

## **Horsham District Council**

### **Barns Green and Itchingfield Neighbourhood Plan 2015-2031**

#### **FINAL DECISION STATEMENT**

**Date: 23 September 2021**

#### **1.0 INTRODUCTION**

- 1.1 Horsham District Council (“the Council”) (HDC) has a statutory duty<sup>1</sup> to support Parish Councils and Qualifying Bodies in the preparation of Neighbourhood Development Plans (NDPs) and Orders and to take NDPs and Orders through a process of examination and referendum.
- 1.2 This decision statement relates to the Barns Green and Itchingfield NDP (BGINDP) produced by Itchingfield Parish Council (IPC). Under the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended), (“the 1990 Act”) the Council has a statutory duty to support Parish Councils and Qualifying Bodies in the preparation of NDPs and Orders and to take NDPs and Orders through a process of examination and referendum. The Localism Act 2011 (Part 6 chapter 3) sets out the responsibilities under Neighbourhood Planning.
- 1.3 Following the Examination of the BGINDP and the receipt of the Examiner’s Report, HDC is required to make a decision on the next steps. As set out in the Neighbourhood Planning Regulations these are:
- a) to decline to consider a plan proposal under paragraph 5 of Schedule 4B to the 1990 Act (as applied by section 38A of the 2004 Act) or a modification proposal under paragraph 5 of Schedule A2 to the 2004 Act;
  - b) to refuse a plan proposal under paragraph 6 of Schedule 4B to the 1990 Act (as applied by section 38A of the 2004 Act) or a modification proposal under paragraph 8 of Schedule A2 to the 2004 Act;
  - c) what action to take in response to the recommendations of an Examiner made in a report under paragraph 10 of Schedule 4B to the 1990 Act (as applied by section 38A of the 2004 Act) in relation to a neighbourhood development plan or under paragraph 13 of Schedule A2 to the 2004 Act in relation to a proposed modification of a neighbourhood development plan;

---

<sup>1</sup> The Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended)

- d) what modifications, if any, they are to make to the draft plan under paragraph 12(6) of Schedule 4B to the 1990 Act (as applied by section 38A of the 2004 Act) or paragraph 14(6) of Schedule A2 to the 2004 Act;
- e) whether to extend the area to which the referendum is (or referendums are) to take place; or
- f) that they are not satisfied with the plan proposal under paragraph 12(10) of Schedule 4B to the 1990 Act (as applied by section 38A of the 2004 Act) or the draft plan under paragraph 14(4) of Schedule A2 to the 2004 Act.

1.4 In accordance with the Regulations, this report forms the Council's Decision Statement (Regulation 18(2)) and sets out the Council's decision and the reasons for this.

1.5 Appendix A of this document is a map of the BGINDP Area. Appendix B sets out the Examiner's Proposed Modifications to the BGINDP along with the actions taken and revised modifications.

## **2.0 BACKGROUND**

2.1 The BGINDP relates to the area that was designated by the Council as a neighbourhood area on 01 September 2015 (please refer to Appendix A).

2.2 The Barns Green and Itchingfield Neighbourhood group published the Pre-Submission BGINDP for consultation under Regulation 14 of the Neighbourhood Planning (General) Regulations 2012. The consultation was held from 1 November – 13 December 2019.

2.3 The BGI Neighbourhood Plan group then submitted the submission draft plan to the Council. The submission draft BGINDP was publicised and representations were invited for eight weeks between 17 December 2020 to 11 February 2021.

2.4 Andrew Ashcroft was appointed by HDC with the consent of Qualifying Body, as 'the Examiner' to undertake the examination of the BGINDP and to prepare a report of the independent examination.

2.5 The Examiner's report was received on the 16 June 2021. It concludes that the BGINDP, subject to a number of recommended changes meets the basic conditions set out in the legislation and can proceed to referendum.

2.6 As noted in paragraph 1.3 of this report, Regulations 17A and 18 of the Neighbourhood Planning (General) Regulations 2012 (as amended) requires the Local Planning Authority to outline what action to take in response to the recommendations of an Examiner following the formal examination.

## **3.0 DECISION**

3.1 Having considered the recommended modifications made by the Examiner's Report, and the reasons for them, the Council, with the consent of Barns Green Itchingfield Neighbourhood Planning group has considered each of the recommendations and agreed the action to take in response to each recommendation. It was decided to accept all the modifications made to the draft Plan by the Examiner under paragraph 12(2)(4) of Schedule 4B to the Town and Country Planning Act 1990. The Examiner's proposed modifications are set out at Appendix B alongside the reason why the modifications were accepted.

