



**Horsham
District
Council**

Horsham District Council

Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation Assessment

Final Report

January 2020



Opinion Research Services | The Strand, Swansea SA1 1AF
Steve Jarman, Ciara Small and Michael Bayliss
enquiries: 01792 535300 · info@ors.org.uk · www.ors.org.uk

© Copyright January 2020

Contains public sector information licensed under the Open Government Licence v 3.0

Contains OS Data © Crown Copyright (2020)

Contents

1. Executive Summary	6
Introduction and Methodology.....	6
Key Findings.....	6
Pitch Needs – Gypsies and Travellers.....	6
Pitch Needs Horsham (excluding SDNP).....	8
Plot Needs - Travelling Showpeople (excluding SDNP)	8
Pitch Needs in SDNP	9
Transit Requirements	9
2. Introduction	11
Definitions	11
The Planning Definition in PPTS (2015)	11
Definition of Travelling.....	12
Legislation and Guidance for Gypsies and Travellers.....	14
PPTS (2015).....	14
Revised National Planning Policy Framework (2019).....	15
3. Methodology	17
Background.....	17
Glossary of Terms/Acronyms	18
Desk-Based Review	18
Stakeholder Engagement	18
Working Collaboratively with Neighbouring Planning Authorities	18
Survey of Travelling Communities.....	18
Engagement with Bricks and Mortar and Roadside Households	19
Timing of the Fieldwork.....	20
Applying the Planning Definition.....	20
Undetermined Households	21
Households that Do Not Meet the Planning Definition	23
Calculating Current and Future Need.....	23
Pitch Turnover	24
Transit Provision	25
4. Gypsy, Traveller & Travelling Showpeople Sites & Population	26
Introduction.....	26
Sites and Yards in Horsham	27
MHCLG Traveller Caravan Count.....	27

5. Stakeholder Engagement	28
Introduction.....	28
Views of Key Stakeholders and Council Officers in Horsham.....	28
Accommodation Needs	28
Short-term Encampments and Transit Provision	29
Cross Border Issues and Meeting the Duty to Cooperate.....	29
Future Priorities and Any Further Issues.....	29
South Downs National Park (SDNP)	29
Neighbouring Authorities.....	30
 6. Survey of Travelling Communities	 37
Interviews with Gypsies and Travellers.....	37
Interviews with Gypsies and Travellers in Bricks and Mortar and Living Roadside	38
 7. Current and Future Pitch Provision.....	 39
Introduction.....	39
New Household Formation Rates	39
Breakdown by 5 Year Bands	41
Applying the Planning Definition.....	41
Interviews with Gypsies and Travellers in Bricks and Mortar	42
Migration.....	42
Pitch Needs – Gypsies and Travellers that meet the Planning Definition (excluding SDNP)	44
Pitch Needs – Undetermined Gypsies and Travellers	45
Pitch Needs - Gypsies and Travellers that did not meet the Planning Definition	46
Pitch Needs in SDNP.....	46
Travelling Showpeople Needs	47
Plot Needs – Travelling Showpeople	47
Transit Requirements.....	48
Transit Recommendations	48
 8. Conclusions	 50
Gypsies and Travellers.....	50
Travelling Showpeople	52
Transit Provision.....	52
Summary of Need to be Addressed	52

List of Figures54

Appendix A: Glossary of Terms / Acronyms used55

Appendix B: Undetermined Households57

Appendix C: Households that did not meet the Planning Definition58

Appendix D: Site and Yard Lists (July 2019)59

Appendix E: Household Interview Questions60

Appendix F: Technical Note on Household Formation and Growth Rates.....69

1. Executive Summary

Introduction and Methodology

- 1.1 The primary objective of this Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation Assessment (GTAA) is to provide a robust assessment of current and future need for Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople accommodation in Horsham District. The GTAA also includes a separate assessment of need for the areas of Horsham that fall within the South Downs National Park (SDNP).
- 1.2 As well as updating previous GTAAs, another reason for completing the study was the publication of a revised version of Planning Policy for Traveller Sites (PPTS) in August 2015. This included a change to the definition of Travellers for planning purposes. The key change that was made was the removal of the term “*persons...who have ceased to travel permanently*”, meaning that those who have ceased to travel permanently will not now fall under the planning definition of a Traveller for the purposes of assessing accommodation need in a GTAA (see Paragraph 2.7 for the full definition).
- 1.3 The GTAA provides a credible evidence base which can be used to aid the implementation of Local Plan Policies and, where appropriate, the provision of new Gypsy and Traveller pitches and Travelling Showpeople plots for the period 2019 to 2036. The outcomes of this study supersede the outcomes of any previous GTAAs for Horsham District (the Council).
- 1.4 The GTAA has sought to understand the accommodation needs of the Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople population in Horsham through a combination of desk-based research, stakeholder interviews and engagement with members of the travelling community living on all known sites, yards and encampments. A total of 77 interviews or proxy interviews were completed with Gypsies and Travellers living on sites in Horsham; 4 interviews or proxy interviews with Travelling Showpeople living on yards in Horsham; and 5 interviews or proxy interviews were completed with Gypsies and Travellers living in bricks and mortar in Horsham. A total of 12 stakeholder interviews were also completed.
- 1.5 The fieldwork for the study was completed in January 2020 and this is also the baseline date for the study.

Key Findings

Pitch Needs – Gypsies and Travellers

- 1.6 Overall the pitch needs for Gypsies and Travellers for the period 2019-2036 are set out below. Needs are set out for those households that met the planning definition of a Gypsy or Traveller; for any undetermined households¹ where an interview was not able to be completed (either due to households refusing to be interviewed, or not being present despite up to three visits to each

¹ See Paragraph 3.28 for further information on undetermined households.

- site) who may meet the planning definition; and for those households that did not meet the planning definition – although this is no longer a requirement for a GTAA.
- 1.7 Only the need from those households who met the planning definition and from those of the undetermined households who subsequently demonstrate that they meet it should be formally considered as need arising from the GTAA.
 - 1.8 The need arising from households that met the planning definition should be addressed through site allocation/intensification/expansion Local Plan Policies as appropriate.
 - 1.9 The Council will need to carefully consider how to address any need associated with undetermined Travellers as it is unlikely that all this need will have to be addressed through the provision of conditioned Gypsy or Traveller pitches. In terms of Local Plan Policies, the Council should consider the use of a criteria-based policy (as suggested in PPTS) for any undetermined households, as well as to deal with any windfall applications.
 - 1.10 In general terms, the need for those households who did not meet the planning definition will need to be addressed as part of general housing need and through separate Local Plan Policies.
 - 1.11 This approach is specifically referenced in the revised National Planning Policy Framework (February 2019). Paragraph 60 of the NPPF sets out that in determining the minimum number of homes needed, strategic plans should be based upon a local housing need assessment conducted using the standard method in national planning guidance. Paragraph 61 then states that *‘Within this context, the size, type and tenure of housing needed for different groups in the community should be assessed and reflected in planning policies (including, but not limited to, those who require affordable housing, families with children, older people, students, people with disabilities, service families, travellers, people who rent their homes and people wishing to commission or build their own homes’*. The footnote to this section states that *‘Planning Policy for Traveller Sites sets out how travellers’ housing needs should be assessed for those covered by the definition in Annex 1 of that document.’*
 - 1.12 It is recognised that the Council already has in place an adopted Local Plan that sets out overall housing need. When this plan is reviewed, the findings of this report should be considered as part of future housing mix and type within the context of the assessment of overall housing need in relation to those households that did not meet the planning definition of a Traveller.
 - 1.13 As an example, it is again useful to look at the East Hertfordshire Local Plan that was found to be sound in an Inspectors Report that was issued in July 2018. The Local Plan contains *Policy HOU10 New Park Home Sites for Non-Nomadic (i.e. households that do not meet the planning definition of a Traveller) Gypsies and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople*. This sets out that any applications for planning permission for park homes for Gypsies and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople that do not meet the planning definition must be in accordance with the NPPF and PPTS and the criteria set out in Policy HOU10, and not under the criteria set out in *Policy HOU9 Gypsies and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople*.
 - 1.14 There were 56 Gypsy or Traveller households identified in Horsham that met the planning definition; 20 undetermined households that may meet the planning definition; and 24 households that did not meet the planning definition.

Pitch Needs Horsham (excluding SDNP)

- 1.15 There is a need for **93 pitches** over the Local Plan period (2019-36) for households that met the planning definition. This is made up of 12 unauthorised pitches; 19 concealed or doubled-up households or single adults; 2 households from bricks and mortar; 5 from in-migration/roadside; 17 teenagers in need of a pitch in the next 5 years; and 43 from new households formation, derived from the household demographics. There was also supply of 2 vacant pitches on public sites and 3 households on public sites seeking to move to bricks and mortar.
- 1.16 There is a need for **6 pitches** for undetermined households. This is made up of new household formation from a maximum of 20 households (using the ORS national formation rate of 1.50%). If the ORS national average² of 30% were applied this could result in a need for 2 pitches. If the locally derived proportion of households that met the planning definition (69%) were applied this could result in a need for 4 pitches.
- 1.17 Whilst not now a requirement to include in a GTAA, there is a need for **19 pitches** for households that did not meet the planning definition. This is made up of 2 unauthorised pitches; 2 concealed or doubled-up households or single adults; 1 household from bricks and mortar; 2 from in-migration/roadside; 4 teenagers in need of a pitch in the next 5 years; and 8 from new household formation, using a rate of 1.70% derived from the household demographics.
- 1.18 Figure 1 summarises the identified need and Figure 2 breaks this down by 5-year periods.

Figure 1 – Need for Gypsy and Traveller households in Horsham (2019-36)

Status	2019-36
Meet Planning Definition	93
Undetermined	6
Do not meet Planning Definition	19

Figure 2 – Need for Gypsy and Traveller households in Horsham that met the Planning Definition by year periods

Years	0-5	6-10	11-15	16-17	Total
	2019-24	2024-29	2029-34	2034-36	
	50	15	17	11	93

Plot Needs – Travelling Showpeople (excluding SDNP)

- 1.19 Overall the plot needs for Travelling Showpeople from 2019-2036 are set out below. Needs are set out for those households that met the planning definition of a Travelling Showperson; for those undetermined households where an interview was not able to be completed who may meet the planning definition; and for those households that did not meet the planning definition (although this is no longer a requirement for a GTAA).
- 1.20 Only the need from those households who met the planning definition and from those of the undetermined households who may subsequently demonstrate that they meet it should be considered as need arising from the GTAA.
- 1.21 The need arising from households that met the planning definition should be addressed through yard allocation/intensification/expansion Local Plan Policies.

² Based on over 3,900 interviews completed by ORS across England.

- 1.22 The Council will need to carefully consider how to address the needs associated with undetermined Travelling Showpeople as it is unlikely that all of this need will have to be addressed through the provision of conditioned Travelling Showpeople plots. In terms of Local Plan Policies, the Council should consider the use of a criteria-based policy (as suggested in PPTS) for any undetermined households that do provide evidence that they meet the planning definition.
- 1.23 In general terms, the need for those households who did not meet the planning definition will need to be addressed as part of general housing need and through separate Local Plan Policies (including any plans that have already been adopted, as all Travellers will have been included as part of the overall Objectively Assessed Need – OAN). See Paragraphs 1.10-1.13 for further details.
- 1.24 There is 1 occupied Travelling Showperson yard in Horsham and 1 unimplemented yard. It was possible to complete an interview or a proxy interview with all 4 households living on the implemented yard and all met the planning definition. There were no undetermined households and no households that did not meet the planning definition.
- 1.25 The assessment has identified that there is **no current or future need** associated with residents of the TSP yard, and that there are options for intensification of the use of the yards should any future needs arise.

Figure 3 – Need for Travelling Showpeople households in Horsham (2019-36)

Status	2019-36
Meet Planning Definition	0
Undetermined	0
Do not meet Planning Definition	0

Figure 4 – Need for Travelling Showpeople households in Horsham that meet the Planning Definition by year periods

Years	0-5	6-10	11-15	16-17	Total
	2019-24	2024-29	2029-34	2034-36	
	0	0	0	0	0

Pitch Needs in SDNP

- 1.26 There is 1 site in Horsham (Green Acres) that encroaches over the boundary for the SDNP. The fieldwork identified a small number of caravans on the site that were within the SDNP boundary. However, it was determined that these caravans were not occupied by Gypsies or Travellers. Therefore, there is **no current or future need** for pitches in the SDNP area of Horsham.

Transit Requirements

- 1.27 Due to historic low numbers of unauthorised encampments and the transit site in Horsham, it is recommended that there is no need for any new transit provision in Horsham at this time. However, the situation relating to levels of unauthorised encampments should be monitored whilst any potential changes associated with PPTS (2015) develop – for example a potential increase in the number of households travelling to seek to meet the current planning definition.
- 1.28 As well as information on the size and duration of the encampments, this monitoring should also seek to gather information from residents on the reasons for their stay in the local area; whether

they have a permanent base or where they have travelled from; whether they have any need or preference to settle permanently in the local area; and whether their travelling is a result of changes to PPTS (2015). This information could be collected as part of a Welfare Assessment (or similar).

- 1.29 A review of the evidence base relating to unauthorised encampments, including the monitoring referred to above, should be undertaken once there is a robust evidence base following the changes to PPTS in 2015 – for example an increase in the number of households travelling and setting up encampments. This will establish whether there is a need for investment in any new transit provision or emergency stopping places, or whether a managed approach is preferable.
- 1.30 In the short-term the Council should continue to use its current approach when dealing with unauthorised encampments and management-based approaches such as negotiated stopping agreements could also be considered. The term ‘negotiated stopping’ is used to describe agreed short-term provision for Gypsy and Traveller caravans. It does not describe permanent ‘built’ transit sites but negotiated agreements which allow caravans to be sited on suitable specific pieces of ground for an agreed and limited period of time, with the provision of limited services such as water, waste disposal and toilets. Agreements are made between the Council and the (temporary) residents regarding expectations on both sides. See www.leedsgate.co.uk for further information.
- 1.31 Temporary stopping places can be made available at times of increased demand due to fairs or cultural celebrations that are attended by Gypsies and Travellers. A charge may be levied as determined by the local authority although they only need to provide basic facilities including: a cold-water supply; portaloos; sewerage disposal point and refuse disposal facilities. Whilst such events are unlikely to occur in Horsham, the Council should still be aware of temporary arrangements that could be put in place if required.

