions from S106/CIL	Essential
Identified by the CCG though Estate Strategy and District Valuer Build guidance Strategic Policy HA4 (Land East of Billingshurst)	Primary Care Practice (owner) supported by CCG (West Sussex NHS Commissioner)	£1,400,000	2023 – 2035 (subject to housing build completions)	Developer contributions from S106/CIL	Essential
Identified by the CCG through District Valuer working on build costs for size Contributions to improve other GP surgeries in the District would be required from all other settlement site allocations and windfall development.	Primary Care Practices (owners) supported by CCG (West Sussex NHS commissioner)	To be determined	2023 – 2035 Subject to housing build completions)	Developer contributions from S106/CIL plus potential further funding from GPs/NHS/CCG	Essential
	Policy and Development Link)Vale) and support Strategic Policy HA2 (Land West of Ifield), subject to access.Identified by the CCG though Estate Strategy and District Valuer Build guidanceSites in Horsham and surrounding area (Strategic Policies HA12 / HOR1 & HOR2, HA8 / BRH1 and HA22 / WRN1)Identified by the CCG though Estate Strategy and District Valuer Build guidanceStrategic Policies HA3 (Land West of Southwater) and HA5 (Land at Buck Barn)Identified by the CCG though Estate Strategy and District Valuer Build guidanceStrategic Policies HA3 (Land West of Southwater) and HA5 (Land at Buck Barn)Identified by the CCG though Estate Strategy and District Valuer Build guidanceStrategic Policy HA4 (Land East of Billingshurst)Identified by the CCG through District Valuer working on build costs for sizeContributions to improve other GP surgeries in the District would be required from all other settlement site allocations	Policy and Development Link)Delivery LeadVale) and support Strategic Policy HA2 (Land West of Ifield), subject to access.Identified by the CCG though Estate Strategy and District Valuer Build guidance (Strategic Policies HA12 / HOR1 & HOR2, HA8 / BRH1 and HA22 / WRN1)Primary Care Practice (owner) supported by CCG (West Sussex NHS Commissioner)Identified by the CCG though Estate Strategy and District Valuer Build guidancePrimary Care Practice (owner) supported by CCG (West Sussex NHS Commissioner)Identified by the CCG though Estate Strategic Policies HA3 (Land West of Southwater) and HA5 (Land at Buck Barn)Primary Care Practice (owner) supported by CCG (West Sussex NHS commissioner)Identified by the CCG though Estate Strategic Policy HA4 (Land East of Billingshurst)Primary Care Practice (owner) supported by CCG (West Sussex NHS commissioner)Identified by the CCG through District Valuer working on build costs for size Contributions to improve other GP surgeries in the District would be required from all other settlement site allocationsPrimary Care Practices (owners) supported by CCG (West Sussex NHS	Policy and Development Link)Delivery LeadCostVale) and support Strategic Policy HA2 (Land West of Ifield), subject to access.Identified by the CCG though Estate Strategy and District Valuer Build guidance (Strategic Policies HA12 / HOR1 & HOR2, HA8 / BRH1 and HA22 / WRN1)Primary Care Practice (owner) supported by CCG (West Sussex NHS Commissioner)£1,500,000Identified by the CCG though Estate Strategic Policies HA3 (Land West of Southwater) and HA5 (Land West of Southwater) and HA5 (Land at Buck Barn)Primary Care Practice (owner) supported by CCG (West Sussex NHS commissioner)£4,000,000Identified by the CCG though Estate Strategic Policies HA3 (Land West of Southwater) and HA5 (Land at Buck Barn)Primary Care Practice (owner) supported by CCG (West Sussex NHS commissioner)£1,400,000Identified by the CCG through District Billingshurst)Primary Care Primary Care Practice (owner) supported by CCG (West Sussex NHS commissioner)£1,400,000Identified by the CCG through District Valuer working on build costs for size Contributions to improve other GP surgeries in the District would be required from all other settlement site allocationsPrimary Care Practices (West Sussex NHSTo be determined	Policy and Development Link)Delivery LeadCostTimescalesVale) and support Strategic Policy HA2 (Land West of Ifield), subject to access.Primary Care Practice (owner) supported by CCG (West Sussex NHS Commissioner)£1,500,0002023 - 2035 (subject to housing build completions)Sites in Horsham and surrounding area (Strategic Policies HA12 / HOR1 & HOR2, HA8 / BRH1 and HA22 / WRN1)Primary Care Practice (owner) supported by CCG (West Sussex NHS Commissioner)£4,000,0002023 - 2035 (subject to housing build completions)Identified by the CCG though Estate Strategic Policies HA3 (Land West of Southwater) and HA5 (Land at Buck Barn)Primary Care Practice (owner) supported by CCG (West Sussex NHS commissioner)£4,000,0002023 - 2035 (subject to housing)Identified by the CCG though Estate Strategic Policies HA3 (Land West of Southwater) and HA5 (Land at Buck Barn)Primary Care Practice (owner) supported by CCG (West Sussex NHS commissioner)£1,400,0002023 - 2035 (subject to housing)Identified by the CCG through District Valuer working on build costs for size Contributions to improve other GP surgeries in the District would be required from all other settlement site allocationsPrimary Care Practices (West Sussex NHS£000,000Identified by the CCG through District Valuer working on build costs for sizePrimary Care Practices (owners) Supported by CCG (West Sussex NHS£1,400,0002023 - 2035 (subject to housing build completions)Identified by the CCG through District Valuer working on build costs for size (ow	Policy and Development Link)Delivery LeadCostTimescalesVale) and support Strategic Policy HA2 (Land West of fileld), subject to access.Identified by the CCG though Estate Strategy and District Valuer Build guidance (Strategic Policies HA12 / HOR1 & HOR2, HA8 / BRH1 and HA22 / WRN1)Primary Care Practice (owner) supported by CCG (West Sussex NHS Commissioner)£1,500,0002023 - 2035 (subject to housing build completions)Developer contributions from \$106/CILIdentified by the CCG though Estate Strategy and District Valuer Build guidance Strategy and District Valuer Build guidance Strategic Policies HA3 (Land West of Sussex NHS commissioner)£4,000,0002023 - 2035 (subject to housing)Developer contributions from \$106/CILIdentified by the CCG though Estate Strategic Policy HA4 (Land East of Billingshurst)Primary Care Practice (owner) supported by CCG (West Sussex NHS Commissioner)£1,400,0002023 - 2035 (subject to housing build completions)Identified by the CCG through District Valuer working on build costs for size Supported by CCG (West Susported by CCG (West Sussex NHS Commissioner)£1,400,0002023 - 2035 (subject to housing build completions)Identified by the CCG through District Valuer working on build costs