3.2 The Council is also in agreement with the Examiner that the SEA has considered an appropriate range of alternatives, and in addition makes reference to cumulative impacts. On this basis, it agreed that the SEA meets the regulatory requirements.


#### **4.0 THE REFERENDUM AREA**

4.1 The Council is in agreement with the Examiner's recommendation that there is no policy or proposal significant enough to have an impact beyond the designated neighbourhood plan area, and that any referendum that takes place in due course be extended only to electors registered within the boundary of the designated neighbourhood plan area (Please refer to Appendix A).


#### **5.0 CONCLUSION**

5.1 The Council is of the view that the draft submission BGINDP as modified in Appendix B: Examiner's Proposed Modifications to the Barns Green and Itchingfield Neighbourhood Plan 2015-2031, complies with the legal requirement and may now proceed to Referendum.

Signed:

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'B Childs', with a horizontal line underneath.

**Barbara Childs**  
**Director of Place**  
**Date: 23 September 2021**



**Horsham District Council**  
 Parkside, Chart Way, Horsham  
 West Sussex RH12 1RL  
 Chris Lyons : Director of Planning, Economic Development & Property

Reproduced by permission of Ordnance Survey map on behalf of HMSO. © Crown copyright and database rights (2015).  
 Ordnance Survey Licence.100023865

|  |                   |                  |                        |
|--|-------------------|------------------|------------------------|
| Application to the Horsham District Council under<br>The Neighbourhood Planning (General) Regulations 2012 Regulation 5. |                   |                  |                        |
| Reference No :   | Date : 09/07/2015 | Scale : 1:15,000 |                        |
| Drawing No :   | Drawn :           | Checked :        | Revisions : 09/09/2015 |

**Appendix A: Barnes Green and Itchingfield Neighbourhood Plan Area**

**Appendix B: Examiner's Proposed Modifications to the Barns Green and Itchingfield Neighbourhood Development Plan**

| Policies  | Examiner's Modifications (insertion <u>underline</u> , omission as <del>strikethrough</del> )   | Decision and Justification  | Action Taken and Revised Modification  |
|---|---|---|--|
| <p>Policy 1: Green Infrastructure conservations</p> | <p><i>Policy 1: Green Infrastructure conservations</i></p> <p><del>Development proposals shall, where possible and consistent with other policies in this Plan, incorporate the following measures</del> <u>As appropriate to their scale, nature and location development proposals shall, where practicable and consistent with other policies in this Plan, incorporate:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) measures that will conserve, maintain and/or enhance the green infrastructure of the parish.</li> <li>b) provisions to produce additional green infrastructure.</li> <li>c) proposals which seek to improve access for pedestrians and cyclists through green infrastructure linkages will be supported.</li> </ul> <p><del>Proposals which would result in the loss of existing green infrastructure will be rejected</del> <u>will not be supported</u> unless it can be demonstrated that the development proposals bring new opportunities which mitigates or compensates land loss, whilst ensuring the protection of the existing ecosystem. <del>Developers will be required to demonstrate how they intend to achieve a net gain in biodiversity</del> <u>In these circumstances the proposal concerned should demonstrate how it would achieve a net gain in biodiversity.</u></p> | <p>HDC agrees with this recommendation. To comply with the Basic Conditions and to provide clarity.</p> | <p>No further action required. Modification to be taken forward to the final plan.</p> |
| <p>Policy 2: Biodiversity conservation</p>          | <p><i>Policy 2: Biodiversity conservation</i></p> <p><del>Development proposals shall, where possible and consistent with other policies in this Plan, incorporate the following measures:</del></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A. <del>proposals that seek to ensure and enable the protection, conservation and enhancement of the parish's biodiversity and</del></li> </ul>   | <p>HDC agrees with this recommendation.</p>   | <p>No further action required. Modification to be taken forward to the final plan.</p> |