2. Introduction

- ^{2.1} The primary objective of this Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation Assessment (GTAA) is to provide a robust assessment of current and future need for Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople accommodation in Horsham. The outcomes of the study will supersede the outcomes of the previous Traveller and Travelling Showpeople Accommodation Needs Assessments completed in Horsham.
- ^{2.2} The study provides an evidence base to enable the Council to comply with their requirements towards Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople under the Housing Act 1985, Planning Practice Guidance (PPG) 2014, Planning Policy for Traveller Sites (PPTS) 2015, the Housing and Planning Act (2016) and the revised National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) 2019.
- ^{2.3} The GTAA provides a robust assessment of need for Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople accommodation in the study area. It is a credible evidence base which can be used to aid the implementation of Local Plan Policies and the provision of Traveller pitches and plots covering the period 2019 to 2036. As well as identifying current and future permanent accommodation needs, it also seeks to identify any need for the provision of transit sites or emergency stopping places.
- ^{2.4} We would note at the outset that the study covers the needs of Gypsies (including English, Scottish, Welsh and Romany Gypsies), Irish Travellers, New (Age) Travellers, and Travelling Showpeople, but for ease of reference we have referred to the study as a Gypsy and Traveller (and Travelling Showpeople) Accommodation Assessment (GTAA).
- ^{2.5} The baseline date for the study is January 2020 which was when the household interviews were completed.

Definitions

- ^{2.6} The planning definition for a Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showperson is set out in PPTS (2015). The previous definition set out in the Housing Act (2004) was repealed by the Housing and Planning Act (2016).

The Planning Definition in PPTS (2015)

- ^{2.7} For the purposes of the planning system, the definition was changed in PPTS (2015). The planning definition is set out in Annex 1 and states that:

For the purposes of this planning policy “gypsies and travellers” means:

Persons of nomadic habit of life whatever their race or origin, including such persons who on grounds only of their own or their family’s or dependants’ educational or health needs or old age have ceased to travel temporarily, but excluding members of an organised group of travelling showpeople or circus people travelling together as such.

In determining whether persons are “gypsies and travellers” for the purposes of this planning policy, consideration should be given to the following issues amongst other relevant matters:

- a) *Whether they previously led a nomadic habit of life.*
- b) *The reasons for ceasing their nomadic habit of life.*
- c) *Whether there is an intention of living a nomadic habit of life in the future, and if so, how soon and in what circumstances.*

For the purposes of this planning policy, “travelling showpeople” means:

Members of a group organised for the purposes of holding fairs, circuses or shows (whether or not travelling together as such). This includes such persons who on the grounds of their own or their family’s or dependants’ more localised pattern of trading, educational or health needs or old age have ceased to travel temporarily, but excludes Gypsies and Travellers as defined above.

(Planning Policy for Traveller Sites, Department for Communities and Local Government (DCLG), August 2015)

- 2.8 The key change that was made to both definitions was the removal of the term “*persons...who have ceased to travel permanently*”, meaning that those who have ceased to travel permanently will no longer fall under the planning definition of a Traveller for the purposes of assessing accommodation need in a GTAA.

Definition of Travelling

- 2.9 One of the most important questions that GTAAs will need to address in terms of applying the planning definition is *what constitutes travelling?* This has been determined through case law that has tested the meaning of the term ‘*nomadic*’.
- 2.10 **R v South Hams District Council (1994)** – defined Gypsies as “persons who wander or travel for the purpose of making or seeking their livelihood (not persons who travel from place to place without any connection between their movements and their means of livelihood.)” This includes ‘born’ Gypsies and Travellers as well as ‘elective’ Travellers such as New Age Travellers.
- 2.11 In **Maidstone BC v Secretary of State for the Environment and Dunn (2006)**, it was held that a Romany Gypsy who bred horses and travelled to horse fairs at Appleby, Stow-in-the-Wold and the New Forest, where he bought and sold horses, and who remained away from his permanent site for up to two months of the year, at least partly in connection with this traditional Gypsy activity, was entitled to be accorded Gypsy status.
- 2.12 In **Greenwich LBC v Powell (1989)**, Lord Bridge of Harwich stated that a person could be a statutory Gypsy if he led a nomadic way of life *only seasonally*.
- 2.13 The definition was widened further by the decision in **R v Shropshire CC ex p Bungay (1990)**. The case concerned a Gypsy family that had not travelled for some 15 years in order to care for its elderly and infirm parents. An aggrieved resident living in the area of the family’s recently approved Gypsy site sought judicial review of the local authority’s decision to accept that the family had retained their Gypsy status even though they had not travelled for some considerable time. Dismissing the claim, the judge held that a person could remain a Gypsy even if he or she did not travel, provided that their nomadism was held in abeyance and not abandoned.
- 2.14 That point was revisited in the case of **Hearne v National Assembly for Wales (1999)**, where a traditional Gypsy was held not to be a Gypsy for the purposes of planning law as he had stated

that he intended to abandon his nomadic habit of life, lived in a permanent dwelling and was taking a course that led to permanent employment.

- 2.15 **Wrexham County Borough Council v National Assembly of Wales and Others (2003)** determined that households and individuals could continue to lead a nomadic way of life with a permanent base from which they set out from and return to.
- 2.16 The implication of these rulings in terms of applying the planning definition is that it will **only include those who travel (or have ceased to travel temporarily) for work purposes, or for seeking work, and in doing so stay away from their usual place of residence**. It can include those who have a permanent site or place of residence, but that it will not include those who travel for purposes other than work – such as holidays and visiting friends or relatives. It will not cover those who commute to work daily from a permanent place of residence (see APP/E2205/C/15/3137477).
- 2.17 It may also be that within a household some family members travel for nomadic purposes on a regular basis, but other family members stay at home to look after children in education, or other dependents with health problems etc. In these circumstances the household unit would be defined as travelling under the planning definition.
- 2.18 Households will also fall under the planning definition if they can demonstrate that they have ceased to travel temporarily as a result of their own or their family's or dependants' educational, health needs or old age. In order to have ceased to travel temporarily these households will need to demonstrate that they have travelled for work in the past. In addition, households will also have to demonstrate that they plan to travel again for work in the future.
- 2.19 This approach was endorsed by a Planning Inspector in Decision Notice for an appeal in East Hertfordshire (Appeal Ref: APP/J1915/W/16/3145267) that was issued in December 2016. A summary can be seen below.

Case law, including the R v South Hams District Council ex parte Gibb (1994) judgment referred to me at the hearing, despite its reference to 'purposive activities including work' also refers to a connection between the travelling and the means of livelihood, that is, an economic purpose. In this regard, there is no economic purpose... This situation is no different from that of many landlords and property investors or indeed anyone travelling to work in a fixed, pre-arranged location. In this regard there is not an essential connection between wandering and work... Whilst there does appear to be some connection between the travel and the work in this regard, it seems to me that these periods of travel for economic purposes are very short, amounting to an extremely small proportion of his time and income. Furthermore, the work is not carried out in a nomadic manner because it seems likely that it is done by appointment... I conclude, therefore, that XX does not meet the definition of a gypsy and traveller in terms of planning policy because there is insufficient evidence that he is currently a person of a nomadic habit of life.

- 2.20 This was further reinforced in a more recent Decision Notice for an appeal in Norfolk that was issued in February 2018 (Ref: APP/V2635/W/17/3180533) that stated:

As discussed during the hearing, although the PPTS does not spell this [the planning definition] out, it has been established in case law (R v South Hams DC 1994) that the

nomadism must have an economic purpose. In other words, gypsies and travellers wander of travel for the purposes of making or seeking their livelihood.

Legislation and Guidance for Gypsies and Travellers

- ^{2.21} Decision-making for policy concerning Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sits within a complex legislative and national policy framework and this study must be viewed in the context of this legislation and guidance. For example, the following key pieces of legislation and guidance are relevant when developing policies relating to Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople:
- » Planning Policy for Traveller Sites (PPTS), 2015
 - » The Housing and Planning Act, 2016
 - » National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF), 2019
 - » Planning Practice Guidance³ (PPG), 2019
- ^{2.22} In addition, Case Law, Ministerial Statements, the outcomes of Local Plan Examinations and Planning Appeals, and Judicial Reviews need to be taken into consideration. Relevant examples have been included in this report.
- ^{2.23} The primary guidance for undertaking the assessment of housing need for Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople is set out in the PPTS (2015). It should be read in conjunction with the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF). In addition, the Housing and Planning Act makes provisions for the assessment of need for those Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople households living on sites and yards who do not meet the planning definition – through the assessment of all households living in caravans.

PPTS (2015)

- ^{2.24} PPTS (2015), sets out the direction of Government policy. As well as introducing the planning definition of a Traveller, PPTS is closely linked to the NPPF. Among other objectives, the aims of the policy in respect of Traveller sites are (PPTS Paragraph 4):
- » *Local planning authorities should make their own assessment of need for the purposes of planning.*
 - » *To ensure that local planning authorities, working collaboratively, develop fair and effective strategies to meet need through the identification of land for sites.*
 - » *To encourage local planning authorities to plan for sites over a reasonable timescale.*
 - » *That plan-making and decision-taking should protect Green Belt from inappropriate development.*
 - » *To promote more private Traveller site provision while recognising that there will always be those Travellers who cannot provide their own sites.*
 - » *That plan-making and decision-taking should aim to reduce the number of unauthorised developments and encampments and make enforcement more effective.*

³ With particular reference to the sections on *Housing needs of different groups* (July 2019).

- » *For local planning authorities to ensure that their Local Plan includes fair, realistic and inclusive policies.*
- » *To increase the number of Traveller sites in appropriate locations with planning permission, to address under provision and maintain an appropriate level of supply.*
- » *To reduce tensions between settled and Traveller communities in plan-making and planning decisions.*
- » *To enable provision of suitable accommodation from which Travellers can access education, health, welfare and employment infrastructure.*
- » *For local planning authorities to have due regard to the protection of local amenity and local environment.*

2.25 In practice, the document states that (PPTS Paragraph 9):

- » *Local planning authorities should set pitch targets for Gypsies and Travellers and plot targets for Travelling Showpeople, which address the likely permanent and transit site accommodation needs of Travellers in their area, working collaboratively with neighbouring local planning authorities.*

2.26 PPTS goes on to state (Paragraph 10) that in producing their Local Plan local planning authorities should:

- » *Identify and annually update a supply of specific deliverable sites sufficient to provide five years' worth of sites against their locally set targets.*
- » *Identify a supply of specific, developable sites or broad locations for growth, for years 6-10 and, where possible, for years 11-15.*
- » *Consider production of joint development plans that set targets on a cross-authority basis, to provide more flexibility in identifying sites, particularly if a local planning authority has special or strict planning constraints across its area (local planning authorities have a Duty-to-Cooperate on strategic planning issues that cross administrative boundaries).*
- » *Relate the number of pitches or plots to the circumstances of the specific size and location of the site and the surrounding population's size and density.*
- » *Protect local amenity and environment.*

2.27 Local Authorities now have a duty to ensure a 5-year land supply to meet the identified needs for Traveller sites. However, PPTS 2015 also notes in Paragraph 11 that:

- » *Where there is no identified need, criteria-based policies should be included to provide a basis for decisions in case applications nevertheless come forward. Criteria-based policies should be fair and should facilitate the traditional and nomadic life of Travellers, while respecting the interests of the settled community.*

Revised National Planning Policy Framework (2019)

2.28 The most recent version of the revised National Planning Policy Framework was issued in February 2019. Paragraph 60 of the revised NPPF sets out that in determining the minimum number of homes needed, strategic plans should be based upon a local housing need assessment conducted using the standard method in national planning guidance.

- ^{2.29} Paragraph 61 then states that *‘Within this context, the size, type and tenure of housing needed for different groups in the community should be assessed and reflected in planning policies (including, but not limited to, those who require affordable housing, families with children, older people, students, people with disabilities, service families, travellers, people who rent their homes and people wishing to commission or build their own homes’*. The footnote to this section states that *‘Planning Policy for Traveller Sites sets out how travellers’ housing needs should be assessed for those covered by the definition in Annex 1 of that document.’*
- ^{2.30} This essentially sets out that the needs of households that meet the planning definition should be assessed under the PPTS and that the needs of households that are not found to meet the planning definition should be assessed as part of the wider housing needs of an area.

3. Methodology

Background

- 3.1 Over the past 10 years, ORS has continually refined a methodology for undertaking robust and defensible Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople Accommodation Needs Assessments. This has been updated in light of the introduction of the PPG in 2014, changes to PPTS in August 2015, the Housing and Planning Act (2016) and the revised NPPF (2019). It has also responded to changes set out by Planning Ministers, with particular reference to new household formation rates. This is an evolving methodology that has been adaptive to changes in planning policy as well as the outcomes of Local Plan Examinations and Planning Appeals.
- 3.2 PPTS (2015) contains a number of requirements for local authorities which must be addressed in any methodology. This includes the need to pay particular attention to early and effective community engagement with both settled and traveller communities (including discussing travellers' accommodation needs with travellers themselves); identification of permanent and transit site accommodation needs separately; working collaboratively with neighbouring local planning authorities; and establishing whether households fall within the planning definition for Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople.
- 3.3 ORS would note that since the changes to the PPTS in August 2015 the ORS GTAA methodology has been repeatedly found to be sound and robust, including through Local Plan Examinations in Cambridge, Cheltenham, Cotswold, East Hertfordshire, Gloucester, Maldon, Milton Keynes, Newham, South Cambridgeshire, Tewkesbury and Waverley.
- 3.4 The Local Plan Inspector for the Cheltenham, Gloucester and Tewkesbury Joint Core Strategy, following hearings in April 2016 and July 2017, concluded in her final Examination Report that was issued in October 2017:
- 'The methodology behind this assessment incorporates a full demographic study of all occupied pitches, a comprehensive effort to undertake interviews with Gypsy and Traveller households, and consideration of the implications of the new national policy. I am satisfied that the GTAA provides a robust and credible evidence base and I accept its findings.'*
- 3.5 The Inspector for the East Herts District Plan also found the evidence base in relation to Gypsies and Travellers to be sound in her Inspection Report that was issued in July 2018. She concluded:
- 'The need of the travelling community has been carefully and robustly assessed and locations to meet identified needs have been allocated for the plan period. Policy HOU9 sets out the need for 5 permanent pitches for Gypsies and Travellers... the approach to the provision of housing is comprehensive, positively prepared, appropriate to the needs of the area and consistent with national policy.'*
- 3.6 The stages below provide a summary of the methodology that was used to complete this study. More information on each stage is provided in the appropriate sections of this report.