3.2.4 COMMUNITY AND SPORTS FACILITIES

Infrastructure Project and Location	Evidence / Need for Project (including Policy and Development Link)	Provider / Delivery Lead	Indicative Cost	Delivery Timescales	Funding Sources	Importance to Strategy
Provision of allotments as follows: 1.68 ha at Land West of Ifield 0.52 ha at Land West of Southwater 0.28 ha at Land East of Billingshurst 1.51 ha at Land at Buck Barn Additional allotments to be provided via the settlement site allocations and windfall development – subject to final locations.	Need based on the guidance in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Review (2021) and Policy 45, emerging HDLP Strategic Policies: HA2 Land West of Ifield HA3 Land West of Southwater HA4 Land East of Billingshurst HA5 Land at Buck Barn Cumulative impact of settlement site allocations and other development that comes forward within the Plan period	Developers	£532,314 (on-site or off- site provision as appropriate)	In line with the phasing of development	Delivered alongside development or off-site (as appropriate) with an ongoing programme for maintenance (S106)	Essential
Facilities for children (in the form of playgrounds/landscaped areas of play) as follows: 0.47 ha at Land West of Ifield 0.14 ha at Land West of Southwater 0.08 ha at Land East of Billingshurst 0.42 ha at Land at Buck Barn <i>Plus</i> Additional / improvements to existing facilities for children to be provided via the settlement site allocations and windfall development – subject to final locations.	Need based on the guidance in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Review (2021) and Policy 45, emerging HDLP. Also based on Recommendation 12 (R12) in the Built Sports Facilities Strategy (2019) Action Plan. Strategic Policies: HA2 Land West of Ifield HA3 Land West of Southwater HA4 Land East of Billingshurst HA5 Land at Buck Barn Cumulative impact of settlement site allocations and other development that comes forward within the Plan period	Developers	£2,513,705 (on-site or off- site provision as appropriate)	In line with the phasing of development	Delivered alongside development or off-site (as appropriate) with an ongoing programme for maintenance (S106)	Essential
Facilities for young people (in the form of skate parks/bike	Need based on the guidance in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Review (2021) and Policy 45, emerging HDLP.	Developers	£1,707,242 (on-site or off- site provision	In line with the phasing of development	Delivered alongside development or	Essential

Infrastructure Project and Location	Evidence / Need for Project (including Policy and Development Link)	Provider / Delivery Lead	Indicative Cost	Delivery Timescales	Funding Sources	Importance to Strategy
tracks/open access ball courts) as follows: 0.37 ha at Land West of Ifield 0.12 ha at Land West of Southwater 0.06 ha at Land East of Billingshurst 0.34 ha at Land at Buck Barn <i>Plus</i> Additional/improvements to existing facilities for young people to be provided via the settlement site allocations and windfall development – subject to final locations.	Also based on Recommendation 12 (R12) in the Built Sports Facilities Strategy (2019) Action Plan. Strategic Policies: HA2 Land West of Ifield HA3 Land West of Southwater HA4 Land East of Billingshurst HA5 Land at Buck Barn Cumulative impact of settlement site allocations and other development that comes forward within the Plan period		as appropriate)		off-site (as appropriate) with an ongoing programme for maintenance (S106)	
Provision of playing pitches (natural and artificial grass pitches, including 3G) to meet identified needs across football, cricket, rugby, stoolball and hockey (specific hockey requirements also detailed below) with changing and ancillary facilities Final locations to be determined but MUGAs are expected to be delivered on all of the strategic site allocations with 3G football pitches expected to be delivered at Land West of Ifield and Land at Buck Barn	Need based on the guidance in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Review (2021), the Playing Pitch Strategy (2019) and Policy 45, emerging HDLP. Strategic Policies: HA2 Land West of Ifield HA3 Land West of Southwater HA4 Land East of Billingshurst HA5 Land at Buck Barn	Developers / Partnership organisations	Capital costs: £12,599,425 Annual lifecycle costs: £746,281	In line with the phasing of development	On-site provision and/or developer contributions via S106/CIL	Essential
Dedicated hockey facilities in and around Horsham and the Billingshurst sub-area – anticipated that provision could form part of the strategic site	Based on needs identified in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Review 2021 and the Playing Pitch Strategy (2019).	Developers / Partnership organisations	Contained within above indicative costs	To be determined	On-site provision and/or developer contributions from S106/CIL	Essential