|  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|
|  | <p>ecology including its hedgerows, ponds, orchards, roadside verges and woodland, including shaws and ancient and veteran trees.</p> <p>This policy can be shown to be achieved by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) Informed and up-to-date ecological and biodiversity information, including the site surveys;</li> <li>b) The identification and explanation of the impact that the proposed schemes would have on the biodiversity and ecology of the site and its environs;</li> <li>c) The identification and explanation of cumulative impacts;</li> <li>d) Avoiding harm, and where unavoidable, mitigating harm;</li> <li>e) Maximise opportunities to enhance, manage and restore habitats, so that there is a net gain to biodiversity on the site, where practicable; where this is not practicable on site, then off-site within the parish;</li> <li>f) Following best practice in Sustainable Drainage techniques.</li> </ul> <p>B. Development proposals on greenfield sites, including any windfall development, would require a project level Habitats Regulation Assessment that is supported by data from bat surveys.</p> <p><u>As appropriate to their scale, nature and location development proposals shall, where practicable and consistent with other policies in this Plan, incorporate measures that seek to ensure and enable the protection, conservation and enhancement of the parish's biodiversity and ecology including its hedgerows, ponds, orchards, roadside verges and woodland, including shaws and ancient and veteran trees.</u></p> <p><u>Development proposals on greenfield sites, including any windfall development, should be accompanied by a project-level Habitats</u></p> |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|

|   |  |   |   |
|---|--|---|---|
|   | <u>Regulation Assessment that is supported by up-to-date data from bat surveys.</u>  |   |   |
| Policy 3 Heritage Assets and Itchingfield Conservation Area | <p>Development proposals shall, where <del>possible</del> <u>practicable</u> and consistent with other policies in this Plan, incorporate measures which seek to conserve heritage assets in a manner appropriate to their significance. Special regard shall be given to the desirability of preserving any heritage building, or its setting, and/or any features of special architectural interest which the building possesses.</p> <p>Development proposals for development within the Itchingfield Conservation Area and the setting within which it lies shall include measures which seek to conserve and enhance the Area</p>   | HDC agrees with this recommendation.  | No further action required. Modification to be taken forward to the final plan. |
| Chapter 4: Environment and Heritage                         | <p><i>Chapter 4: Environment and Heritage</i></p> <p><i>Paragraph 4.6.3:</i><br/> <i>It would be appropriate, in some circumstances, to create new protected sites and locally-relevant habitats, possibly by restoring and 31 connecting existing natural habitats to enable the transit and migration of flora and fauna within and across the parish, and incorporating biodiversity features and blue/green infrastructure within developments, to increase resilience to climate change. <u>These issues are addressed in Policy 2. The ambitions of the policy can be shown to be achieved by any or all of the following matters:</u></i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>a) <u>informed and up-to-date ecological and biodiversity information, including the site surveys;</u></i></li> <li><i>b) <u>the identification and explanation of the impact that the proposed schemes would have on the biodiversity and ecology of the site and its environs;</u></i></li> <li><i>c) <u>the identification and explanation of cumulative impacts;</u></i></li> <li><i>d) <u>avoiding harm, and where unavoidable, mitigating harm;</u></i></li> <li><i>e) <u>maximise opportunities to enhance, manage and restore habitats, so that there is a net gain to biodiversity on the site, where practicable; where this is not practicable on site, then off-site within the parish;</u></i></li> <li><i>f) <u>following best practice in sustainable drainage techniques</u></i></li> </ul> | <p>HDC agrees with this recommendation.</p> <p><i>Bullet points have been replaced with appropriate formatting for ease of reference.</i></p> | No further action required. Modification to be taken forward to the final plan. |

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| <p>Policy 3: Heritage Assets and Itchingfield Conservation Area</p> | <p><i>Policy 3: Heritage Assets and Itchingfield Conservation Area</i></p> <p>Development proposals shall, where <del>possible</del> <u>practicable</u> and consistent with other policies in this Plan, incorporate measures which seek to conserve heritage assets in a manner appropriate to their significance. Special regard shall be given to the desirability of preserving any heritage building, or its setting, and/or any features of special architectural interest which the building possesses.</p> <p>Development proposals for development within the Itchingfield Conservation Area and the setting within which it lies shall include measures which seek to conserve and enhance the Area.</p>   | <p>HDC agrees with this recommendation.</p>   | <p>No further action required.</p>   |
| <p>Policy 4: Protection of Open Spaces</p>                          | <p><i>Policy 4: Protection of Open Spaces</i></p> <p>Development proposals shall, where <del>possible</del> <u>practicable</u> and consistent with other policies in this Plan, include measures which provide a mix of formal and informal open space to meet the needs generated by the development. Open space is to be of high quality and serve local need.</p> <p>The attached Map identifies the following areas of public open space:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) the Village Green and playing field;</li> <li>b) the Arboretum adjoining the village green;</li> <li>c) the Community Orchard at the rear of the Ashmiles development;</li> <li>d) Ancient Woodland at the rear of the Ashmiles development;</li> <li>e) Play area in Two Mile Ash Road adjacent to the Ashmiles development; and</li> <li>f) Jubilee Field</li> </ul> <p>Development proposals which involve the replacement of existing open space, including the identified areas of public open space, shall include the following measures:</p> | <p>HDC agrees with this recommendation. To comply with the Basic Conditions and to provide clarity.</p> | <p>No further action required. Modification to be taken forward to the final plan.</p> |