Glossary of Terms/Acronyms

- 3.7 A Glossary of Terms/Acronyms can be found in **Appendix A**.

Desk-Based Review

- 3.8 ORS collated a range of secondary data that was used to support the study. This included:

- » Census data.
- » Traveller Caravan Count data.
- » Records of unauthorised sites/encampments.
- » Information on planning applications/appeals.
- » Information on enforcement actions.
- » Existing Needs Assessments and other relevant local studies.
- » Existing national and local policy, guidance and best practice.

Stakeholder Engagement

- 3.9 Engagement was undertaken with key Council Officers from Horsham and SDNP. Three interviews were undertaken with Council Officers from the study area.

Working Collaboratively with Neighbouring Planning Authorities

- 3.10 To help support the Duty-to-Cooperate and provide background information for the study, telephone interviews were conducted with Planning Officers in neighbouring planning authorities. These interviews will help to ensure that wider issues that may impact on this project are fully understood. This included interviews with Officers from the Councils set out below. Again, a detailed topic guide was agreed with the Council.

- » Adur & Worthing District Councils
- » Brighton and Hove City Council
- » Chichester District Council
- » Crawley Borough Council
- » Mid Sussex District Council
- » Mole Valley District Council
- » Reigate & Banstead Borough Council
- » Waverley Borough Council

Survey of Travelling Communities

- 3.11 Through the desk-based research and the stakeholder interviews, ORS sought to identify all authorised and unauthorised sites/yards and encampments in the study area and attempted to complete an interview with the residents on all occupied pitches and plots. In order to gather the robust information needed to assess households against the planning definition of a Traveller, up

to 3 visits were made to households where it was not initially possible to conduct an interview because they were not available at the time.

- 3.12 Our experience suggests that an attempt to interview households on all pitches is more robust. A sample-based approach often leads to an under-estimate of need – and is an approach which is regularly challenged by the Planning Inspectorate and at Planning Appeals.
- 3.13 ORS worked closely with the Council to ensure that the interviews collected all the necessary information to support the study. The site interview questions that were used (see **Appendix E**) have been updated to take account of recent changes to PPTS and to collect the information ORS feel is necessary to apply the planning definition. All sites and yards were visited by members of our dedicated team of experienced Researchers who work on our GTAA studies across England and Wales. Researchers attempted to conduct semi-structured interviews with residents to determine their current demographic characteristics, their current or future accommodation needs, whether there is any over-crowding or the presence of concealed households and travelling characteristics. Researchers also sought to identify contacts living in bricks and mortar to interview, as well as an overall assessment of each site to determine any opportunities for intensification or expansion to meet future needs.
- 3.14 Researchers also sought information from residents on the type of pitches they may require in the future – for example private or socially rented, together with any features they may wish to be provided on a new pitch or site.
- 3.15 Where it was not possible to undertake an interview, Researchers sought to capture as much information as possible about each pitch through a proxy interview from sources including neighbouring residents and site management (if present).
- 3.16 Researchers also distributed copies of an information leaflet that was prepared by Friends, Families and Travellers explaining the reasons for the need to complete the household interview as part of the GTAA process.

Engagement with Bricks and Mortar and Roadside Households

- 3.17 The 2011 Census recorded 34 households that were identified as either Gypsies or Irish Travellers who lived in a house in Horsham and 15 who lived in a flat or maisonette.
- 3.18 ORS apply a rigorous approach to making contact with bricks and mortar households as this is a common issue raised at Local Plan Examinations and Planning Appeals. Contacts were sought through a range of sources including the interviews with people on existing sites and yards; intelligence from the stakeholder interviews; information from housing registers; and other local knowledge from stakeholders. In addition, ORS worked closely with Friends, Families and Travellers to arrange a drop-in session at their offices in Horsham. Through this approach the GTAA endeavoured to do everything to give households living in bricks and mortar the opportunity to make their views known.
- 3.19 As a rule, ORS do not make any assumptions on the overall needs from household in bricks and mortar based on the outcomes of any interviews that are completed, as in our experience this leads to a significant over-estimate of the number of households wishing to move to a site or a yard. ORS work on the assumption that all those wishing to move will make their views known to us based on the wide range of publicity put in place.

Figure 5 – Friends, Families and Traveller Leaflet



Timing of the Fieldwork

3.20 ORS are fully aware of the transient nature of many travelling communities and subsequent seasonal variations in site and yard occupancy. ORS would normally aim to complete fieldwork during the non-travelling season, and also avoid days of known local or national events. The fieldwork was completed between April 2019 and January 2020 and Researchers were able to collect information on the majority of residents.

Applying the Planning Definition

3.21 The primary change to PPTS (2015) in relation to the assessment of need was the change to the definition of a Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showperson for planning purposes. Through the site interviews ORS sought to collect information necessary to assess each household against the planning definition. As the revised PPTS was only issued in 2015, only a small number of relevant appeal decisions have been issued by the Planning Inspectorate on how the planning definition should be applied (see Paragraphs 2.20 and 2.21 for examples) – these support the view that households need to be able to demonstrate that they travel for work purposes, or for seeking work, to meet the planning definition, and stay away from their usual place of residence when doing so, or have ceased to travel for work purposes temporarily due to education, ill health or old age.

- 3.22 The household survey included a structured section of questions to record information about the travelling characteristics of household members. This included questions on the following key issues:
- » Whether any household members have travelled in the past 12 months.
 - » Whether household members have ever travelled.
 - » The main reasons for travelling.
 - » Where household members travelled to.
 - » The times of the year that household members travelled.
 - » Where household members stay when they are away travelling.
 - » When household members stopped travelling.
 - » The reasons why household members stopped travelling.
 - » Whether household members intend to travel again in the future.
 - » When and the reasons why household members plan to travel again in the future.
- 3.23 When the household survey was completed, the answers from these questions on travelling were used to determine the status of each household against the planning definition in PPTS (2015). Through a combination of responses, households need to provide sufficient information to demonstrate that household members travel for work purposes, or for seeking work, and in doing so stay away from their usual place of residence, or that they have ceased to travel temporarily due to education, ill health or old age, and plan to travel again for work purposes in the future. The same definition applies to Travelling Showpeople as to Gypsies and Travellers.
- 3.24 Households that need to be considered in the GTAA fall under one of three classifications that will determine whether their housing needs will need to be assessed in the GTAA. Only those households that meet, or may meet, the planning definition will form the components of need to be formally included in the GTAA:
- » Households that travel under the planning definition.
 - » Households that have ceased to travel temporarily under the planning definition.
 - » Households where an interview was not possible who may fall under the planning definition.
- 3.25 Whilst the needs of those households that do not meet the planning definition do not need to be included in the GTAA, they will be assessed to provide the Council with components of need to consider as part of their work on wider housing needs assessments. This is consistent with the requirements of the revised NPPF (2019).

Undetermined Households

- 3.26 As well as calculating need for households that meet the planning definition, the needs of the households where an interview was not completed (either due to refusal to be interviewed or households that were not present during the fieldwork period) need to be assessed as part of the GTAA where they are believed to be Gypsies and Travellers who may meet the planning definition. Whilst there is no law or guidance that sets out how the needs of these households should be addressed; an approach has been taken that seeks an estimate of potential need from

these households. This will be a need figure over and above the need identified for households that do meet the planning definition.

- 3.27 The estimate seeks to identify potential current and future need from any pitches known to be temporary or unauthorised, and through new household formation. For the latter the ORS national rate of 1.50% has been used as the demographics of residents are unknown.
- 3.28 Should further information be made available to the Councils that will allow for the planning definition to be applied, these households could either form a confirmed component of need to be addressed through the GTAA or through wider assessments of housing need.
- 3.29 ORS believe it would not be appropriate when producing a robust assessment of need to make any firm assumptions about whether households where an interview was not completed meet the planning definition based on the outcomes of households where an interview was completed.
- 3.30 However, data that has been collected from over 3,900 household interviews that have been completed by ORS since the changes to PPTS in 2015 suggests that overall approximately 30% of households who have been interviewed meet the planning definition (this rises to 70% for Travelling Showpeople based on over 300 interviews that have been completed) – and in some local authorities, no households meet the planning definition.
- 3.31 ORS are not implying that this is an official national statistic – rather a national statistic based on the outcomes of our fieldwork since the introduction of PPTS (2015). It is estimated that there are 14,000 Gypsy and Traveller pitches in England and ORS have spoken with households on 25% of them at a representative range of sites. Approximately 30% meet the planning definition. ORS also asked similar questions on travelling in over 2,000 pre-PPTS (2015) household interviews and found that 10% of households would have met the PPTS (2015) planning definition. It is ORS' view therefore that this is the most comprehensive national statistic in relation to households that meet the planning definition in PPTS (2015) and should be seen as a robust statistical figure.
- 3.32 This would suggest that it is likely that only a proportion of the potential need identified from undetermined households will need conditioned Gypsy and Traveller pitches, and that the needs of the majority will need to be addressed through separate Local Plan Policies.
- 3.33 The ORS methodology to address the need arising from undetermined households was supported by the Planning Inspector for a Local Plan Examination for Maldon District Council, Essex. In his Report that was published on 29th June 2017 he concluded:

150. The Council's stance is that any need arising from 'unknowns' should be a matter left to the planning application process. Modifications to Policy H6 have been put forward by the Council setting out criteria for such a purpose, which I consider further below. To my mind, that is an appropriate approach. While there remains a possibility that up to 10 further pitches may be needed, that cannot be said to represent identified need. It would be unreasonable to demand that the Plan provide for needs that have not been established to exist. That being said, **MM242h** is nonetheless necessary in this regard. It commits the Council to a review of the Plan if future reviews of the GTAA reveal the necessity for land allocations to provide for presently 'unknown' needs. For effectiveness, I have altered this modification from the version put forward by the Council by replacing the word "may" with "will" in relation to undertaking the review committed to. I have also replaced "the Plan" with "Policy H6" – the whole Plan need not be reviewed.

Households that Do Not Meet the Planning Definition

- 3.34 Households who do not travel for work now fall outside the planning definition of a Traveller. However Romany Gypsies, Irish and Scottish Travellers may be able to claim a right to culturally appropriate accommodation under the Equality Act (2010) as a result of their protected characteristics. In addition, provisions set out in the Housing and Planning Act (2016) now include a duty (under Section 8 of the 1985 Housing Act that covers the requirement for a periodical review of housing needs) for local authorities to consider the needs of people residing in or resorting to their district with respect to the provision of sites on which caravans can be stationed, or places on inland waterways where houseboats can be moored. Draft Guidance⁴ related to this section of the Act has been published setting out how the government would want local housing authorities to undertake this assessment and it is the same as the GTAA assessment process. The implication is therefore that the housing needs of any Gypsy and Traveller households who do not meet the planning definition of a Traveller will need to be assessed as part of the wider housing needs of the area and will form a subset of the wider need arising from households residing in caravans. This is echoed in the revised NPPF (February 2019).
- 3.35 Paragraph 61 of the revised NPPF states that *‘Within this context, the size, type and tenure of housing needed for different groups in the community should be assessed and reflected in planning policies (including, but not limited to, those who require affordable housing, families with children, older people, students, people with disabilities, service families, travellers, people who rent their homes and people wishing to commission or build their own homes’*. The footnote to this section states that *‘Planning Policy for Traveller Sites sets out how travellers’ housing needs should be assessed for those covered by the definition in Annex 1 of that document.’*

Calculating Current and Future Need

- 3.36 To identify need, PPTS (2015) requires an assessment for current and future pitch requirements but does not provide a methodology for this. However, as with any housing assessment, the underlying calculation can be broken down into a relatively small number of factors. In this case, the key issue is to compare the supply of pitches available for occupation with the current and future needs of the population.

Supply of Pitches

- 3.37 The first stage of the assessment sought to determine the number of occupied, vacant and potentially available supply in the study area:
- » Current vacant pitches.
 - » Pitches currently with planning consent due to be developed within 5 years.
 - » Pitches vacated by people moving to housing.
 - » Pitches vacated by people moving from the study area (out-migration).
- 3.38 It is important when seeking to identify supply from vacant pitches that they are in fact available for general occupation – i.e. on a public or social rented site, or on a private site that is run on a

⁴ Draft guidance to local housing authorities on the periodical review of housing needs for caravans and houseboats. DCLG (March 2016).

commercial basis with anyone being able to rent a pitch if they are available. Typically, vacant pitches on small private family sites are not included as components of available supply but can be used to meet any current and future need from the family living on the site.

Current Need

3.39 The second stage was to identify components of current need, which is not necessarily the need for pitches because they may be able to be addressed by space already available in the study area. It is important to address issues of double counting:

- » Households on unauthorised developments for which planning permission is not expected.
- » Concealed, doubled-up or over-crowded households (including single adults).
- » Households in bricks and mortar wishing to move to sites.
- » Households in need on waiting lists for public sites.

Future Need

3.40 The final stage was to identify components of future need. This includes the following four components:

- » Teenage children in need of a pitch of their own in the next 5 years.
- » Households living on sites with temporary planning permissions.
- » New household formation.
- » In-migration.