Infrastructure Project and Location	Evidence / Need for Project (including Policy and Development Link)	Provider / Delivery Lead	Indicative Cost	Delivery Timescales	Funding Sources	Importance to Strategy
allocations (subject to further	District-wide strategic and settlement site	Delivery Leau	Cost	Timescales		Strategy
consideration)	allocations and windfall development over the Plan period					
Provision of sports halls – final locations to be determined but anticipated to be contained within the strategic site allocations	Need based on the guidance in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Review (2021), the Built Sports Facilities Strategy (2019) and Policy 45, emerging HDLP. Strategic Policies: HA2 Land West of Ifield HA3 Land West of Southwater	Developers / Partnership organisations	£3,941,478	In line with the phasing of development	On-site provision and/or developer contributions via S106/CIL	Essential
	HA4 Land East of Billingshurst HA5 Land at Buck Barn					
Additional swimming pool capacity (new pool/additional lanes). It is anticipated that the provision of any such facilities would be provided as part of the strategic site allocations and early indications from an emerging Sport England commissioned Study suggest Land West of Ifield would be a suitable location (subject to further consideration)	Based on needs identified in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Review 2021 and Recommendation 1 (R1) of the Built Sports Facilities Strategy (2019) Action Plan. Cumulative impact of the District-wide strategic and settlement site allocations and windfall development	Developers / Partnership organisations	£5,643,564 (indicative cost from the Sport England Facility Calculator) based on population growth	To be determined	On-site provision and/or developer contributions from S106/CIL	Essential
Dedicated gymnastics / trampolining facilities to principally serve Horsham town but also the south east of the District - anticipated that provision could form part of the strategic site allocations and/or market provision within an existing settlement/brownfield site (subject to further consideration)	Based on needs identified in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Review 2021 and Recommendation 9 (R9) of the Built Sports Facilities Strategy (2019) Action Plan.	Developers / Partnership organisations	To be determined	To be determined	On-site provision and/or developer contributions from S106/CIL	Desirable
New athletics track (re- provisioning of the Broadbridge Heath athletics	Based on needs identified in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Review 2021 and Recommendation 11 (R11) of the Built	Developers / Partnership organisations	To be determined	To be determined	On-site provision and/or developer	Desirable

Infrastructure Project and Location	Evidence / Need for Project (including Policy and Development Link)	Provider / Delivery Lead	Indicative Cost	Delivery Timescales	Funding Sources	Importance to Strategy
track – subject to further consideration)	Sports Facilities Strategy (2019) Action Plan.				contributions from S106/CIL	
Enhancements to existing amenity and play spaces (particularly those identified as low quality or serving existing gaps in catchments)	 Based on the Strategic Recommendations 1 – 4 (Part 10) in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Review 2021 District-wide strategic and settlement site allocations and windfall development 	Developers / Parish Councils / Horsham District Council	To be determined	To be determined	On-site provision and/or developer contributions from S106/CIL	Essential
Provision of additional playing pitches and enhancement of existing pitches and infrastructure e.g. through maintenance and investment of pitches, replacement and refurbishment of AGPs or drainage improvements District-wide and subject to final locations	In accordance with the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Review (2021). Also based on the recommendations and Action Plan contained within the Playing Pitch Strategy (2019). Cumulative impact of settlement site allocations and other development that comes forward in the Plan period	Developers / Parish Councils / Horsham District Council	To be determined	To be determined	Developer contributions from S106/CIL	Essential
Replacement of the Sports Hall at Billingshurst Leisure Centre	Recommendation 7 (R7) of the Built Sports Facilities Strategy (2019) Action Plan.	West Sussex County Council	£1.5million	To be determined but anticipated in the longer term	WSCC / External funding including developer contributions via S106/CIL	Desirable
Replacement of the Pavilions in the Park	Recommendation 8 (R8) of the Built Sports Facilities Strategy (2019) Action Plan.	Organisations in partnership with Horsham District Council	£5-20million	To be determined but anticipated in the longer term	Horsham District Council funding plus external funding including developer contributions via S106/CIL	Desirable
New community facility at Land West of Ifield	District-Wide Community Facilities Assessment Strategic Policy HA2 Land West of Ifield	Developer / Crawley Borough Council	On-site delivery of built facility	To be determined	Built facility	Essential
Expansion/enhancement of Southwater Leisure Centre of Southwater Village Hall	District-Wide Community Facilities Assessment	Southwater Parish Council / Developer	£234,748	To be determined	Developer contributions from S106/CIL	Essential

Infrastructure Project and Location	Evidence / Need for Project (including Policy and Development Link)	Provider / Delivery Lead	Indicative Cost	Delivery Timescales	Funding Sources	Importance to Strategy
	Strategic Policy HA3 Land West of Southwater					
Expansion/enhancement of community facilities serving Billingshurst: Billingshurst Community and Conference Centre / Billingshurst Women's Hall / Adversane Village Hall	District-Wide Community Facilities Assessment Strategic Policy HA4 Land East of Billingshurst	Billingshurst Parish Council / Developer	£169,541	To be determined	Developer contributions from S106/CIL	Essential
New community facility at Land at Buck Barn	District-Wide Community Facilities Assessment Strategic Policy HA5 Land at Buck Barn	West Grinstead Parish Council / Developer	On-site delivery of built facility	To be determined	Built facility	Essential
Additional library offer at Land West of Ifield/Allocated Kilnwood Vale strategic site	Guidance from West Sussex County Council on library provision required as a result of development. Subject to further consideration, a new facility at Land West of Ifield. Consideration of the facility that has been secured at Kilnwood Vale would need further consideration given close proximity. Strategic Policies HA2 Land West of Ifield and HA13 / WKV1 Land West of Kilnwood Vale	West Sussex County Council	£100,000	To be determined	On-site provision via S106/CIL (subject to WSCC agreement)	Desirable
Improved Horsham Library offer	Guidance from West Sussex County Council on library provision required as a result of development as a result of Strategic Policy HA3 Land West of Southwater. There is an existing Tier 6 library which is in a shared building with the Parish and is not capable of expansion, therefore contributions would be required towards Horsham Library.	West Sussex County Council	To be determined	To be determined	Developer contributions from S106/CIL	Desirable
Improved Billingshurst Library offer	Guidance from West Sussex County Council on library provision required as a result of development. Strategic Policy HA4 Land East of Billingshurst	West Sussex County Council	To be determined	To be determined	Developer contributions from S106/CIL	Desirable

Infrastructure Project and Location	Evidence / Need for Project (including Policy and Development Link)	Provider / Delivery Lead	Indicative Cost	Delivery Timescales	Funding Sources	Importance to Strategy
Additional library offer at Land at Buck Barn	Guidance from West Sussex County Council on library provision required as a result of development. This is a rural location and some distance from an existing library and therefore a library offer would be required.	West Sussex County Council	£100,000	To be determined	On-site provision via S106/CIL	Desirable
	Strategic Policy HA5 Land at Buck Barn					
Expansion of the facilities to be provided at North Horsham or improved Horsham Library offer	Guidance from West Sussex County Council on potential library provision required as a result of development. Densification of the existing strategic allocation – Strategic Policy 14 (Additional 500 dwellings at Land at North of Horsham)	West Sussex County Council	To be determined	To be determined	On-site provision or developer contributions from S106/CIL (subject to WSCC agreement)	Desirable
Contributions to nearest library facilities	Guidance from West Sussex County Council suggests the settlement site allocations would require contributions to mitigate the impact of development, although no new facilities would be required.	West Sussex County Council	To be determined	To be determined	Developer contributions from S106/CIL	Desirable
			E		INIMUM COSTS	£49,042,017