|   |   |   |   |
|---|---|---|---|
|   | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Equivalent (in qualitative and quantitate terms) or enhanced open space is provided to serve the current or existing needs of the residents of the parish; and</li> <li>2. Proposals for the replacement of open space ensure the replacement is made available before the loss of the existing.</li> </ol> <p>Development proposals which result in the loss of existing open space, including the identified areas of public open space, <del>shall comply with the following conditions</del> <u>will only be supported where:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. An assessment has been undertaken which has clearly shown the facility to be surplus to requirements; or</li> <li>2. The loss resulting from the proposed development would be replaced by equivalent or better provision in terms of quantity and quality in a suitable location; or</li> <li>3. The development is for alternative sports and recreational provision, the needs for which clearly outweigh the loss.</li> </ol> |   |   |
| Policy 5:<br>Protection of<br>Green<br>Infrastructure | <p><i>Policy 5: <del>p</del>Protection of Green Infrastructure</i></p> <p>Development proposals shall, where <del>possible</del> <u>practicable</u> and consistent with other policies in this Plan, incorporate measures that will protect and enhance the green infrastructure and valued landscape features of the parish, <del>such as</del> <u>and which include:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The Downs Link;</li> <li>2. Public Rights of Way and their settings;</li> <li>3. Hedgerows;</li> <li>4. Copses and woods, ancient woodlands and veteran trees;</li> <li>5. Orchards; particularly the Asmiles Community Orchard;</li> <li>6. River corridors (such as, but not limited to: River Arun; River Adur; Parsons' Brook).</li> </ol>   | HDC agree with the Examiner's recommendation. | No further action required.   |
| Policy 6:<br>Community<br>facilities<br>protection    | <p><i>Policy 6: Community facilities protection</i></p> <p><del>Development proposals shall, where possible and consistent with other policies in this Plan, incorporate measures that:</del></p>   | HDC agree with the Examiner's recommendation. | No further action required. Modification to be taken forward to the final plan. |

|  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|
|  | <p><del>a) avoid the loss of community facilities (unless the facility in question is no longer viable, in which case the developer will be required to undertake a viability assessment and marketing strategy before a change of use is allowed);</del></p> <p><del>b) avoid the substantial alteration and/or replacement of community facilities except where:</del></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><del>1. Equivalent (in qualitative and quantitative terms) or enhanced facilities are provided to serve local needs; and</del></li> <li><del>2. Proposals for the replacement of a community facility ensure the replacement facility is made available before the closure of the existing facility.</del></li> </ol> <p><del>c) IPC will support development proposals which provide for new community facilities or which provide for expansion of existing facilities to support the needs of the community.</del></p> <p><u>Development proposals which provide new community facilities or which provide for the expansion of existing facilities to support the needs of the community will be supported.</u></p> <p><u>Development proposals shall, where practicable and consistent with other policies in this Plan, incorporate measures that:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <u>avoid the loss of community facilities (unless the facility in question is no longer viable, in which case the developer will be required to undertake a viability assessment and marketing strategy before a change of use is supported); and</u></li> <li>2. <u>avoid the substantial alteration and/or replacement of community facilities except where equivalent (in qualitative and</u></li> </ol> |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|