3.41 Household formation rates are often the subject of challenge at appeals or examinations. ORS firmly believe that any household formation rates should use a robust local evidence base, rather than simply relying on national precedent. The approach taken is set out in more detail in Chapter 6 of this report.

3.42 All of these components of supply and need are presented in tabular format which identify the overall net need for current and future accommodation for Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople. This has proven to be a robust model for identifying needs. The residential and transit pitch needs for Gypsies and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople are identified separately and the needs are identified in 5-year periods to 2038.

Pitch Turnover

3.43 Some assessments of need make use of pitch turnover as an ongoing component of supply. ORS do not agree with this approach or about making any assumptions about annual turnover rates. This approach frequently ends up significantly under-estimating need as, in the majority of cases, vacant pitches on sites are not available to meet any current or future need. The use of pitch turnover has been the subject of a number of Inspectors Decisions, for example APP/J3720/A/13/2208767 found a GTAA to be unsound when using pitch turnover and concluded:

West Oxfordshire Council relies on a GTAA published in 2013. This identifies an immediate need for 6 additional pitches. However, the GTAA methodology treats pitch turnover as a component of supply. This is only the case if there is net outward migration, yet no such scenario is apparent in West Oxfordshire. Based on the evidence before me I consider the underlying criticism of the GTAA to be justified and that unmet need is likely to be higher than that in the findings in the GTAA.

- 3.44 In addition, a recent GTAA Best Practice Guide produced jointly by organisations including Friends, Families and Travellers, the London Gypsy and Traveller Unit, the York Travellers Trust, the Derbyshire Gypsy Liaison Group, Garden Court Chambers and Leeds GATE concluded that:

Assessments involving any form of pitch turnover in their supply relies upon making assumptions; a practice best avoided. Turnover is naturally very difficult to assess accurately and in practice does not contribute meaningfully to additional supply so should be very carefully assessed in line with local trends. Mainstream housing assessments are not based on the assumption that turnover within the existing stock can provide for general housing needs.

- 3.45 As such, other than current vacant pitches on sites that are known to be available, or pitches that are known to become available through the household interviews, pitch turnover has not been considered as a component of supply in this GTAA.

Transit Provision

- 3.46 PPTS also requires an assessment of the need for any transit sites or stopping places. While the majority of Gypsies and Travellers have permanent bases either on Gypsy and Traveller sites or in bricks and mortar and no longer travel, other members of the community either travel permanently or for part of the year. Due to the mobile nature of the population, a range of sites or management approaches can be developed to accommodate Gypsies and Travellers as they move through different areas, including:

- » Transit sites
- » Emergency stopping places
- » Temporary (seasonal) sites
- » Negotiated Stopping Agreements.

- 3.47 In order to investigate the potential need for transit provision when undertaking work to support the study, ORS sought to undertake analysis of any records of unauthorised sites and encampments, as well as information from the Ministry of Housing Communities and Local Government (MHCLG)⁵ Traveller Caravan Count. The outcomes of discussions with Council Officers and with Officers from neighbouring planning authorities were also taken into consideration when determining this element of need in the study area.

⁵ Formerly the Department for Communities and Local Government (DCLG).

4. Gypsy, Traveller & Travelling Showpeople Sites & Population

Introduction

- 4.1 One of the main considerations of this study is to provide evidence to support the provision of pitches and plots to meet the current and future accommodation needs of Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople. A pitch is an area normally occupied by one household, which typically contains enough space for one or two caravans but can vary in size⁶. A site is a collection of pitches which form a development exclusively for Gypsies and Travellers. For Travelling Showpeople, the most common descriptions used are a plot for the space occupied by one household and a yard for a collection of plots which are typically exclusively occupied by Travelling Showpeople. Throughout this study the main focus is upon how many extra pitches for Gypsies and Travellers and plots for Travelling Showpeople are required in the study area.
- 4.2 The public and private provision of mainstream housing is also largely mirrored when considering Gypsy and Traveller accommodation. One common form of a Gypsy and Traveller site is the publicly provided residential site, which is provided by a Local Authority or by a Registered Provider (usually a Housing Association). Pitches on public sites can be obtained through signing up to a waiting list, and the costs of running the sites are met from the rent paid by the tenants (similar to social housing).
- 4.3 The alternative to a public residential site is a private residential site and yard for Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople. These result from individuals or families buying areas of land and then obtaining planning permission to live on them. Households can also rent pitches on existing private sites. Therefore, these two forms of accommodation are the equivalent to private ownership and renting for those who live in bricks and mortar housing. Generally, the majority of Travelling Showpeople yards are privately owned and managed.
- 4.4 The Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople population also has other types of sites due to its mobile nature. Transit sites tend to contain many of the same facilities as a residential site, except that there is a maximum occupancy period of residence which can vary from a few days or weeks to a period of months. An alternative to a transit site is an emergency or negotiated stopping place. This type of site also has restrictions on the length of time someone can stay on it but has much more limited facilities. Both of these two types of site are designed to accommodate, for a temporary period, Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople whilst they travel. A number of authorities also operate an accepted encampments policy where short-term stopovers are tolerated without enforcement action.

⁶ Whilst it has now been withdrawn, Government Guidance on Designing Gypsy and Traveller Sites recommended that, as a general guide, an average family pitch must be capable of accommodating an amenity building, a large trailer [for example a static caravan, park home or chalet] and touring caravan, parking space for two vehicles and a small garden area.

- 4.5 Further considerations for the Gypsy and Traveller population are unauthorised developments and encampments. Unauthorised developments occur on land which is owned by the Gypsies and Travellers or with the approval of the landowner, but for which they do not have planning permission to use for residential purposes. Unauthorised encampments occur on land which is not owned by the Gypsies and Travellers.

Sites and Yards in Horsham

- 4.6 In Horsham, at the base date for the GTAA, there were 3 public sites (35 pitches); 14 private sites (60 pitches); no sites with temporary permission; 6 unauthorised sites (24 pitches); and 2 Travelling Showmen's yards (8 pitches). There were no tolerated or public transit sites. See **Appendix D** for further details.

Figure 6 – Total amount of provision in Horsham (January 2020)

Category	Sites/Yards	Pitches/Plots
Public sites	3	35
Private with permanent planning permission	14	60
Private with temporary planning permission	0	0
Tolerated sites	0	0
Unauthorised sites	6	24
Public transit sites	0	0
Travelling Showpeople yards	2	8

MHCLG Traveller Caravan Count

- 4.7 Another source of information available on the Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople population is the bi-annual Traveller Caravan Count which is conducted by each Local Authority in England on a specific date in January and July of each year and reported to MHCLG. This is a statistical count of the number of caravans on both authorised and unauthorised sites across England. With effect from July 2013 it was renamed the Traveller Caravan Count due to the inclusion of data on Travelling Showpeople.
- 4.8 As this count is of caravans and not households, it makes it more difficult to interpret for a study such as this because it does not count pitches or resident households. The count is merely a 'snapshot in time' conducted by the Local Authority on a specific day, and any unauthorised sites or encampments which occur on other dates will not be recorded. Likewise, any caravans that are away from sites on the day of the count will not be included. As such it is not considered appropriate to use the outcomes from the Traveller Caravan Count in the calculation of current and future need as the information collected during the site visits is seen as more robust and fit-for-purpose. However, the Caravan Count data has been used to *support* the identification of the need to provide for transit provision and this is set out later in this report.

5. Stakeholder Engagement

Introduction

- 5.1 ORS undertook a stakeholder engagement programme to complement the information gathered through interviews with members of the Travelling Community. This consultation took the form of telephone interviews which were tailored to the role of the individual.
- 5.2 The aim of these interviews was to provide an understanding of current provision and possible future need; short-term encampments; transit provision; and cross-border issues.
- 5.3 Three interviews were undertaken with Council Officers from the study area.
- 5.4 As stated in the Planning Policy for Traveller Sites, Local Authorities have a duty to cooperate on strategic planning issues that cross administrative boundaries (S.110 Localism Act 2011). In order to explore issues relating to cross boundary working, ORS interviewed a Planning Officer from 9 neighbouring local authorities:
- » Adur and Worthing District Councils
 - » Brighton and Hove City Council
 - » Chichester District Council
 - » Crawley Borough Council
 - » Mid Sussex District Council
 - » Mole Valley District Council
 - » Reigate & Banstead Borough Council
 - » Waverley Borough Council
- 5.5 Due to issues surrounding data protection, and in order to protect the anonymity of those who took part, this section presents a summary of the views expressed by interviewees and verbatim comments have not been used. The views expressed in this section of the report represent a balanced summary of the views expressed by stakeholders, and on the views of the individuals concerned, rather than the official policy of their Council or organisation.

Views of Key Stakeholders and Council Officers in Horsham

Accommodation Needs

- 5.6 Since the last GTAA, Horsham have attempted to prepare a Gypsy and Traveller Plan, but it has not found general acceptance with refusals at committee and legal challenges to planning permissions.
- 5.7 Horsham have looked at a number of potential new traveller sites to meet the deficit in provision and produced 2 draft Development Plan Documents (April 2017 and December 2017). However, one of the key sites was withdrawn by the owner in April 2018, and the Council was therefore unable to meet the identified need for Gypsies and Travellers and take the draft document to Examination.

- 5.8 Current provision was felt to be working reasonably well, although it was accepted that there is a need for more pitches.
- 5.9 Since the last GTAA, Horsham have lost an appeal to Travelling Showpeople, therefore, permission has been granted for four plots, which meets the previous identified need. These plots have yet to be implemented.
- 5.10 Horsham have been speaking to developers, who are developing strategic sites. It was stated that Horsham will need elements on those strategic sites for Gypsy and Traveller provision. This was said to have led to the potential provision of 15 pitches on each of the strategic sites that are identified in the Local Plan Review.
- 5.11 There are a number of long-term unauthorised sites in Horsham district, where owners have not submitted planning applications.

Short-term Encampments and Transit Provision

- 5.12 Horsham do not attract a great number of unauthorised encampments.
- 5.13 Horsham use the transit site in Chichester as a means of moving on unauthorised encampments. The site in Chichester has helped in reducing the number of unauthorised encampments witnessed in Horsham.
- 5.14 No need for any further transit provision was identified and it was thought that the site at Chichester is functioning well in meeting the need in the area.

Cross Border Issues and Meeting the Duty to Cooperate

- 5.15 No specific cross-border issues were identified.
- 5.16 Horsham are complying with the Duty to Cooperate. They have appeared at numerous meetings over recent months with all their neighbouring authorities.
- 5.17 Neighbouring authorities are also complying with their Duty to Cooperate.
- 5.18 A number of neighbouring authorities were believed to be meeting their respective Gypsy and Traveller need. However, authorities located to the south of Horsham were believed to be experiencing difficulties meeting their need. It was thought that the locations are hampered by their proximity to the sea and the South Downs National Park. Brighton was named as a specific example.

Future Priorities and Any Further Issues

- 5.19 A future priority identified was for Horsham to perhaps look to approve more small family sites. It was suggested that this type of sites are better maintained sites and more easily managed.

South Downs National Park (SDNP)

- 5.20 With regard to **overall accommodation need** in South Downs National Park, the views of the officer interviewed were as follows:

- » South Downs National Park (SDNP) were said to be in an unusual position because they are made up of parts of a number of local and county councils. According to local planning evidence, SDNP consists of parts of 12 other local authorities, meaning SDNP covers a very large geographical area, spread out over four housing market areas.
- » In respect to Gypsy and Travellers especially, as SDNP are spread out over a large area, rather than taking the approach of doing a comprehensive national park wide assessment, they have worked with the respective local authorities to assess the needs within certain parts of the national park. However, some of the evidence base is now considered out of date.
- » SDNP have a Background Paper on Gypsies and Travellers which was used to form the Local Plan evidence base. SDNP also have a policy in their Local Plan which sets out their approach. The SDNP Local Plan was adopted in July 2019.
- » There is only 1 site in Horsham that encroaches into the SDNP and there are caravans in the area over the SDNP boundary. This Study has interviewed the people and concluded they are not Gypsies or Travellers.
- » Unauthorised encampments are dealt with as a civil enforcement matter. The stakeholder interviewed was not aware of many unauthorised encampments within the SDNP in Horsham.

5.21 With regard to the subject of **cross border issues and the Duty to Cooperate**, the views of the officer interviewed were as follows:

- » SDNP were said to be complying with their Duty to Cooperate. They have worked closely with Horsham Council. No other specific cross-border issues were raised.

Neighbouring Authorities

Adur & Worthing District Councils

5.22 With regard to **overall accommodation need** in Adur and Worthing, the views of the officer interviewed were as follows:

- » Adur have one public site at Withy Patch, Lancing, which has 12 pitches.
- » The Adur Local Plan (2017) covers the period 2011-2032 and makes provision for the 4 additional pitches required following the 2014 GTAA. The most recent GTAA (2019) indicates a need for an additional 6 pitches, coming from the site at Withy Patch, up to 2036. Taking into account the 4 pitches to be provided, there is a need to provide an additional 2 pitches.
- » Given the commitment in the Adur Local Plan (2017) to relocate and extend the current public site, it is considered that, currently, there is sufficient amount of accommodation to meet the need of Travellers that meet the planning definition.
- » Worthing have no pitches or plots, either permanent, unauthorised, tolerated or temporary.
- » Worthing have no identified need for Gypsies, Travellers or Travelling Showpeople.

5.23 With regard to the subject of **cross border issues and the Duty to Cooperate**, the views of the officer interviewed were as follows:

- » With regard to cross-border working, the officers were of the understanding that Gypsy and Traveller liaison officers across East and West Sussex meet regularly.
- » Adur and Worthing Councils were thought to be complying with their Duty to Cooperate through their close working with other local authorities. Neighbouring authorities were also said to be complying.
- » The officers for Adur and Worthing Councils believe that all neighbouring local authorities have sufficient policies in their respective Local Plans to meet any identified need.