3.2.5 GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE

Infrastructure Project and	Evidence / Need for Project (including	Provider /	Indicative	Delivery	Funding Sources	Importance to
Location	Policy and Development Link)	Delivery Lead	Cost	Timescales		Strategy
41.09 ha of Multi-Functional Greenspace (MFGS) (formed of Parks & Gardens, Amenity Greenspace and Natural and Semi-natural Greenspace) at Land West of Ifield	Need based on the guidance in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Review (2021) and Policy 45, emerging HDLP Strategic Policy HA2 Land West of Ifield	Developer	On-site provision (indicative equivalent cost £10,272,500)	In line with the phasing of development	Delivered alongside development of the site with an ongoing programme for maintenance (S106)	Essential

Infrastructure Project and Location	Evidence / Need for Project (including Policy and Development Link)	Provider / Delivery Lead	Indicative Cost	Delivery Timescales	Funding Sources	Importance to Strategy
12.64 ha of Multi-Functional Greenspace (formed of Parks & Gardens, Amenity Greenspace and Natural and Semi-natural Greenspace) at Land West of Southwater	Need based on the guidance in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Review (2021) and Policy 45, emerging HDLP Strategic Policy HA3 Land West of Southwater	Developer	On-site provision (indicative equivalent cost £3,160,000)	In line with the phasing of development	Delivered alongside development of the site with an ongoing programme for maintenance (S106)	Essential
6.85 ha of Multi-Functional Greenspace (formed of Parks & Gardens, Amenity Greenspace and Natural and Semi-natural Greenspace) at Land East of Billingshurst	Need based on the guidance in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Review (2021) and Policy 45, emerging HDLP Strategic Policy HA4 Land East of Billingshurst	Developer	On-site provision (indicative equivalent cost £1,712,500)	In line with the phasing of development	Delivered alongside development of the site with an ongoing programme for maintenance (S106)	Essential
36.88 ha of Multi-Functional Greenspace (formed of Parks & Gardens, Amenity Greenspace and Natural and Semi-natural Greenspace) at Land at Buck Barn	Need based on the guidance in the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Review (2021) and Policy 45, emerging HDLP Strategic Policy HA5 Land at Buck Barn	Developer	On-site provision (indicative equivalent cost £9,220,000)	In line with the phasing of development	Delivered alongside development of the site with an ongoing programme for maintenance (S106)	Essential
Provision of additional Multi- Functional Greenspace (formed of Parks & Gardens, Amenity Greenspace and Natural and Semi-natural Greenspace) <i>OR</i> Enhancements to existing open spaces (natural	Need based on the guidance and Strategic Recommendations 1 – 4 (Part 10) contained within the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Review (2021) and Policy 45, emerging HDLP Cumulative requirements of the settlement site allocations and other windfall development that comes forward within the Plan period.	Developers / organisations in partnership Horsham District Council	On-site provision or developer contributions via S106/CIL as appropriate (Indicative equivalent cost £9,803,748)	In line with the phasing of development	Delivered alongside development of the sites with an ongoing programme for maintenance OR contributions to the enhancement of existing MFGS / off-site provision	Essential
Infrastructure Project and Location	Evidence / Need for Project (including Policy and Development Link)	Provider / Delivery Lead	Indicative Cost	Delivery Timescales	Funding Sources	Importance to Strategy
--	--	--	--	------------------------	---	---------------------------
greenspaces and parks and gardens) (particularly those identified as low quality or serving existing gaps in catchments)					via S106/CIL. To be determined as appropriate.	
Subject to final locations within the District or enhancements to existing facilities						
 Flood risk alleviation Reduction of on-site flood risk at Land West of Ifield and measures to ensure flood risk is not increased elsewhere Opportunities for Natural Flood Management (NFM) in the Upper River Mole Catchment 	Crawley Borough and Upper Mole Catchment Level 1 Strategic Flood Risk Assessment / Flood Modelling Advice received from the Environment Agency Strategic Policies HA2 Land West of Ifield, HA13 / WKV1 Land West of Kilnwood Vale and other potential sites as appropriate	Developer / Environment Agency	To be determined	2021 - 2038	Developer contributions from S106 / FCERM Grant in Aid / Partnership funding	Essential
District-wide Green infrastructure network – protected, conserved, enhanced and joined to improve biodiversity and climate change resilience, taking into account the need to deliver biodiversity net gains and the strategic level Nature Recovery Network that is being established, which may include land acquisitions	Strategic Policy 30: Green Infrastructure, emerging HDLP and Biodiversity / Wilder Horsham District Partnership and to meet the provisions of the Environment Bill Horsham District Council Green Infrastructure Strategy (2014) and Addendum (January 2021) District-wide strategic and settlement site allocations	Developers / Land Owners / HDC / Sussex Local Nature Partnership (member bodies including WSCC)	To be determined Existing committed funding from Horsham District Council of £571,000 for the Wilder Horsham District Programme	To be determined	Government grant / other grant funding / developer contributions from S106/CIL	Essential
District-wide SNAP (Shared Nitrogen Action Plan) interventions e.g. mulching to improve tree health and resilience	Horsham Local Plan Habitats Regulations Assessment (2021) (Impacts of increased traffic levels (nitrogen pollution) on the Mens SAC) District-wide strategic and settlement site allocations	Horsham District Council / SDNP Authority / Natural England joint working	To be determined	To be determined	Developer contributions from S106/CIL	Essential