|   |  |   |   |
|---|--|---|---|
|   | <p><u>quantitative terms) or enhanced facilities are provided to serve local needs; and</u></p> <p>3. <u>ensure that proposals for the replacement of a community facility make the replacement facility available before the closure of the existing facility.</u></p>  |   |   |
| Policy 7:<br>Education facilities development | <p><i>Policy 7: Education facilities development</i></p> <p>Development proposals for additional buildings and/or facilities at Barns Green Primary School shall, where <del>possible</del> <u>practicable</u> and consistent with other policies in this Plan, <del>incorporate the following measures will be supported</del> <u>subject to the following criteria:</u></p> <p>a) <del>Built form is contained (where possible) within the current BUAB</del> <u>their built form is contained within the current built up area boundary of Barns Green;</u></p> <p>b) <del>Proposals protect any heritage assets and their setting; and they take account of the significance of heritage assets and their setting in the immediate locality; and</del></p> <p>c) <del>Impact on local amenity is acceptable</del> <u>they do not have an unacceptable impact on the character of the immediate locality in general, and the amenity of residential properties in particular.</u></p> | HDC agree with the Examiner's recommendation. | No further action required. Modification to be taken forward to the final plan. |
| Policy 8:<br>Broadband provision              | <p><i>Policy 8: Broadband provision</i></p> <p><del>Proposals to provide access to a super-fast broadband network (that is to say, broadband connections of at least 30Mbps) and mobile phone connectivity of 5G, to serve the Parish will need to demonstrate that the opportunity to expand the broadband connections of at least 30Mbps, and 5G connectivity, has been explored and, where possible, achieved. The location and design of any above-ground network installations shall be sympathetically chosen and designed not adversely to affect the character of the local area nor the amenity of local residents.</del></p>   | HDC agree with the Examiner's recommendation. | No further action required. Modification to be taken forward to the final plan. |

|   |   |   |   |
|---|---|---|---|
|   | <p><u>Proposals to provide access to a super-fast broadband network and improved mobile phone connectivity to serve the Parish will be supported.</u></p> <p><u>The location and design of any above-ground network installations should be sympathetically chosen and designed and positioned in a way which would not have an unacceptable impact on the character and appearance of the local area, on heritage assets or the amenity of local residents.</u></p>  |   |   |
| Chapter 5:<br>Community<br>Infrastructure | <p><i>Chapter 5: Community Infrastructure</i></p> <p><b>Super-fast Broadband &amp; mobile phones</b></p> <p><i>Paragraph 5.9:</i></p> <p><del><i>IPC recognises the economic and social benefits of high speed broadband and the provision of proper mobile telephone connectivity. IPC wishes to support technology improvements which could bring high speed broadband to the Parish, and the provision of 5G mobile connectivity, subject to protecting the character and visual amenity of the area. Such provision would be of great benefit both to businesses in the parish, and to residents.</i></del></p> <p><u><i>The Parish Council recognises the economic and social benefits of high-speed broadband and the provision of mobile telephone connectivity. In this context Policy 8 of the Plan offers support to technology improvements which would provide the parish with better access to such communications networks and speeds. At the time of preparing the Plan the Parish has an aspiration to achieve broadband speed of 30Mbps and the provision of 5G mobile connectivity. Plainly advances in technology may make enhanced access possible during the Plan period. Such provision would be of great benefit both to businesses in the parish, and to residents. This broader support is subject to protecting the character and visual amenity of the area.</i></u></p> | HDC agrees with this recommendation.  | No further action required. Modification to be taken forward to the final plan. |
| Policy 9: Sumners Ponds Site              | <p><i>Policy 9: Sumners Ponds Site</i></p> <p><del>Development proposals for around 32 residential units and 7 light industrial/commercial units, on land at Sumners Ponds shall include the</del></p>  | No further action required. Modification to be taken forward to the final plan. | No further action required. Modification to be taken forward to the final plan. |

|  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|
|  | <p><u>following measures The Plan allocates land at Sumners Ponds, Barns Green for residential and commercial purposes.</u></p> <p><u>Proposals for the development of the site will be supported subject to the following criteria:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <u>Proposals provide a mix of dwelling type and size to meet the needs of current and future households; Proposals provide for around 32 dwellings incorporating a mix of dwelling type and size to meet the needs of current and future households;</u></li> <li>2. <u>The design positively responds to the prevailing character of the surrounding area;</u></li> <li>3. <u>Proposals include “affordable housing” dwellings pursuant to HDC Policies; Proposals for the residential component of the site incorporate affordable housing to development plan standards;</u></li> <li>4. <u>Where possible, proposals allow for the retention and enhancement of existing mature tree belts and hedgerows on the northern and eastern boundaries Proposals should avoid the loss or damage of existing mature trees and hedgerows, and if demonstrated as unavoidable, appropriate replacement or compensation should be incorporated into their designs and layouts;</u></li> <li>5. <u>Proposals must demonstrate special regard for the listed building “Little Slaughterford” (on the northern boundary of the site) and its setting and/or any features or special architectural or historic interest which it possesses through sensitive design and boundary treatment, and measures must be taken to ensure that there is no contamination from any part of the site onto or into “Little Slaughterford”, or any neighbouring property, from existing substances or</u></li> </ol> |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|