Brighton & Hove City Council

5.24 With regard to **overall accommodation need** in Brighton & Hove, the views of the officer interviewed were as follows:

- » In order to meet the identified need, Brighton and Hove opened a public site at Horsdean in 2016. Brighton & Hove have also undertaken a joint exercise with the South Downs National Park to try and identify more potential sites for the future.
- » The provision in Brighton and Hove was not thought to be meeting the strategic need identified in the last GTAA. Brighton and Hove are therefore updating their GTAA.
- » Unauthorised encampments predominantly occur during the summer months. However, the number of encampments has fallen since the opening of the transit site at Horsdean.
- » Brighton and Hove are considering negotiated stopping places to help accommodate the rise in unauthorised encampments experienced over the summer months.

5.25 With regard to the subject of **cross border issues and the Duty to Cooperate**, the views of the officer interviewed were as follows:

- » No specific cross-border issues with Horsham or any neighbouring authorities were identified.
- » Brighton & Hove, and its neighbouring authorities, were all felt to be complying with their Duty to Cooperate. This was believed to be achieved through maintaining regular contact across local authorities on potential issues concerning Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople.

Chichester District Council

5.26 With regard to **overall accommodation need** in Chichester, the views of the officer interviewed were as follows:

- » Since the last GTAA, Chichester have updated the policy in the local plan to outline the revised need and will start work on a new site allocation document at the end of 2019.

- » Chichester have a 13-year supply of Gypsy and Traveller pitches and a 5-year supply of Travelling Showpeople plots, based on the last GTAA.
- » The transit site which accommodates the whole of West Sussex is located within Chichester.
- » It was thought there to be a sufficient amount of accommodation. However, it was unsure whether the current provision was meeting the need.
- » There are few unauthorised encampments that occur. Those that do arrive do so during the summer and are quickly directed to the transit site.

5.27 With regard to the subject of **cross border issues and the Duty to Cooperate**, the views of the officer interviewed were as follows:

- » No specific cross-border issues with Horsham or any neighbouring authorities were identified.
- » It was assumed that all areas are adequately dealing with their own need.
- » Chichester and its neighbouring authorities were thought to be complying well with their Duty to Cooperate. This was said to be evidenced through regular meetings and through the local plan of the last GTAA being praised for demonstrating good cooperation.

Crawley Borough Council

5.28 With regard to **overall accommodation need** in Crawley, the views of the officer interviewed were as follows:

- » There is a limited mix of temporary and permanent small family owned private sites in the north of the Borough. Most Travellers in the borough live in Bricks and Mortar.
- » There is one private, single family unit site for Travelling Showpeople.
- » The number of short-term encampments is low; there were no encampments between 2006 and 2014, and eight in 2016. It is thought that due to small size and urban nature of the Borough, Travellers are not attracted to the area as there are few suitable places to camp.
- » Between 2012 and 2014 the Borough Council carried out a GTAA. The study did not identify any immediate need for Gypsies and Travellers. However, it did identify a potential need for an additional 10 pitches for the children of families (i.e. new family formation) currently living in bricks and mortar, should they need a travelling lifestyle when forming their own new households⁷ (due to the age profile of the Traveller's children this possible need was identified in years six to fifteen of the Local Plan). The Local Plan (December 2015) identified a reserve site for the potential future need of 10 pitches.

⁷ Just to note that the assessment and provision was undertaken on the basis of 'need' for accommodation including: *"Bricks and mortar households whose existing accommodation is overcrowded or 'unsuitable'.* *Unsuitable in this context can including unsuitability by virtue of proven psychological aversion to bricks and mortar accommodation"* (DCLG: Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation Needs Assessments Guidance, 2007, para 15).

5.29 With regard to the subject of **cross border issues and the Duty to Cooperate**, the views of the officer interviewed were as follows:

- » The Borough is surrounded by a number of Traveller sites which are located in neighbouring Boroughs: Reigate and Banstead have a site near the airport; there is a Showpeople's site in Tandridge; and Horsham have a site to their west.
- » In 2011, the Gatwick Diamond authorities (which include Crawley, Mid Sussex and Horsham, Tandridge, Reigate and Banstead, and Mole Valley) agreed to meet their own need for additional Traveller provision. The authorities regularly meet up to discuss Traveller issues and share information.
- » Within Crawley's Local Plan is a Duty to Cooperate statement which covers Gypsies and Travellers and states that joint working needs to be undertaken.

Mid Sussex District Council

5.30 With regard to **overall accommodation need** in Mid Sussex, the views of the officer interviewed were as follows:

- » Mid Sussex District Council completed a Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation Needs Assessment (GTAA) in 2016. This assessment identified a need for an additional 23 pitches in the district.
- » The authority is actively trying to bring forward a site that will provide 4 private pitches and 12 public pitches. These 16 pitches would meet a substantial amount of the need for culturally appropriate housing for those who did not meet the PPTS definition of 'Travelling' households' (the GTAA assessment did not identify any need for those that meet the PPTS definition). A planning application was submitted but was subsequently withdrawn and is likely to be resubmitted in the future. Overall, it is felt that the Local Authority has taken positive steps to meet the identified need and is looking at options to meet the residual need through the allocation of further sites and expansion of existing sites

5.31 With regard to the subject of **cross border issues and the Duty to Cooperate**, the views of the officer interviewed were as follows:

- » No specific cross-border issues were identified.
- » Mid Sussex were thought to be complying with their Duty to Cooperate through their close working with other local authorities. Neighbouring authorities were also said to be complying.
- » Neighbouring local authorities were believed to be coping well in attempting to meet their respective identified need.

Mole Valley District Council

5.32 With regard to **overall accommodation need** in Mole Valley, the views of the officer interviewed were as follows:

- » The last GTAA identified a total need of 40 pitches and 3 plots during the period 2017-2033; with about 50% of the pitches and 100% of the plots being for households that meet the PPTS definition. Four additional pitches have been permitted since the GTAA baseline date. As there are no vacancies on existing sites which would contribute to the need, an unmet need of 36 pitches and 3 plots remains.
- » The last GTAA process also identified needs relating to concealed households and evidence has also been included with planning applications that reveals overcrowding on certain sites.
- » Mole Valley experienced an increased number of short-term unauthorised encampments during the 2018 travelling season, and the council are now engaged in discussions at County level about transit/stopping requirements.
- » The encampments appear to be seasonal travelling and are located primarily on parks, recreation grounds and public car parks within the north of the district. The District Council have worked with landowners and the Police to move the occupiers on, following an agreed protocol.

5.33 With regard to the subject of **cross border issues and the Duty to Cooperate**, the views of the officer interviewed were as follows:

- » With regard to cross-border working, while no specific issues were identified, informal officer-level discussions were said to frequently take place between Mole Valley and neighbouring authorities on issues relating to planning for Gypsies and Travellers.
- » Mole Valley were believed to be complying with their Duty to Cooperate through engagement with neighbouring authorities' GTAA processes and through Surrey-wide discussions on transit/stopping place provision. Neighbouring authorities were also said to be complying.
- » Neighbouring local authorities were believed to be making every attempt to meet their respective identified need.

Reigate & Banstead Borough Council

5.34 With regard to **overall accommodation need** in Reigate & Banstead, the views of the officer interviewed were as follows:

- » Since the last GTAA, Reigate & Banstead Council has approved 19 permanent pitches. The examination of the Council's Development Management Plan (DMP) is complete; the Plan includes a number of gypsy and traveller site allocations with capacity to deliver approximately 24 further pitches over the next 10 years. The DMP was adopted in September 2019. The emerging DMP also includes site allocations capable of accommodating 5 additional Travelling Showpeople plots.

- » Overcrowding was identified as being present on the Kent's Field site, Rectory Lane, Woodmansterne. However, the Kent's Field site is one of the sites to be allocated for additional pitches in the DMP. In recognition of the overcrowding, 2 additional temporary pitches were granted on appeal in 2018.
- » The number of unauthorised encampments in Reigate and Banstead varies from year-to-year; with 2018 being particularly busy through experiencing 22 encampments. Quick enforcement responses are used to move encampments from Council-owned land.
- » There is currently no transit provision or agreed stopping places in the borough. However, work is ongoing at the County level to examine the need for a transit site across the county and identify potential sites in order to reduce unauthorised encampments.

5.35 With regard to the subject of **cross border issues and the Duty to Cooperate**, the views of the officer interviewed were as follows:

- » With regard to cross-border working, information sharing with neighbouring authorities regarding the movement of any encampments occurs often, including with the Kent County Council Intelligence Unit. Joint working is also ongoing across Surrey to consider need for county transit provision and to identify potential sites to meet any such need.
- » Reigate and Banstead were believed to be complying with their Duty to Cooperate through the joint working strategies set out in the Council's 2017 GTAA. Neighbouring authorities were also said to be complying.
- » Neighbouring local authorities were believed to be coping well in attempting to meet their respective identified need.

Waverley Borough Council

5.36 With regard to **overall accommodation need** in Waverley, the views of the officer interviewed were as follows:

- » The Council is currently preparing Local Plan Part 2. This will identify and allocate sites to meet traveller accommodation needs set out in the latest version of the Waverley GTAA.
- » Officers were aware of issues of overcrowding on the public site and the private site at Lydia Park.
- » Short-term encampments usually occur over the summer months, around the time of the Derby and for large events like funerals. These encampments tend to be situated in the Farnham area via the A31 route which leads into Basingstoke.

5.37 With regard to the subject of **cross border issues and the Duty to Cooperate**, the views of the officer interviewed were as follows:

- » Officers did not identify any significant cross border issues, and one confirmed that they are not aware of any sites in neighbouring authorities which have any effect on Waverley.

- » One officer felt that, despite Waverley and the neighbouring boroughs complying with their respective Duty to cooperate, there still needs to be more cooperation with neighbouring authorities around the management of encampments. The officer explained that when encampments occur close to a neighbouring authority, they will often simply move them over the border and felt that this approach could be improved.

6. Survey of Travelling Communities

Interviews with Gypsies and Travellers

- 6.1 One of the major components of this study was a detailed survey of the Gypsy and Traveller population living in the study area, and also efforts to engage with the bricks and mortar community.
- 6.2 Through the desk-based research and stakeholder interviews ORS identified 3 public sites; 14 private sites; no temporary sites; 6 unauthorised sites; and 2 Travelling Showmen's yards. The table below sets out the number of pitches/plots, the number of interviews that were completed, and the reasons why interviews were not completed.
- 6.3 During the period between commencing the GTAA and reporting no further transient households were identified to interview.

Figure 7 – Sites and yards visited in Horsham

Planning Status	Pitches/Plots	Interviews	Reasons for not completing interviews/additional interviews
Public Sites			
Adversane Caravan Site	12	8	1 x no contact, 1 x refusal, 2 x vacant
Cousin's Copse Caravan Site	12	6	6 x no contact
Hill Side Park	11	8	3 x no contact
Private Sites			
Deer Park Farm	1	0	1 x no contact
Fryern Park Farm	2	1	1 x vacant
Greenfield Farm	14	8	4 x unimplemented, 2 x Vacant
Hawthorns	4	0	4 x unimplemented
Kingfisher Farm West	11	11	-
Land at junction of Hill Farm Lane and Stane Street	2	0	2 x unimplemented
Lane Top	1	1	-
Northside Farm	1	1	-
Oak Tree View	1	2	1 x doubled-up
Oakdene	8	0	Owner refused access
Oaklands	4	3	1 x vacant
Oldfield Stables	6	2	4 x vacant
Southview/Hammonds Yard	1	1	-
Sussex Topiary	4	9	5 x in-migration/roadside
Temporary Sites			
None	-	-	-
Tolerated Sites			
None	-	-	-
Unauthorised Sites			

Green Acres	12	4	10 x non-Travellers
Parsons Field	2	2	-
Plot 3, Bramblefield	1	1	-
Land to the rear of Pear Tree Farm	3	3	-
Southview/Hammonds Yard	4	4	-
Whiteoaks	2	2	-
Bricks and Mortar			
Lane Top	1	1	-
Whiteoaks	1	1	-
Various	3	3	-
Travelling Showpeople			
Southside	4	0	4 x unimplemented
The Orchard	4	4	-
TOTAL	132	86	

Interviews with Gypsies and Travellers in Bricks and Mortar

- ^{6.4} Following all of the efforts that were made it was possible to complete interviews with 5 households living in bricks and mortar in Horsham, or with strong links to Horsham.

7. Current and Future Pitch Provision

Introduction

- 7.1 This section focuses on the pitch provision which is needed in the study area currently and to 2036. This includes both current unmet need and need which is likely to arise in the future⁸. This time period allows for robust forecasts of the requirements for future provision, based upon the evidence contained within this study and also secondary data sources. Whilst the difficulty in making accurate assessments beyond 5 years has been highlighted in previous studies, the approach taken in this study to estimate new household formation has been accepted by Planning Inspectors as the most appropriate methodology to use.
- 7.2 We would note that this section is based upon a combination of the on-site surveys, planning records and stakeholder interviews. In many cases, the survey data is not used in isolation, but instead is used to validate information from planning records or other sources.
- 7.3 This section concentrates not only upon the total provision which is required in the area, but also whether there is a need for any transit sites and/or emergency stopping place provision.

New Household Formation Rates

- 7.4 Nationally, a household formation and growth rate of 3.00% net per annum⁹ has been commonly assumed and widely used in local Gypsy and Traveller assessments, even though there is no statistical evidence of households growing so quickly. The result has been to inflate both national and local requirements for pitches unrealistically. In this context, ORS has prepared a *Technical Note on Household Formation and Growth Rates (2015)*. The main conclusions are set out here and the full paper is in **Appendix F**.
- 7.5 Those seeking to provide evidence of high annual net household growth rates for Gypsies and Travellers have sometimes sought to rely on increases in the number of caravans, as reflected in caravan counts. However, caravan count data is unreliable and erratic – so the only proper way to project future population and household growth is through demographic analysis.
- 7.6 The Technical Note concludes that in fact, the growth in the national Gypsy and Traveller population may be as low as 1.25% per annum – much less than the 3.00% per annum often assumed, but still greater than in the settled community. Even using extreme and unrealistic assumptions, it is hard to find evidence that net Gypsy and Traveller population and household growth rates are above 2.00% per annum nationally.
- 7.7 The often assumed 3.00% per annum net household growth rate is unrealistic and would require clear statistical evidence before being used for planning purposes. In practice, the best available evidence supports a national net household growth rate of 1.50% per annum for Gypsies and

⁸ See Paragraphs 3.41 and 3.42 for details of components on current and future need.