Location Po	Policy and Development Link)	Delivery Lead	Indicative Cost	Delivery Timescales	Funding Sources	Strategy
			E	STIMATED M	NIMUM COSTS	£34,168,748

3.2.6 EMERGENCY SERVICES

Infrastructure Project and Location	Evidence / Need for Project (including Policy and Development Link)	Provider / Delivery Lead	Indicative Cost	Delivery Timescales	Funding Sources	Importance to Strategy
Refurbishment of Horsham Police Station (Hurst Road) to accommodate additional officers and staff to support development* (see note below)	Identified by Sussex Police to accommodate additional staff required to meet the demands created by the growth associated with the strategic and settlement site allocations	Sussex Police	£555,803	2021-2038	Sussex Police capital budget and capital funding from other sources including developer contributions from S106/CIL	Essential
New Horsham Police Station* <i>(see note below)</i> Location to be determined	Sussex Police has identified a potential need for a new police station in Horsham. Also identified in the Horsham District Growth Deal (2018-2023). Cumulative impact of existing requirements and strategic and settlement site allocations	Sussex Police	£4,426,163	2021-2038	Sussex Police capital budget and capital funding from other sources including developer contributions from S106/CIL	Desirable
Additional police vehicles to meet demand from strategic and settlement site allocations	Preliminary advice provided by Sussex Police on the contributions required towards additional police vehicles to meet the increased demands on the police service as a result of the strategic and settlement site allocations. Sussex Police advise that there is currently no capacity to provide additional vehicles in line with development growth at present as there is insufficient funding within the police's revenue income to take on the capital cost of the additional vehicles.	Sussex Police	£312,884	2021-2038	Sussex Police capital budget and capital funding from other sources (including CIL, subject to further consideration)	Desirable

Infrastructure Project and Location	Evidence / Need for Project (including Policy and Development Link)	Provider / Delivery Lead	Indicative Cost	Delivery Timescales	Funding Sources	Importance to Strategy
Automatic Number Plate Recognition (ANPR) Cameras – fixed sites ANPR improvement to manage cumulative impact of site allocations 1. A281 Guildford Road, Rudgwick 2.B2128 Church Road, Rudgwick 3. Bramber Village 4. A283 The Pike, Washington 5. A281 Henfield north of roundabout 6. B2133 Billingshurst Road, Ashington 7. Coneyhurst Road, Ashington 7. Coneyhurst Road, Ashington 7. Coneyhurst Road, Billingshurst 8. A272 East of Billingshurst 9. Handcross Road, Handcross 10. A29 Stane Street, Pulborough 11. A283 Station Road, Pulborough 12. Rusper Village 13. Haven Road, Horsham 14. A281, Broadbridge Heath 15. A24, Warnham	Identified by Sussex Police through consultation with the Roads Policing team and Sussex/Surrey ANPR Manager. The number and location of cameras is driven by the scale and location of new development and the road network in the area.	Sussex Police	£119,000	2021-2038	Sussex Police capital budget and capital funding from other sources (including CIL, subject to further consideration)	Desirable
Expansion of existing Community First Responder (CFR) Schemes in Horsham, Southwater, Partridge Green, Crawley, Billingshurst and Ashington	Mapping and analysis undertaken by SECAmb on the cumulative impact of strategic and settlement site allocations Land at Mercer Road (North Horsham) and sites in Horsham – Forest Ward and Broadbridge Heath Land West of Southwater	SECAmb	£1,150 set up costs for each new team member	To be determined	Capital funding through a range of sources including SECAmb funding, charitable funding, grants and CIL (subject to further consideration)	Desirable

Infrastructure Project and Location	Evidence / Need for Project (including Policy and Development Link)	Provider / Delivery Lead	Indicative Cost	Delivery Timescales	Funding Sources	Importance to Strategy
	Land at Buck Barn, West Grinstead Land West of Ifield and Land West of Kilnwood Vale Land East of Billingshurst Land at Ashington					
Improvements to or replacement of Billingshurst Ambulance Community Response Post (ACRP)	Mapping and analysis undertaken by SECAmb Land at East of Billingshurst and sites in Pulborough, Storrington and Sullington, Thakeham and West Chiltington	SECAmb	To be determined	To be determined	SECAmb funding plus funding from other sources including developer contributions from S106/CIL, and charitable funding and grants	Essential
Additional development and redevelopment of Crawley, Horsham, Billingshurst and Partridge Green Fire Stations including additional training facilities and equipment (plus additional Community Fire Safety resource and equipment at Horsham Fire Station)	Initial guidance from West Sussex County Council suggesting that the further demand placed on West Sussex Fire and Rescue Service as a result of development requires additional resources Cumulative impact of the strategic and settlement site allocations	WSFRS / WSCC	To be determined	To be determined	Central Government funding, council tax precept and developer contributions from S106/CIL	Essential
Development of Storrington, Henfield and Steyning Fire Stations (including the provision of training facilities and equipment)	Guidance from West Sussex County Council advising that further demand placed on West Sussex Fire and Rescue Services as a result of development requires additional resources Cumulative impact of settlement site allocations	WSFRS / WSCC	To be determined	To be determined	Central Government funding, council tax precept and developer contributions from S106/CIL	Essential

* Sussex Police has identified a potential need for a new police station in Horsham or alternatively a refurbishment of the existing Hurst Road site. At the time of writing no decision has been made on this issue and both potential projects have been identified in the Infrastructure Delivery Schedule. It is expected that development would only be required to mitigate its own impact on policing services, which would need to be robustly evidenced at the time of consideration. The indicative costs identified have been provided by Sussex Police.