|  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|
|  | <p><del>substances emanating from the new development or the continuing occupation and use thereof</del> <u>Proposals should demonstrate special regard for Little Slaughterford (on the northern boundary of the site) and its setting and/or any features or special architectural or historic interest which it possesses through sensitive design and boundary treatment;</u></p> <p>6. <u>Proposals should incorporate measures to ensure that there is no contamination from the commercial element of the new development on neighbouring properties;</u></p> <p>7. <del>6-</del> Proposals ensure safe vehicle access and egress to and from Chapel Road, including adequate sightlines for emerging vehicles;</p> <p>8. <del>7-</del> The layout is planned to ensure proper and adequate access to existing sewerage infrastructure for maintenance and upsizing purposes;</p> <p>9. <del>8-</del> <u>Proposals ensure adequate parking for residents and visitors; that is to say, that parking spaces will be provided to prevent (as far as is practicable) car parking on the roads of the development</u> <u>Proposals provide car parking within the site to West Sussex County Council standards.</u></p> <p>10. <del>9-</del> <u>Any</u> <u>The</u> light industrial/commercial units shall not exceed 2000 square metres of ground space. The units shall not exceed eaves height of 4.5 metres and ridge height of 6.5 metres. The units shall be clad in natural material and shall have a pitched roof. The design and appearance of the units shall be in sympathy with the rural surroundings of the village. The units shall be no less than 25 metres from Chapel Road and 20 metres from any house.</p> |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|

|  |   |   |   |
|--|---|---|---|
| Policy 9A                                | <p><i>Policy 9A</i></p> <p>To conform with the overarching Horsham District Planning Framework and help reduce atmospheric pollution within the District, Itchingfield Parish Council will support developments that facilitate the use of sustainable transport modes, including walking, cycling, public transport and the use of electric vehicles. Developments could achieve this by improving connectivity with wider Public Right of Ways, enhancing accessibility of local green and blue infrastructure and providing electric vehicle charging points. Any emerging air quality mitigation approaches provided in the Horsham Local Plan will be supported.</p>   | No Change                                     | No further action required. Modification to be taken forward to the final plan. |
| Policy 10: Old School site, Itchingfield | <p><i>Policy 10: Old School site, Itchingfield</i></p> <p><del>Development proposals around 20 residential units on land at the site of the old School, Itchingfield Road, Itchingfield shall include the following measures</del> <u>The Plan allocates land at the site of the old School, Itchingfield Road, Itchingfield for residential purposes. Development proposals for the residential development of the site will be supported subject to the following criteria:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <del>Proposals provide a mix of dwelling type and size to meet the needs of current and future households</del> <u>Proposals provide for around 20 dwellings incorporating a mix of dwelling type and size to meet the needs of current and future households;</u></li> <li>2. The design positively responds to the prevailing character of the surrounding area, having particular regard to the setting of Itchingfield Conservation Area to the north of the site, and Itchingfield House to the south-west of the site;</li> <li>3. <del>Proposals include “affordable housing” dwellings pursuant to the HDC policies</del> <u>Proposals incorporate affordable housing to development plan standards;</u></li> </ol> | HDC agree with the Examiner’s recommendation. | No further action required. Modification to be taken forward to the final plan. |

|                                 |   |   |   |
|---------------------------------|---|---|---|
|                                 | <p>4. Proposals allow for the retention of existing mature trees and hedgerows on the southern boundary;</p> <p>5. Proposals ensure safe vehicle access and egress to and from Itchingfield Road, including adequate sightlines for emerging vehicles;</p> <p>6. The layout is planned to ensure proper and adequate access to existing sewerage infrastructure for maintenance and upsizing purposes;</p> <p>7. <del>Proposals ensure adequate parking for residents and visitors; that is to say, that parking spaces will be provided to prevent (as far as is practicable) car parking on the roads of the development</del><br/> <u>Proposals provide car parking within the site to West Sussex County Council standards.</u></p> |   |   |
| Policy 10A                      | <p><i>Policy 10A</i></p> <p>To conform with the overarching Horsham District Planning Framework and help reduce atmospheric pollution within the District, Itchingfield Parish Council will support developments that facilitate the use of sustainable transport modes, including walking, cycling, public transport and the use of electric vehicles. Developments could achieve this by improving connectivity with wider Public Right of Ways, enhancing accessibility of local green and blue infrastructure and providing electric vehicle charging points. Any emerging air quality mitigation approaches provided in the Horsham Local Plan will be supported.</p>  | No Change                                     | No further action required. Modification to be taken forward to the final plan. |
| Policy 11: Windfall Development | <p><i>Policy 11: Windfall Development</i></p> <p>Development proposals for residential development on unidentified sites within the Built-Up Area Boundary will be supported where <del>proposals they:</del></p> <p>a) are proportionate in scale;</p> <p>b) relate positively in design terms to the character of the area; and</p>   | HDC agree with the Examiner's recommendation. | No further action required. Modification to be taken forward to the final plan. |