⁹ Page 25, Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation Needs Assessments – Guidance (DCLG – 2007) *Now withdrawn*.

Travellers (in addition research by ORS has identified a national growth rate of 1.00% for Travelling Showpeople) and this has also been adjusted locally based on site demographics.

- 7.8 This view has been supported by Planning Inspectors in a number of Decision Notices. The Inspector for an appeal in Doncaster that was issued in November 2016 (Ref: APP/F4410/W/15/3133490) where the agent acting on behalf of the appellant claimed that a rate closer to 3.00% should be used concluded:

In assessing need account also needs to be taken of likely household growth over the coming years. In determining an annual household growth rate, the Council relies on the work of Opinions Research Services (ORS), part of Swansea University. ORS's research considers migration, population profiles, births & fertility rates, death rates, household size data and household dissolution rates to determine average household growth rates for gypsies and travellers. The findings indicate that the average annual growth rate is in the order of 1.50% but that a 2.50% figure could be used if local data suggest a relatively youthful population. As the Council has found a strong correlation between Doncaster's gypsy and traveller population age profile and the national picture, a 1.50% annual household growth rate has been used in its 2016 GTANA. Given the rigour of ORS's research and the Council's application of its findings to the local area I accept that a 1.50% figure is justified in the case of Doncaster.

- 7.9 Another more recent was in relation to an appeal in Guildford that was issued in March 2018 (Ref: APP/W/16/3165526) where the agent acting on behalf of the appellant again claimed that a rate closer to 3.00% should be used. The Inspector concluded:

There is significant debate about household formation rates and the need to meet future growth in the district. The obvious point to make is that this issue is likely to be debated at the local-plan examination. In my opinion, projecting growth rates is not an exact science and the debate demonstrates some divergence of opinion between the experts. Different methodologies could be applied producing a wide range of data. However, on the available evidence it seems to me that the figures used in the GTAA are probably appropriate given that they are derived by using local demographic evidence. In my opinion, the use of a national growth rate and its adaptation to suit local or regional variation, or the use of local base data to refine the figure, is a reasonable approach.

- 7.10 In addition, the Technical Note has recently been accepted as a robust academic evidence base and has been published by the Social Research Association in its journal Social Research Practice in December 2017. The overall purpose of the journal is to encourage and promote high standards of social research for public benefit.

- 7.11 ORS assessments take full account of the net local household growth rate per annum calculated on the basis of demographic evidence from the site surveys, and the 'baseline' includes all current authorised households, all households identified as in current need (including concealed households, movement from bricks and mortar and those on waiting lists not currently living on a pitch or plot), as well as households living on tolerated unauthorised pitches or plots who are not included as current need. The assessments of future need also take account of modelling projections based on birth and death rates, household dissolution, and in-/out-migration.

- 7.12 Overall, the household growth rate used for the assessment of future needs has been informed by local evidence. This demographic evidence has been used to adjust the national growth rate of 1.50% up or down based on the proportion of those aged under 18 (by planning status).
- 7.13 In certain circumstances where the numbers of households and children are low it may not be appropriate to apply a percentage rate for new household formation. In these cases, a judgement will be made on likely new household formation based on the age and gender of the children. This will be based on the assumption that 50% of households likely to form will stay in the area. This is based on evidence from other GTAA's that ORS have completed across England and Wales.
- 7.14 Overall new household formation has also been adjusted to take account of teenagers in need of a pitch in the next 5 years who have already been identified as components of need. This will eliminate any double counting in the assessment of need.

Breakdown by 5 Year Bands

- 7.15 In addition to tables which set out the overall need for Gypsies and Travellers, the overall need has also been broken down by 5-year bands as required by PPTS (2015). The way that this is calculated is by including all current need (from unauthorised pitches, pitches with temporary planning permission, concealed and doubled-up households, 5 year need from teenage children, and net movement from bricks and mortar) in the first 5 years. In addition, the total net new household formation is split across the GTAA period based on the compound rate of growth that was applied rather than being split equally over time.

Applying the Planning Definition

- 7.16 The outcomes from the household interviews were used to determine the status of each household against the planning definition in PPTS (2015). This assessment was based on the responses to the questions given to Researchers. Only those households that met the planning definition, in that they were able to provide information during the household interview that household members travel for work purposes, or for seeking work, and stay away from their usual place of residence when doing so – or that they have ceased to travel temporarily due to education, ill health or old age, form the components of need that will form the baseline of need in the GTAA. Households where an interview was not completed who may meet the planning definition have also been included as a potential additional component of need from undetermined households. Whilst they do not need to be formally considered in the GTAA, need from households that did not meet the planning definition has also been assessed to provide the Councils with information on levels of need that will have to be considered as part of the wider housing needs of the area and through separate Local Plan Policies.
- 7.17 The information used to assess households against the planning definition included information on whether households have ever travelled; why they have stopped travelling; the reasons that they travel; and whether they plan to travel again in the future and for what reasons. The table below sets out the planning status of households that were interviewed for the Horsham GTAA.

Figure 8 – Planning status of households in Horsham

Status	Met Planning Definition	Undetermined	Did not meet Planning Definition
Gypsies and Travellers			
Public Sites	6	11	16
Private Sites	35	9	4
Temporary Sites	0	0	0
Unauthorised Sites	10	0	4
Bricks and Mortar	5	0	0
Sub-Total	56	20	24
Travelling Showpeople			
Private Yards ¹⁰	4	0	0
Total	60	20	24

- 7.18 Figure 8 shows that for Gypsies and Travellers, 60 households met the planning definition of a Traveller in that ORS were able to determine that they travel for work purposes and stay away from their usual place of residence or have ceased to travel temporarily. A total of 24 Gypsy and Traveller households did not meet the planning definition as they were not able to demonstrate that they travel away from their usual place of residence for the purpose of work, or that they have ceased to travel temporarily due to children in education, ill health or old age. Some did travel for cultural reasons, to visit relatives or friends, and others had ceased to travel permanently – these households did not meet the planning definition.
- 7.19 The number of households on each site where an interview was not possible are recorded as undetermined. The reasons for this include households that refused to be interviewed, a site owner who refused access to his site to complete interviews, and households that were not present during the fieldwork period – despite up to 3 visits.

Interviews with Gypsies and Travellers in Bricks and Mortar

- 7.20 Following all the efforts that were made, it was possible to interview 5 households living in bricks and mortar living in, or with strong links to Horsham. Two households were happy living in bricks and mortar and three expressed a need to move back to a site in Horsham due to unacceptable current housing conditions.

Migration

- 7.21 The study has also sought to address in-migration (households requiring accommodation who move into the study area from outside) and out-migration (households moving away from the study area). Site surveys typically identify only small numbers of in-migrant and out-migrant households and the data is not normally robust enough to extrapolate long-term trends. At the national level, there is nil net migration of Gypsies and Travellers across the UK, but the assessment has taken into account local migration effects on the basis of the best evidence available.

¹⁰ 4 pitches on 1 Travelling Showmen's yard were unimplemented at the time of the GTAA.

- 7.22 Evidence drawn from stakeholder and household interviews has been considered alongside assessments of need that have been completed in other nearby local authorities. Other than those households identified in Table 9, ORS have found no firm evidence from other local studies that have been completed recently of any households wishing to move to Horsham. There were a small number of households interviewed on a transit site in Chichester who expressed a wish to live in Horsham but there was no evidence of any local links to the area. Therefore, net migration to the sum of zero has been assumed for the GTAA – which means that net pitch requirements are driven by locally identifiable need rather than speculative modelling assumptions. Should any households from outside of Horsham wish to develop a new site the proposal will need to be considered by a criteria-based Local Plan Policy.

Pitch Needs – Gypsies and Travellers that meet the Planning Definition (excluding SDNP)

7.23 The 56 households that met the planning definition were found across the public, private, unauthorised sites and in bricks and mortar. Analysis of the household interviews indicated that there is a current need from 12 unauthorised pitches; 19 concealed or doubled-up households or single adults; 2 households from bricks and mortar; 17 teenagers who will need a pitch of their own in the next 5 years; 5 from in-migration/roadside; and 43 from new household derived from the household demographics. There is also supply of 5 pitches from vacant pitches or from households moving from public sites. Therefore, the overall level of need for those households who met the planning definition of a Gypsy or Traveller is for **93 pitches** over the GTAA period.

Figure 9 – Need for Gypsy and Traveller households in Horsham that met the Planning Definition (2019-36)

Gypsies and Travellers - Meeting Planning Definition	Pitches
Supply of Pitches	
Supply from vacant public pitches	2
Supply from pitches on new sites	0
Pitches vacated by households moving to bricks and mortar	2
Pitches vacated by households moving away from the study area	1
Total Supply	5
Current Need	
Households on unauthorised developments	12
Households on unauthorised encampments	0
Concealed households/Doubling-up/Over-crowding	19
Movement from bricks and mortar	2
Households on waiting lists for public sites	0
Total Current Need	33
Future Need	
5 year need from teenage children	17
Households on sites with temporary planning permission	0
In-migration/Roadside	5
New household formation	43
<i>(Formation from household demographics)</i>	
Total Future Needs	65
Net Pitch Need = (Current and Future Need – Total Supply)	93

Figure 10 – Need for Gypsy and Traveller households in Horsham that met the Planning Definition by 5-year periods

Years	0-5	6-10	11-15	16-17	Total
	2019-24	2024-29	2029-34	2034-36	
	50	15	17	11	93

Pitch Needs – Undetermined Gypsies and Travellers

- 7.24 Whilst it was not possible to determine the planning status of a total of 20 households as they either refused to be interviewed or were not on site at the time of the fieldwork, the needs of these households still need to be recognised by the GTAA as they are believed to be Gypsies and Travellers and may meet the planning definition.
- 7.25 ORS are of the opinion that it would not be appropriate when producing a robust assessment of need to make any firm assumptions about whether or not households where an interview was not completed meet the planning definition based on the outcomes of households in that local authority where an interview was completed.
- 7.26 However, data that has been collected from over 3,900 household interviews that have been completed by ORS since the changes to PPTS in 2015 suggests that nationally approximately 30% of households that have been interviewed meet the planning definition.
- 7.27 This would suggest that it is likely that only a proportion of the potential need identified from these undetermined households will need conditioned Gypsy and Traveller pitches, and that the needs of the majority will need to be addressed through other means.
- 7.28 Should further information be made available to the Council that will allow for the planning definition to be applied to the undetermined households, the overall level of need could rise by up to 6 pitches from new household formation (this uses a base of the 20 households and a net growth rate of 1.50%¹¹). Therefore, need could increase by up to a further 6 pitches, plus any concealed adult households or 5-year need arising from teenagers living in these households (if all 20 undetermined pitches are deemed to meet the planning definition). However, as an illustration, if the ORS national average of 30% were to be applied this could be as few as 2 pitches. If the locally derived proportion of households that met the planning definition (69%) were to be applied this could rise to 4 pitches.
- 7.29 Tables setting out the components of need for undetermined households can be found in **Appendix B**.

¹¹The ORS *Technical Note on Population and Household Growth (2015)* has identified a national growth rate of 1.50% for Gypsies and Travellers which has been applied in the absence of further demographic information about these households.

Pitch Needs - Gypsies and Travellers that did not meet the Planning Definition

- 7.30 It is not now a requirement for a GTAA to include an assessment of need for households that did not meet the planning definition. However, this assessment is included for illustrative purposes and to provide the Council with information on levels of need that will have to be addressed through separate Local Plan Policies. On this basis, it is evident that whilst the needs of the 24 households who did not meet the planning definition will represent only a very small proportion of the overall housing need, the Council will still need to ensure that arrangements are in place to properly address these needs – especially as many identified as Irish and Romany Gypsies and may claim that the Council should meet their housing needs through culturally appropriate housing.
- 7.31 Analysis of the household interviews indicated that there is a current need from 2 unauthorised pitches; 2 concealed or doubled-up households or single adults; 1 household from bricks and mortar; 4 teenagers who will need a pitch of their own in the next 5 years; 2 from immigration/roadside; and 8 from new household using a formation rate of 1.70% derived from the household demographics. Therefore, the overall level of need for those households who did not meet the planning definition of a Gypsy or Traveller is for **19 pitches** over the GTAA period.
- 7.32 A summary of this need for households that did not meet the planning definition can be found in **Appendix C**.

Pitch Needs in SDNP

- 7.33 There is 1 site in Horsham (Green Acres) that encroaches over the boundary for the SDNP. The fieldwork identified a small number of caravans on the site that were within the SDNP boundary. However, it was determined that these caravans were not occupied by Gypsies or Travellers. Therefore, there is **no current or future need** for pitches in the SDNP area of Horsham.

Travelling Showpeople Needs

Plot Needs – Travelling Showpeople

- 7.34 There was 1 Travelling Showmen's yard with implemented pitches identified in Horsham and interviews or proxy interviews were completed with all of the residents. All met the planning definition, and all are currently renting their plots. No current or future need was identified, and the households stated that they have sufficient space to meet any future needs that may arise.

Figure 11 – Need for Travelling Showpeople households in Horsham that met the Planning Definition (2019-36)

Travelling Showpeople - Meeting Planning Definition	Pitches
Supply of Pitches	
Supply from vacant public and private plots	0
Supply from pitches on new yards	0
Plots vacated by households moving to bricks and mortar	0
Plots vacated by households moving away from the study area	0
Total Supply	0
Current Need	
Households on unauthorised developments	0
Households on unauthorised encampments	0
Concealed households/Doubling-up/Over-crowding	0
Movement from bricks and mortar	0
Total Current Need	0
Future Need	
5 year need from teenage children	0
Households on yards with temporary planning permission	0
In-migration	0
New household formation	0
<i>(Formation from household demographics)</i>	
Total Future Needs	0
Net Plot Need = (Current and Future Need – Total Supply)	0

Figure 12 – Need for Gypsy and Traveller households in Horsham that met the Planning Definition by 5-year periods

Years	0-5	6-10	11-15	16-17	Total
	2019-24	2024-29	2029-34	2034-2036	
	0	0	0	0	0

Transit Requirements

- 7.35 When determining the potential need for transit provision the assessment has looked at data from the MHCLG Traveller Caravan Count, the outcomes of the stakeholder interviews and records on numbers of unauthorised encampments, and the potential wider issues related to changes made to PPTS in 2015.