3.2.7 UTILITIES AND WASTE

Infrastructure Project and	Evidence / Need for Project (including	Provider /	Indicative	Delivery	Funding Sources	Importance to
Location	Policy and Development link)	Delivery Lead	Cost	Timescales		Strategy
Potential expansion of Horsham and Crawley Household Waste Recycling Sites (HWRS)	Initial guidance from West Sussex County Council suggests that the cumulative impact of proposed development could require improvements to and expansion of the HWRS.	WSCC	To be determined	To be determined	Developer contributions from S106/CIL	Essential
	Cumulative impact of strategic and settlement site allocations plus West of Ifield (creating a requirement for mitigation for impacts on the Crawley HWRS)					
New Waste Transfer Station	Initial guidance from West Sussex County Council suggests that the scale of development could require a new waste transfer station located within the District (the current station is in Burgess Hill)	WSCC	To be determined	To be determined	TBC	Essential
	Cumulative impact of District-wide strategic and settlement site allocations		00.4	2010 2022		
District-wide electricity network reinforcement probably with a large proportion expected to be required at Bolney Grid substation	Initial guidance from UK Power Networks following review of sites proposed for allocation. Development of the Electricity Distribution Network Infrastructure to enable the housing allocations. District-wide strategic and settlement site allocations	UK Power Networks UK Power Networks Alliance Partners Local authorities	£2-4 million	2019-2036	Part-funded by connectees and part funded by UK Power Networks. Reinforcement in accordance with Common Charging Connection	Critical
West of Southwater reinforcement (uprating the maximum system pressure)	From update and validation of the area, capacity increased in the system, allowing for more dwellings to connect to the system before the development triggered the	SGN / SGN Contractor	To be determined – each project is analysed and	Within 2 years	Methodology SGN funded reinforcement plus possible developer	Critical

Infrastructure Project and	Evidence / Need for Project (including	Provider /	Indicative	Delivery	Funding Sources	Importance to
Location	Policy and Development link)	Delivery Lead	Cost	Timescales		Strategy
Reinforcement triggered by Land West of Southwater	requirement to reinforce. It is expected that up to 400 dwellings will be able to connect before reinforcement is required. It is also now possible that a main lay reinforcement could be avoided and instead an uprating be considered, potentially reducing costs significantly. Strategic Policy HA3 Land West of Southwater		costed on an individual basis		contributions to be determined via an Economic Test	
Installation of new regulator to reduce pressures from High Pressure (HP)>7bar Eastern side of Land at Buck Barn	The only infrastructure in the vicinity is an HP which runs close to the eastern section of the proposed site. For gas supply, then it would be expected to take its connection from the HP. To enable this, a regulator station would need to be constructed to reduce pressures from >7bar. Strategic Policy HA5 Land at Buck Barn	To be determined	To be determined	Up to 3 years. Recommendat ion for site promoter to work with SGN at the earliest opportunity.	SGN funded reinforcement plus possible developer contributions to be determined via an Economic Test	Critical
North of Horsham Reinforcement (uprating the maximum system pressure) Reinforcement triggered by North of Horsham	From previous discussions with North of Horsham site promoters, the connection was to be taken from the Intermediate Pressure (IP) northwest of the site. The connections request from the customer has since expired, however, it is assumed that the connection will be made to the IP in the future. Strategic Policy 14 – Additional 500 dwellings at Land North of Horsham strategic allocation	SGN/SGN Contractor	To be determined	Within 2 years	SGN funded reinforcement plus possible developer contributions to be determined via an Economic Test	Critical
Wastewater network reinforcement Various locations	Preliminary advice provided by Southern Water following local network capacity assessment Strategic Policies HA3 Land West of Southwater, HA4 Land East of Billingshurst, HA5 Land at Buck Barn and the settlement site allocations at Ashington (HA6 / ASN1),	Southern Water	£790 per property (£4,795,300) plus site- specific charges	Within 24 months of planning consent	New infrastructure charge	Critical

Infrastructure Project and	Evidence / Need for Project (including	Provider /	Indicative	Delivery	Funding Sources	Importance to
Location	Policy and Development link)	Delivery Lead	Cost	Timescales		Strategy
	Henfield (HA11 / HNF1), Broadbridge Heath (HA8 / BRH1), Pulborough (HA15 / PLB1, PLB2, & PLB3), Small Dole (HA18 / SMD1) and Storrington & Sullington (HA20 / STO2)					
Water supply network reinforcement Ifield, Southwater, Buck Barn	Preliminary advice provided by Southern Water following local network water capacity assessment Strategic Policies HA2 Land West of Ifield, HA3 Land West of Southwater and HA5 Land at Buck Barn	Southern Water	£0 per property plus site-specific charges	TBC	New infrastructure charge	Critical
WWTW revised DWF Permit and capacity upgrades Billingshurst	Planned growth Strategic Policy HA4 Land East of Billingshurst	Southern Water	To be determined	AMP (2025- 2030)	Southern Water Business Plan	Critical
WWTW capacity upgrades Horsham New	Planned growth Strategic Policies HA3 Land West of Southwater and Strategic Policy 14 – Additional 500 dwellings at Land North of Horsham strategic allocation	Southern Water	To be determined	AMP7 (2020 – 2025)	Southern Water Business Plan	Critical
Local upgrades and strategic network and sewage treatment works upgrades Various locations	Preliminary advice provided by Thames Water following review of sites proposed for allocation. Thames Water advise that an infrastructure delivery and phasing plan will be required to support this development which will require liaison between the developer and Thames Water. Strategic Policy HA2 Land West of Ifield	Thames Water	To be determined	To be determined	Thames Water Strategic Business Plan	Critical
Water efficiency initiatives e.g. retrofitting water efficient devices, rainwater harvesting, greywater recycling schemes, media campaigns and education programmes Various locations	Based on recommendations in the Horsham Local Plan Habitats Regulations Assessment (2021) and the Gatwick Sub-Region Water Cycle Study (2020) District-wide strategic and settlement site allocations	Horsham District Council / Southern Water / Thames Water joint working (with input from other organisations such as Waterwise and	To be determined	To be determined	Developer contributions from S106/CIL and/or on-site measures implemented by developers	Essential

Infrastructure Project and	Evidence / Need for Project (including	Provider /	Indicative	Delivery	Funding Sources	Importance to
Location	Policy and Development link)	Delivery Lead	Cost	Timescales		Strategy
		the Environment Agency) and Developers				
				ESTIMATED M	INIMUM COSTS	£8,795,300

EARLY ESTIMATED TOTAL MINIMUM £303,965,976* INFRASTRUCTURE COSTS

*The estimated costs contained within this Schedule - where they have been provided by infrastructure providers or provided as an indication of equivalent costs where on-site provision is expected – are provided as a guide to demonstrate the scale of potential costs of delivering the infrastructure required to support the proposed development over the Plan period.