|                              |  |   |   |
|------------------------------|--|---|---|
|                              | c) avoid unacceptable harm to the amenity of any existing dwelling on the site and to nearby properties.   |   |   |
| Paragraph 6.6.3              | <i>Paragraph 6.6.3:<br/>Barns Green is identified as a medium village in the settlement hierarchy. The built-up area boundary (BUAB) has a strong history of delivering windfall development. In accordance with the NPF, IPC wishes to plan positively to meet the overall indicative housing number for the parish. On this basis, and in line with Policy 3 of the HDPF, IPC wish to support windfall development within, <del>or adjacent to,</del> the BUAB of Barns Green. This approach will positively facilitate the delivery of further housing in the parish in addition to that to be delivered through the proposed allocations of residential units at Sumners Ponds (Policy 9) and the Old School site (Policy 10). The approach will enable IPC positively to deliver the indicative housing number of 61 units over the Plan period.</i>  | HDC agree with the Examiner's recommendation. | No further action required. Modification to be taken forward to the final plan. |
| Policy 12: Design parameters | <i>Policy 12: Design parameters</i><br><del>Development proposals shall, where possible and consistent with other policies in this Plan, incorporate the following measures in relation to character and design. Any development will not be supported unless the character and design of the development meet the following criteria: that the development shall</del> <u>As appropriate to their scale, nature and location development proposals will be supported where their character and design meets the following criteria:</u><br><br><ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <del>Be</del> <u>Are</u> of high quality design and layout;</li> <li>2. Contribute positively to the private and public realm to create a sense of place;</li> <li>3. Respect the character and scale of the surrounding buildings and landscape;</li> <li>4. Protect open spaces and gardens that contribute to the character of the area;</li> </ol> | HDC agree with the Examiner's recommendation. | No further action required. Modification to be taken forward to the final plan. |

|                                  |   |   |   |
|----------------------------------|---|---|---|
|                                  | <p>5. Protect the identity and character of Barns Green and Itchingfield;</p> <p>6. <del>Does</del> <u>Do</u> not cause unacceptable harm to the amenities of existing nearby residents and future occupants of new dwellings, including taking account of the impact on privacy, outlook, daylight, sunlight and security;</p> <p>7. Create safe, accessible and well connected environments</p> <p>8. Protect existing landscape features and contributes to the parish's Green Infrastructure network;</p> <p>9. Incorporate the use of local materials which are appropriate to the existing housing stock; <u>and</u></p> <p>10. Positively respond to the local vernacular character of the parish.</p> |   |   |
| Policy 13:<br>Sustainable Design | <p><i>Policy 13: Sustainable Design</i></p> <p>Development proposals <del>shall</del> <u>should</u> seek to improve the sustainability of development.</p> <p>Development proposals <del>will</del> <u>should</u>, where <del>possible</del> <u>practicable</u> and subject to other policies in this Plan, incorporate the following measures:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) Electric car charging points</li> <li>b) Solar panels of appropriate and unobstructive design.</li> <li>c) Solar heating panels, ground- and air-source heat systems.</li> </ul>  | HDC agree with the Examiner's recommendation. | No further action required.   |
| Policy 14:<br>Housing Mix        | <p><i>Policy 14: Housing Mix</i></p> <p><del>Development proposals shall provide a mix of predominantly one, two, three, bedroom houses will be supported, subject to the development needs of the particular sites and any Policy in relation to that site</del><br/> <u>Development proposals should provide a mix of predominantly one, two</u></p>  | HDC agree with the Examiner's recommendation. | No further action required. Modification to be taken forward to the final plan. |