MHCLG Traveller Caravan Count

- 7.36 Whilst it is considered to be a comprehensive national dataset on numbers of authorised and unauthorised caravans across England, it is acknowledged that the Traveller Caravan Count is a count of caravans and not households. It also does not record the reasons for unauthorised caravans. This makes it very difficult to interpret in relation to assessing future need because it does not count pitches or resident households. The count is also only a twice yearly (January and July) 'snapshot in time' conducted by local authorities on a specific day, and any caravans on unauthorised sites or encampments which occur on other dates are not recorded. Likewise, any caravans that are away from sites on the day of the count are not included. As such it is not considered appropriate to use the outcomes from the Traveller Caravan Count in the assessment of future transit provision. It does however provide valuable historic and trend data on whether there are instances of unauthorised caravans in local authority areas.
- 7.37 Data from the Traveller Caravan Count shows that there have been very low numbers of non-tolerated unauthorised caravans on land not owned by Travellers recorded in the study area in recent years.

Stakeholder Interviews and Local Data

- 7.38 There is currently no public transit provision in Horsham, although there is a public transit site in Chichester that can be used to move on encampments as it is also located in West Sussex. Information from the stakeholder interviews also identified that there are low levels of unauthorised encampments in Horsham, that the majority occur during the summer months. Encampments are said to be infrequent.

Potential Implications of PPTS (2015)

- 7.39 It has been suggested that there will need to be an increase in transit provision across the country as a result of changes to PPTS leading to more households travelling. This may well be the case, but it will take some time for any changes to become apparent. As such the use of historic evidence to make an assessment of future transit need is not recommended at this time. Any recommendation for future transit provision will need to make use of a robust post-PPTS (2015) evidence base and there has not been sufficient time yet for this to happen at this point in time.

Transit Recommendations

- 7.40 Due to low historic low numbers of unauthorised encampments, and the availability of public transit pitches in Chichester, it is not recommended that there is a need for any transit provision in Horsham at this time.

- 7.41 However, the situation relating to levels of unauthorised encampments should be monitored whilst any potential changes associated with PPTS (2015) develop – for example a potential increase in the number of households travelling to seek to meet the current planning definition.
- 7.42 As well as information on the size and duration of the encampments, this monitoring should also seek to gather information from residents on the reasons for their stay in the local area; whether they have a permanent base or where they have travelled from; whether they have any need or preference to settle permanently in the local area; and whether their travelling is a result of changes to PPTS (2015). This information could be collected as part of a Welfare Assessment (or similar).
- 7.43 In the short-term the Council should continue to use its current approach when dealing with unauthorised encampments and management-based approaches such as negotiated stopping agreements could also be considered.
- 7.44 The term ‘negotiated stopping’ is used to describe agreed short-term provision for Gypsy and Traveller caravans. It does not describe permanent ‘built’ transit sites but negotiated agreements which allow caravans to be sited on suitable specific pieces of ground for an agreed and limited period of time, with the provision of limited services such as water, waste disposal and toilets. Agreements are made between the Council and the (temporary) residents regarding expectations on both sides. See www.leedsgate.co.uk for further information.
- 7.45 Temporary stopping places can be made available at times of increased demand due to fairs or cultural celebrations that are attended by Gypsies and Travellers. A charge may be levied as determined by the local authority although they only need to provide basic facilities including: a cold-water supply; portaloos; sewerage disposal point and refuse disposal facilities. Whilst such events are unlikely to occur in Horsham, the Council should still be aware of temporary arrangements that could be put in place if required.

8. Conclusions

- 8.1 This study provides a robust evidence base to enable the Council to assess the housing needs of the Travelling Community as well as complying with their requirements towards Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople under the Housing Act 1985, Planning Practice Guidance (PPG) 2014, Planning Policy for Traveller Sites (PPTS) 2015, the Housing and Planning Act 2016, and the revised National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) 2019. It also provides the evidence base which can be used to support Local Plan Policies.

Gypsies and Travellers

- 8.2 In summary there is a need for:
- » 93 pitches in Horsham over the GTAA period to 2036 for Gypsy and Traveller households that met the planning definition.
 - » 6 pitches for undetermined Gypsy and Traveller households that may meet the planning definition: and
 - » 19 pitches for Gypsy and Traveller households who did not meet the planning definition.
 - » There is no need for any pitches in the parts of SDNP that are in Horsham.
- 8.3 In general terms need identified in a GTAA is seen as need for pitches. As set out in Chapter 4 of this report, the now withdrawn *Government Guidance on Designing Gypsy and Traveller Sites* recommended that, as a general guide, an average family pitch must be capable of accommodating an amenity building, a large trailer and touring caravan, parking space for two vehicles and a small garden area.
- 8.4 However, it should be noted that the need for pitches is not necessarily a need for additional pitches and it is therefore recommended that alternative approaches should be considered when seeking to address the levels of need identified in this GTAA, especially when seeking to meet the need through the intensification or expansion of existing sites.
- 8.5 The first approach to consider is in relation to single concealed or doubled-up adults and teenagers who will be in need of a pitch of their own in the next 5 years. In the short to medium term it is likely that the accommodation need of these individuals could be met through additional touring caravans on existing sites which are, generally, each equivalent to the provision of a pitch, as opposed to more formally set out pitches.
- 8.6 The second approach to consider is for sites occupied by larger extended family groups. Again, sites like this may be able to meet the overall accommodation needs through a combination of shared static caravans, tourers and dayrooms on existing sites which are, generally, each equivalent to the provision of a pitch – as opposed to more formally set out sites with separate pitches. It is common for conditions in Decision Notices for Travellers sites to simply place limits on the numbers and types of caravans as opposed to placing limits on the number of pitches.

- 8.7 It is recommended that need for households that met the planning definition is addressed through new pitch allocations or the expansion or intensification of existing sites. Any need arising from undetermined or new households seeking to move to the area and develop a site should be addressed through a criteria-based Local Plan Policy. The need for households who did not meet the planning definition should be addressed as part of general housing need and through separate Local Plan Policies.
- 8.8 The Council will need to carefully consider how to address the needs associated with undetermined Travellers as it is unlikely that all of this need will have to be addressed through the provision of conditioned Gypsy or Traveller pitches. In terms of Local Plan Policies, the Council should consider the use of a criteria-based policy (as suggested in PPTS) for any undetermined households that do provide evidence that they meet the planning definition. This would also be able to address windfall need from households seeking to move to Horsham (in-migration) or from households currently living in bricks and mortar.
- 8.9 In general terms, the need for those households who do not meet the planning definition will be addressed as part of general housing need and through separate Local Plan Policies.
- 8.10 This approach is specifically referenced in the revised National Planning Policy Framework (July 2018). Paragraph 60 of the NPPF sets out that in determining the minimum number of homes needed, strategic plans should be based upon a local housing need assessment conducted using the standard method in national planning guidance. Paragraph 61 then states that *‘Within this context, the size, type and tenure of housing needed for different groups in the community should be assessed and reflected in planning policies (including, but not limited to, those who require affordable housing, families with children, older people, students, people with disabilities, service families, travellers, people who rent their homes and people wishing to commission or build their own homes’*. The footnote to this section states that *‘Planning Policy for Traveller Sites sets out how travellers’ housing needs should be assessed for those covered by the definition in Annex 1 of that document.’*
- 8.11 It is recognised that the Council already have in place an adopted Local Plan that sets out overall housing need. The Council are in the process of reviewing the Local Plan and an updated Housing Needs Assessment is being prepared in parallel with this GTAA to inform the review. When this plan is reviewed, the findings of this report should be considered as part of future housing mix and type within the context of the assessment of overall housing need in relation to those households that do not meet the planning definition of a Traveller.
- 8.12 As far as the size and type of new sites is concerned, advice was published by the Government in 2008. *Designing Gypsy and Traveller sites: good practice guide* was intended to provide potential developers and existing site owners with an understanding of the design features needed to help ensure a site is successful, easy to manage and maintain, including site location, layout, size and the services and facilities need to make it operate effectively. Whilst this was withdrawn in September 2015, it still provides valid advice. In summary it suggests that sites should ideally consist of up to 15 pitches in capacity unless there is clear evidence to suggest that a larger site is preferred by the local Gypsy or Traveller community.
- 8.13 More recent guidance was published by the Welsh Government¹². This states that the recommended number of pitches and layout of residential Local Authority Gypsy and Traveller

¹² Designing Gypsy and Traveller Sites – Welsh Government Guidance (2015).

sites should be closely linked; that smaller sites can be easier to manage and are more likely to attract compatible family units; and that new sites should comprise 20 pitches or less, other than in exceptional circumstances and where consultation and engagement have taken place with all stakeholders.

- 8.14 As far as the management of new sites is concerned guidance¹³ was published by the Government in 2009. This good practice guidance is intended to help new and existing managers maintain well-run and sustainable sites which provide a safe environment for residents and their families. It also aims to clarify the respective roles and responsibilities of management and residents alike, dealing with permanent and transit site accommodation and offering advice on everyday issues. It will also help to ensure sites work well and fulfil their part in promoting good relations with the wider community in the area.

Travelling Showpeople

- 8.15 The assessment has identified no need for any plots for households that met the planning definition. There were no undetermined households and no households that did not meet the planning definition.

Transit Provision

- 8.16 Due to low historic low numbers of unauthorised encampments, and the availability of a public transit site in Chichester, it is not recommended that there is a need for any transit provision in Horsham at this time. However, the situation relating to levels of unauthorised encampments should be monitored whilst any potential changes associated with PPTS (2015) develop – for example a potential increase in the number of households travelling to seek to meet the current planning definition. In the short-term the Council should continue to use its current approach when dealing with unauthorised encampments and management-based approaches such as negotiated stopping agreements could also be considered.

Summary of Need to be Addressed

- 8.17 Taking into consideration all of the elements of need that have been assessed, together with the assumptions on the proportion of undetermined households that are likely to meet the planning definition, the table below sets out the likely number of pitches that will need to be addressed either as a result of the GTAA, or through the HNA and through separate Local Plan Policies.
- 8.18 Total need from Gypsy and Traveller households that meet the planning definition, from undetermined households, and from households that do not meet the planning definition is for 118 pitches.
- 8.19 The table below breaks need down by the GTAA and HNA by taking 30% (the ORS national average for Gypsies and Travellers) of need from undetermined households and adding this to the need from households that met the planning definition, and by adding the remaining 70% of need from undetermined households to the need from households that did not meet the planning definition.

¹³ Gypsy and traveller site management - good practice guide DCLG (2009).

Figure 13 – Need for Gypsy and Traveller households broken down by potential delivery method – ORS %

Planning Status	GTAA	HNA	TOTAL
Meet Planning Definition (+ 30% undetermined)	95 (93+2)	0	95
Not meeting Planning Definition (+ 70% undetermined)	0	23 (19+4)	23
TOTAL	95	23	118

8.20 The table below breaks need down by the GTAA and HNA by taking 69% (the locally derived proportion of households that met the planning definition) of need from undetermined households and adding this to the need from households that met the planning definition, and by adding the remaining 31% of need from undetermined households to the need from households that did not meet the planning definition.

Figure 14 – Need for Gypsy and Traveller households broken down by potential delivery method – Local %

Planning Status	GTAA	HNA	TOTAL
Meet Planning Definition (+ 69% undetermined)	97 (93+4)	0	97
Not meeting Planning Definition (+ 31% undetermined)	0	21 (19+2)	21
TOTAL	97	21	118

List of Figures

Figure 1 – Need for Gypsy and Traveller households in Horsham (2019-36).....	8
Figure 2 – Need for Gypsy and Traveller households in Horsham that met the Planning Definition by year periods.....	8
Figure 3 – Need for Travelling Showpeople households in Horsham (2019-36)	9
Figure 4 – Need for Travelling Showpeople households in Horsham that meet the Planning Definition by year periods.....	9
Figure 5 – Friends, Families and Traveller Leaflet.....	20
Figure 6 – Total amount of provision in Horsham (July 2019).....	27
Figure 7 – Sites and yards visited in Horsham	37
Figure 8 – Planning status of households in Horsham.....	42
Figure 9 – Need for Gypsy and Traveller households in Horsham that met the Planning Definition (2019-36)	44
Figure 10 – Need for Gypsy and Traveller households in Horsham that met the Planning Definition by 5-year periods.....	44
Figure 11 – Need for Travelling Showpeople households in Horsham that met the Planning Definition (2019-36).....	47
Figure 12 – Need for Gypsy and Traveller households in Horsham that met the Planning Definition by 5-year periods.....	47
Figure 13 – Need for Gypsy and Traveller households broken down by potential delivery method – ORS %	53
Figure 14 – Need for Gypsy and Traveller households broken down by potential delivery method – Local %	53
Figure 15 - Need for undetermined Gypsy and Traveller households in Horsham (2019-36).....	57
Figure 16 – Need for undetermined Gypsy and Traveller households in Horsham by 5-year periods	57
Figure 17 – Need for Gypsy and Traveller households in Horsham that did not meet the Planning Definition (2019-36)	58
Figure 18 – Need for Gypsy and Traveller households in Horsham that did not meet the Planning Definition by 5-year periods.....	58

Appendix A: Glossary of Terms / Acronyms used

Amenity block/shed	A building where basic plumbing amenities (bath/shower, WC, sink) are provided.
Bricks and mortar	Mainstream housing.
Caravan	Mobile living vehicle used by Gypsies and Travellers. Also referred to as trailers.
Chalet	A single storey residential unit which can be dismantled. Sometimes referred to as mobile homes.
Concealed household	Households, living within other households, who are unable to set up separate family units.
Doubling-Up	Where there are more than the permitted number of caravans on a pitch or plot.
Emergency Stopping Place	A temporary site with limited facilities to be occupied by Gypsies and Travellers while they travel.
Green Belt	A land use designation used to check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas; prevent neighbouring towns from merging into one another; assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroachment; preserve the setting and special character of historic towns; and assist in urban regeneration, by encouraging the recycling of derelict and other urban land.
Household formation	The process where individuals form separate households. This is normally through adult children setting up their own household.
In-migration	Movement of households into a region or community
Local Plans	Local Authority spatial planning documents that can include specific policies and/or site allocations for Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople.
Out-migration	Movement from one region or community in order to settle in another.
Personal planning permission	A private site where the planning permission specifies who can occupy the site and doesn't allow transfer of ownership.
Pitch/plot	Area of land on a site/development generally home to one household. Can be varying sizes and have varying caravan numbers. Pitches refer to Gypsy and Traveller sites and Plots to Travelling Showpeople yards.
Private site	An authorised site owned privately. Can be owner-occupied, rented or a mixture of owner-occupied and rented pitches.