Part 4: Appendices

4.1 List of Figures

Figure	Details	Page
1	Map of Horsham District containing the	3
	administrative area for the IDP	
2	The CIL charging area and charge zones	12

4.2 List of Tables

Table	Details	Page
1	Categories of infrastructure	5
2	CIL Charging Schedule for Horsham District	11
3	Evidence base documents	14 – 16
4	Three core phases of education	30 – 31
5	Number of community facilities available for hire in	44 – 45
	Horsham District	
6	WSCC 'Wet Spots' in Horsham District	51
7	Spatial risk of flooding in the District (taken from the	52
	Horsham District SFRA 2020)	
8	Catchment gaps by settlement	54 – 55
9	Site allocations in a Minerals and/or Waste	72 – 73
	Safeguarding Area	

4.3 List of Abbreviations and Acronyms

4.3 List	of Abbreviations and Acronyms		
3Rs	Recycling, Recovery & Renewable Energy	DNO	Distribution Network Operator
ACRP	Ambulance Community Response Post	EA	Environment Agency
AED	Automated External Defibrillator	ESFA	Education and Skills Funding Agency
AMP	Asset Management Plan	HDC	Horsham District Council
AMR	Authority Monitoring Report	HDPF	Horsham District Planning Framework
ANPR	Automatic Number Plate Recognition	HWRS	Household Waste Recycling Site
AONB	Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty	ICS	Integrated Care System
ATP	Artificial Turf Pitch	IDP	Infrastructure Delivery Plan
BCIS	Building Cost Information Service	IFS	Infrastructure Funding Statement
BRT	Bus Rapid Transit	LCWIP	Local Cycling and Walking Infrastructure Plan
CCG	Clinical Commissioning Group	LEPs	Local Enterprise Partnerships
CFMP	Catchment Flood Management Plan	LFFN	Local Full Fibre Networks
CFR	Community First Responder	LLFA	Lead Local Flood Authority
CIL	Community Infrastructure Levy	MaaS	Mobility as a Service
CWZ	Core Walking Zone	MCA	Minerals Consultation Area
DCA	Double Crewed Ambulance	MFGS	Multi-Functional Greenspace
DCMS	Department for Digital, Culture, Media & Sport	MP	Medium Pressure
Defra	Department for Environment, Food & Rural Affairs	MPA	Minerals Planning Authority

Horsham District Council Infrastructure Delivery Plan 2021

MRC	Make Ready Centre	SNAP	Shared Nitrogen Action Plan
MRF	Materials Recycling Facility	SRN	Strategic Road Network
MRN	Major Road Network	SSEN	Scottish and Southern Electricity Networks
MSA	Minerals Safeguarding Area	SuDS	Sustainable Drainage Systems
MUGA	Multi-Use Games Area	SWMP	Surface Water Management Plan
NPPF	National Planning Policy Framework	TBD	To be determined
ONS	Office for National Statistics	TfL	Transport for London
PAD	Public Access Defibrillator	TfSE	Transport for the South East
PAN	Published Admission Numbers	тос	Train Operating Company
PRI	Pressure Reduction Installation	TRS	Transmission Reduction Station
PRoW	Public Right of Way	UKPN	UK Power Networks
SASH	Sussex and Surrey Healthcare NHS Trust	WCA	Waste Collection Authority
SDNPA	South Downs National Park Authority	WPA	Waste Planning Authority
SECAmb	South East Coast Ambulance Service	wscc	West Sussex County Council
SEND	Special Educational Needs and Disabilities	WSFRS	West Sussex Fire and Rescue Service
SFRA	Strategic Flood Risk Assessment	WTS	Waste Transfer Station
MSW	Municipal Solid Waste		

4.4 Glossary

Affordable Housing: Housing for sale or rent, for those whose needs are not met by the market (including housing that provides a subsidised route to home ownership and/or is for essential local workers); and which includes the following types set out in the NPPF (2019);

- a) Affordable housing for rent
- b) Starter homes
- c) Discounted market sales housing
- d) Other affordable routes to home ownership

Bus Rapid Transit (BRT): High quality bus-based public transport system that delivers fast and cost-effective services at metro-level capacities, often typified through the use of dedicated lanes and bus priority routes, smart ticketing and faster and more frequent services than standard bus services.

Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL): The Community Infrastructure Levy is a levy that local authorities in England and Wales can choose to charge on new developments in their area. The money can be used to support development by funding infrastructure that the council, local community and neighbourhoods want - for example, new or safer road schemes, park improvements or a new health centre. The Council adopted its CIL charging schedule in October 2017.

Duty to Co-operate: Local authorities have a legal duty to co-operate with other bodies to ensure that strategic priorities across local boundaries are properly co-ordinated and reflected in their Local Plan. The Local Plan will be examined by an independent inspector whose role is to assess whether the plan has been prepared in accordance with the duty to cooperate, legal and procedural requirements, and whether it is sound. Although there is a legal duty to co-operate, there is no legal requirement to agree.

Green Infrastructure: A network of multi-functional green space, urban and rural, which is capable of delivering a wide range of environmental and quality of life benefits for local communities.

Highway Authority: Highways England are the body responsible for the administration and maintenance of trunk roads, including all motorways in England.

Horsham District Planning Framework (HDPF): The HDPF is the current overarching planning document for Horsham District, and was adopted in 2015. The HDPF sets out the planning strategy for the years up to 2031 to deliver the social, economic and environmental needs of the whole district, as well as looking beyond the district's boundaries. The new Local Plan will replace this document.

Household Waste Recycling Site: A facility which allows the public to dispose of household waste and items that cannot be collected be local waste collection schemes such as bulky waste.