|                                   |  |   |   |
|-----------------------------------|--|---|---|
|                                   | <u>and three, bedroom houses subject to any particular development needs of the site concerned.</u>  |   |   |
| Paragraph 6.9.0                   | <p><i>Paragraph 6.9.0:</i><br/> <i>It is important, for the sustainability of the parish, that any developments contain a mix of housing for families and individuals of all ages. It is important that older residents should be able to remain in the parish (should they wish to do so) by having accommodation suitable to their needs. Younger first-time buyers ought to be able to live in the parish. Policy 14 takes a general approach to this matter. The two principal development sites in the parish are identified in policies 9 and 10 of this Plan. In the case of those sites the wider delivery of houses and their sizes will also need to address the criteria in the relevant policy.</i></p>  | HDC agree with the Examiner's recommendation. | No further action required. Modification to be taken forward to the final plan. |
| Policy 15: Parking Provision      | <p><i>Policy 15: Parking Provision</i></p> <p>Development shall include provision of off-road parking for residents of, and visitors to, the development in compliance with West Sussex County Council requirements.</p>   | No Change.                                    | No further action required. Modification to be taken forward to the final plan. |
| Policy 16: Small-scale businesses | <p><i>Policy 16: Small-scale businesses</i></p> <p>Development proposals which enable the development of, or expansion of, small-scale businesses will be supported where:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) they are located within the <del>BUAB</del> <u>built up area boundary</u>; OR</li> <li>b) contained within existing buildings; OR</li> <li>c) are on previously-developed land.</li> </ul> <p>Such business development must be shown to be viable, sustainable and likely to benefit the local economy <del>and/or the wellbeing of the parish.</del></p> <p>The provision of viable small business premises or retail properties within new developments will also be supported where economically sustainable and in accordance with this policy.</p> <p>In addition, development proposals will be supported where development:</p> | HDC agree with the Examiner's recommendation. | No further action required. Modification to be taken forward to the final plan. |

|   |  |   |   |
|---|--|---|---|
|   | <p>d) does not involve the loss of dwellings unless the benefit outweighs the loss;</p> <p>e) proposals are in keeping with the character and vitality of the local area;</p> <p>f) <del>e</del>) proposals respect local residential amenity; and</p> <p>g) <del>d</del>) proposals would not have an unacceptable impact on the local road network.</p> <p>In this Policy, “small-scale” means a business operated by, or employing, less than 5 persons and which business can operate from a space up to 1000 sq m.</p>  |   |   |
| Chapter 3: Vision and Objectives; Policies and Aims | <p><i>Chapter 3: Vision and Objectives; Policies and Aims</i></p> <p><i>(Page 24)</i></p> <p><del>Policies are those issues where the Plan expects planning authorities to follow the wording and intentions expressed. Policies are highlighted in blue</del> <u>Policies are land use issues and which will form part of the development plan in the event that the Plan is made after a public referendum. Policies are highlighted in blue.</u></p> <p><del>Aims are issues where the residents of the parish have expressed a strong view, but where, for reasons of lack of clear evidence or otherwise, the plan cannot state a Policy. Aims do, however, express the views of the residents of the parish and will inform planning authorities of the context of Policies. Aims are highlighted in pink</del> <u>Aims are issues where the residents of the parish have expressed a strong view about the issue concerned but which are not land use-based matters. They will not form part of the development plan in the event that the Plan is made. However, they may form the basis of actions which the Parish Council will pursue within the Plan period. Aims are highlighted in pink.</u></p> | HDC agree with the Examiner’s recommendation. | No further action required.   |
| Paragraph 11.1.3                                    | <p><i>Paragraph 11.1.3:</i></p> <p><i>In this context, HDC has asked the Parish Council to confirm its commitment to undertake a review of the INP in order to take account of any revised housing numbers which are allocated to the parish in the Local Plan Review. The Itchingfield Parish Council confirms its commitment so to do. As part of this process the Parish Council will</i></p>   | HDC agree with the Examiner’s recommendation. | No further action required. Modification to be taken forward to the final plan. |

|  |   |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|
|  | <p><u>monitor the delivery of the two sites allocated in the Plan and the delivery of windfall sites. The Parish Council will assess the need for a review of the neighbourhood plan within six months of the adoption of the emerging Horsham District Local Plan. As part of this process, it will consider the way in which windfall sites are assessed in terms of their contribution towards the strategic housing target for the District in the emerging Local Plan.</u></p> |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|