Infrastructure: A collective term for structures, services and facilities such as roads, electricity, sewerage, water, education and health provision required for society and the economy to function.

Inspector: Individual appointed by the Secretary of State and reporting to the Council. Responsible for overseeing the independent examination of the plan, a public discussion of selected issues raised by respondents at the Proposed Submission/Publication stage of representations on the Local Plan documents(s).

Local Cycling and Walking Infrastructure Plan (LCWIP): A strategic document produced in line with the government's Cycling and Walking Investment Strategy 2016 which identifies networks of key walking and cycling routes, based on potential and future demand. Networks are identified in readiness for funding bids and to allow investment to be targeted correctly. The plans also help ensure walking and cycling networks meet core design outcomes.

Local Enterprise Partnership: A body, designated by the Secretary of State for Housing, Communities and Local Government, established for the purpose of creating or improving the conditions for economic growth in an area.

Local Highway Authority: The body responsible for the administration and maintenance of local public roads (excluding trunk roads and all motorways) within a particular area. West Sussex County Council is the local highway authority for the Horsham District.

Local Plan: Local Plans set out a vision and a framework for the future development of the area, addressing needs and opportunities in relation to housing, the economy, community facilities and infrastructure - as well as a basis for safeguarding the environment, adapting to climate change and securing good design for the area they cover. They are a critical tool in guiding decisions about individual development proposals as Local Plans (together with any Neighbourhood Development Plans that have been made) are the starting-point for considering whether applications can be approved. It is important for all areas to put an up to date Local Plan in place to positively guide development decisions.

Mobility as a Service (MaaS): The integration of various forms of transport services into a single mobility service, accessible on demand.

Make Ready Centre: SECAmb post where ambulances are prepared by cleaning, re-stocking and checking equipment at the beginning and end of each shift.

Mechanical Biological Treatment: A combination of biological and physical processes used to divert biodegradable municipal solid waste from landfill to help protect the environment and reduce the amount of greenhouse gas emitted from landfill sites.

Minerals Planning Authority (MPA): The planning authority responsible for planning control of minerals development. West Sussex County Council is the MPA for Horsham District.

Municipal Waste: Household waste and waste similar in nature and composition to household waste.

National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF): Part of the Government's reforms to make the planning system less complex, more accessible and to promote sustainable growth, it sets out national planning policies. It was most recently updated in 2019.

Open Space: All open space of public value, including not just land, but also areas of water (such as rivers, canals, lakes and reservoirs) which offer important opportunities for sport and recreation and can act as a visual amenity.

Pay and Play: Facilities that can be flexibly booked by local groups or individuals, paying for activities as they are used. These include public facilities and some joint use facilities, such as at Steyning Leisure Centre.

Planning Obligations: A legally binding agreement between the local planning authority and persons with an interest in a piece of land. Planning Obligations will have been set out in an agreement often known as a 'Section 106 Agreement' and may be used to prescribe the nature of development, to compensate for loss or damage created by development or to mitigate a development's impact on surrounding built natural environment. Circular 5/2005 and Community Infrastructure Levy Regulations set out the national policy that regulates these agreements.

Primary Care: Primary care services provide the first point of contact in the healthcare system, acting as the 'front door' of the NHS. Primary care includes general practice, community pharmacy, dental, and optometry (eye health) services.

Real Time Information (related to bus travel) provides live bus departure information which track

Secondary Care: Secondary care refers to services provided by healthcare professionals who generally do not have the first contact with a patient.

SEND: An abbreviation of 'Special Educational Needs and Disabilities' and is a term used to describe learning difficulties or disabilities that make it harder for a child to learn compared to children of the same age.

Special Support Centre (SSC): Facilities attached to mainstream schools which supply specialist education to support some children with an Education, Health and Care Plan (EHCP) enabling them to continue to be educated in a mainstream school.

Standard Housing Methodology (Standard Method): A formula set by Government and used by all local authorities in England to identify the minimum number of homes expected to be planned for, in a way which addresses projected household growth and historic under-supply.

Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA): An assessment of flood risk at a strategic level across a local planning authority area. The National Planning Policy Framework requires local planning authorities to undertake a strategic flood risk assessment to understand the risk of flooding in their areas to help inform strategic policies.

Strategic Road Network (SRN): A road network designated in the West Sussex Structure Plan, comprising of the M23 motorway, the trunk roads, and some other class A roads of more than local importance. These are the main routes which are best able to cater for trips starting or ending outside West Sussex.

Sustainability: Defined by the World Commission on Environment and Development as 'development that meets the needs of the present, without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own need.'

Sustainable Development: Sustainable development is commonly defined as development that meets the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs. Principles of sustainable development include social progress that recognises the needs of everyone, effective protection of the environment, prudent use of natural resources and high and stable levels of economic growth and employment. The Planning definition of sustainable development is set out in the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF).

Sustainable Transport Modes: Any efficient, safe and accessible means of transport with overall low impact on the environment, including walking and cycling, low and ultra-low emission vehicles, car sharing and public transport.

Train Operating Company (TOC): The franchisee running passenger or freight services on specified routes on the railway network. These are distinct from Network Rail, who are responsible for managing rail infrastructure.

Viability (in context of development costs and deliverability): A development is considered viable if, after taking account of all costs, including central and local government policy and regulatory costs and the cost and availability of development finance, the scheme provides a competitive return to the developer to ensure that development takes place and generates a land value sufficient to persuade the landowner to sell the land for the development proposed.

Waste Collection Authority: The local authority which has a duty to collect household waste. The local authority also has a duty to collect commercial waste as requested to do so and may also collect industrial waste. (The WCA may differ from the Waste Disposal Authority). HDC is the WCA in this District.

Waste Disposal Authority: The local authority responsible for managing the waste collected by the collection authorities and the provision of Household Waste Recovery Centres. (The WDA may differ from the Waste Collection Authority). West Sussex County Council is the WDA for this District.

Waste Planning Authority (WPA): The local authority for waste development planning and control. West Sussex County Council is the WPA for Horsham District.

Waste Transfer Station: A processing site used for the temporary deposition of municipal solid waste.