Site	An area of land on which Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople are accommodated in caravans/chalets/vehicles. Can contain one or multiple pitches/plots.
Social/Public/Council Site	An authorised site owned by either the local authority or a Registered Housing Provider.
Temporary planning permission	A private site with planning permission for a fixed period of time.
Tolerated site/yard	Long-term tolerated sites or yards where enforcement action is not expedient, and a certificate of lawful use would be granted if sought.
Transit provision	Site intended for short stays and containing a range of facilities. There is normally a limit on the length of time residents can stay.
Unauthorised Development	Caravans on land owned by Gypsies and Travellers and without planning permission.
Unauthorised Encampment	Caravans on land not owned by Gypsies and Travellers and without planning permission.
Waiting list	Record held by the local authority or site managers of applications to live on a site.
Yard	A name often used by Travelling Showpeople to refer to a site.

GTAA	Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation Assessment
GTANA	Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation Needs Assessment
HEDNA	Housing and Economic Development Needs Assessment
LPA	Local Planning Authority
MHCLG	Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government
NPPF	National Planning Policy Framework
ORS	Opinion Research Services
PPTS	Planning Policy for Traveller Sites (PPTS) in August 2015
SHMA	Strategic Housing Market Assessment
TSP	Travelling Showpeople

Appendix B: Undetermined Households

Figure 15 - Need for undetermined Gypsy and Traveller households in Horsham (2019-36)

Gypsies and Travellers - Undetermined	Pitches
Supply of Pitches	
Supply from vacant public and private pitches	0
Supply from pitches on new sites	0
Pitches vacated by households moving to bricks and mortar	0
Pitches vacated by households moving away from the study area	0
Total Supply	0
Current Need	
Households on unauthorised developments	0
Households on unauthorised encampments	0
Concealed households/Doubling-up/Over-crowding	0
Movement from bricks and mortar	0
Households on waiting lists for public sites	0
Total Current Need	0
Future Need	
5 year need from teenage children	0
Households on sites with temporary planning permission	0
In-migration	0
New household formation	6
<i>(Household base 20 and formation rate 1.50%)</i>	
Total Future Needs	6
Net Pitch Need = (Current and Future Need – Total Supply)	6

Figure 16 – Need for undetermined Gypsy and Traveller households in Horsham by 5-year periods

Years	0-5	6-10	11-15	16-17	Total
	2019-24	2024-29	2029-34	2034-2036	
	1	2	2	1	6

Appendix C: Households that did not meet the Planning Definition

Figure 17 - Need for Gypsy and Traveller households in Horsham that did not meet the Planning Definition (2019-36)

Gypsies and Travellers - Not Meeting Planning Definition	Pitches
Supply of Pitches	
Supply from vacant public and private pitches	0
Supply from pitches on new sites	0
Pitches vacated by households moving to bricks and mortar	0
Pitches vacated by households moving away from the study area	0
Total Supply	0
Current Need	
Households on unauthorised developments	2
Households on unauthorised encampments	0
Concealed households/Doubling-up/Over-crowding	2
Movement from bricks and mortar	1
Households on waiting lists for public sites	0
Total Current Need	5
Future Need	
5 year need from teenage children	4
Households on sites with temporary planning permission	0
In-migration/Roadside	2
New household formation	8
<i>(Household base 33 and formation rate 1.70%)</i>	
Total Future Needs	14
Net Pitch Need = (Current and Future Need – Total Supply)	19

Figure 18 – Need for Gypsy and Traveller households in Horsham that did not meet the Planning Definition by 5-year periods

Years	0-5	6-10	11-15	16-17	Total
	2019-24	2024-29	2029-34	2034-2036	
	11	3	3	2	19

Appendix D: Site and Yard Lists (January 2020)

Site/Yard	Authorised Pitches or Plots	Unauthorised Pitches or Plots
Public Sites		
Adversane Caravan Park	12	-
Cousin's Copse Caravan Site	12	
Hill Side Park	11	
Private Sites with Permanent Permission		
Deer Park Farm	1	-
Fryern Park Farm	2	-
Greenfield Farm	14	-
Hawthorns	4	-
Kingfisher Farm West	11	-
Land at junction of Hill Farm Lane and Stane Street	2	
Lane Top	1	-
Northside Farm	1	-
Oak Tree View	1	-
Oakdene	8	-
Oaklands	4	-
Oldfield Stables	6	-
Southview/Hammonds Yard	1	-
Sussex Topiary	4	-
Private Sites with Temporary Permission		
None	-	-
Tolerated Sites – Long-term without Planning Permission		
None	-	-
Unauthorised Developments		
Green Acres	-	12
Parsons Field	-	2
Plot 3, Bramblefield	-	1
Land to the rear of Pear Tree Farm	-	3
Southview/Hammonds Yard	-	4
Whiteoaks	-	2
TOTAL PITCHES	95	24
Travelling Showpeople Yards		
Southside	4	-
The Orchard	4	
TOTAL PLOTS	8	0

Appendix E: Household Interview Questions

GTAA Questionnaire 2019



INTERVIEWER: Good Morning/afternoon/evening. My name is < > from Opinion Research Services, working on behalf of XXXX Council.

The Council are undertaking a study of Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople accommodation needs assessment in this area. This is needed to make sure that accommodation needs are properly assessed and to get a better understanding of the needs of the Travelling Community.

The Council need to try and speak with every Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople household in the area to make sure that the assessment of need is accurate.

Your household will not be identified and all the information collected will be anonymous and will only be used to help understand the needs of Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople households.

ORS is registered under the Data Protection Act 1998. Your responses will be stored and processed electronically and securely. This paper form will be securely destroyed after processing. Your household will not be identified to the council and only anonymous data and results will be submitted, though verbatim comments may be reported in full, and the data from this survey will only be used to help understand the needs of Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople households

A**General Information****A1 Name of planning authority:***INTERVIEWER please write in***A2 Date/time of site visit(s):***INTERVIEWER please write in*

DD/MM/YY

TIME

A3 Name of interviewer:*INTERVIEWER please write in***A4 Address and pitch number:***INTERVIEWER please write in***A5 Type of accommodation:** *INTERVIEWER please cross one box only*

Council

☐

Private rented

☐

Private owned

☐

Unauthorised

☐

Bricks and Mortar

☐**A6 Name of Family:***INTERVIEWER please write in***A7 Ethnicity of Family:***INTERVIEWER please cross one box only*

Romany Gypsy

☐

Irish Traveller

☐

Scots Gypsy or Traveller

☐

Show Person

☐

New Traveller

☐

English Traveller

☐

Welsh Gypsy

☐

Non-Traveller

☐

Other (please specify)

A8 Number of units on the pitch:*INTERVIEWER please write in*

Mobile homes

Touring Caravans

Day Rooms

Other (please specify)

© Opinion Research Services 2019

A9 Is this site your main place of residence? If not where is?
INTERVIEWER: Please cross one box only

Yes ☐ No ☐

A10 How long have you lived here? If you have moved in the past 5 years, where did you move from? *INTERVIEWER: Please write in below*

Years	Months	If you have moved in the past 5 years, where did you move from? Include ALL moves
-------	--------	---

A11 Did you live here out of your own choice or because there was no other option? If there was no other option, why? *INTERVIEWER: Please cross one box only*

Choice ☐ No option ☐

A12 Is this site suitable for your household? If so why and if not why not? (For example close to schools, work, healthcare, family and friends etc.)
INTERVIEWER: Please cross one box only

Yes ☐ No ☐

A13 How many separate families or unmarried adults live on this pitch?
INTERVIEWER: Please cross one box only

1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5 ☐ 6 ☐ 7 ☐ 8 ☐ 9 ☐ 10 ☐

B Demographics

B1 Demographics — Household 1 *INTERVIEWER: Please write-in*

Person 1		Person 2		Person 3	
Sex	Age	Sex	Age	Sex	Age

Complete additional forms for each household on pitch *INTERVIEWER: Please write-in*

Person 4		Person 5		Person 6		Person 7		Person 8	
Sex	Age	Sex	Age	Sex	Age	Sex	Age	Sex	Age

C Accommodation Needs

C1 How many families or unmarried adults living on this pitch are in need of a pitch of their own in the next 5 years? *INTERVIEWER: Please cross one box only*

INTERVIEWER: AN ADULT IS DEFINED AS 16+

1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5 ☐ 6 ☐ 7 ☐ 8 ☐ 9 ☐ 10 ☐

Other Please specify

© Opinion Research Services 2019

C2 How many of your children will need a home of their own in the next 5 years? If they live here now, will they want to stay on this site? If not, where would they wish to move? (e.g. other site, in bricks and mortar etc.) If they do not live on this site, where do they currently live and would they want to move on to this site or another local site if they could get a pitch? *INTERVIEWER: Please cross one box only*

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Other *Please specify*

Details (Please specify)

D **Waiting List**

D1 Is anyone living here on the waiting list for a pitch in this area? *INTERVIEWER: Please cross one box only*

Yes ☐ ☐ → Continue to D2

No ☐ ☐ → Go to D4

D2 How many people living here are on the waiting list for a pitch in this area? *INTERVIEWER: Please cross one box only*

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Other (Please specify)

Details (Please specify)

D3 How long have they been on the waiting list? *INTERVIEWER: Please cross one box only*

0-3 months	3-6 months	6-12 months	1-2 years	2+ years
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Other (Please specify)

Details (Please specify)

D4 If they are not on the waiting list, do any of the people living here want to be on the waiting list? (INTERVIEWER if they do - please take their contact details) *INTERVIEWER: Please cross one box only*

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

No ☐ Other (Please specify)

Details (Please specify) and take contact details

E		Future Accommodation Needs	
E1 Do you plan to move from this site in the next 5 years? If so, why? <i>INTERVIEWER: Please cross one box only</i>			
Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	If yes	→ Continue to E2
No	<input type="checkbox"/>	If no	→ Go to E5
		<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; width: fit-content;">If so, why? (please specify)</div>	
E2 Where would you move to? <i>INTERVIEWER: Please cross one box only</i>			
Another site in this area (specify where)	A site in another council area (specify where)	Bricks and mortar in this area (specify where)	Bricks and mortar in another council area (specify where)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other (e.g. land they own elsewhere) (Please specify) <input type="checkbox"/>			
<p>Please specify where they would move to</p> <p>If they own land elsewhere - probe for details</p>			
E3 If you want to move would you prefer to buy a private pitch or site, or rent a pitch on a public or private site? <i>INTERVIEWER: Please cross one box only</i>			
Private buy	Private rent	Public rent	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
E4 Can you afford to buy a private pitch or site? <i>INTERVIEWER: Please cross one box only</i>			
Yes	No		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
E5 Are you aware of, or do you own any land that could have potential for new pitches? <i>INTERVIEWER: Please cross one box only</i>			
Yes	No		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
<p>Please ask for details on where land/site is located and who owns the land/site?</p>			

F Travelling	
F1	<p>How many trips, living in a caravan or trailer, have you or members of your family made away from your permanent base in the last 12 months?</p> <p>INTERVIEWER: Please cross one box only</p> <p>0 1 2 3 4 5+</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Go to F6a Continue to F2</p>
F2	<p>If you or members of your family have travelled in the last 12 months, which family members travelled?</p> <p>INTERVIEWER: Please cross one box only</p> <p>All the family Adult males Other</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>If other, please specify</p>
F3	<p>What were the reasons for travelling?</p> <p>INTERVIEWER: Please cross all that apply</p> <p>Work Holidays Visiting family Fairs Other</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Details / specify if necessary. If fairs—probe for whether this involves work</p>
F4	<p>At what time of year do you or family members usually travel? And for how long?</p> <p>INTERVIEWER: Please cross one box only</p> <p>All year Summer Winter</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>And for how long?</p>
F5	<p>Where do you or family members usually stay when they are travelling?</p> <p>INTERVIEWER: Please cross all boxes that apply</p> <p>LA transit sites Private transit sites Roadside Friends/family Other</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>If other, please specify</p> <p>INTERVIEWER: Ask F6a — F8 <u>ONLY</u> if F1 = 0. Otherwise, go to F9</p>
F6a	<p>Are there any reasons why you don't you travel at the moment?</p> <p>Details</p>
F6b	<p>Have you or family members ever travelled?</p> <p>INTERVIEWER: Please cross one box only</p> <p>Yes <input type="checkbox"/> —————> Continue to F7</p> <p>No <input type="checkbox"/> —————> Go to F9</p>
F7a	<p>When did you or family members last travel?</p> <p>INTERVIEWER: Please write in</p> <p>Details</p>
F7b	<p>What were the reasons for travelling?</p> <p>INTERVIEWER: Please cross all that apply</p> <p>Work Holidays Visiting family Fairs Other</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Details / specify if necessary. If fairs—probe for whether this involves work</p>

F8 Why do you not travel anymore? *INTERVIEWER: Cross all boxes that apply & probe for details*

Children
in school

☐

Ill health

☐

Old age

☐

Settled now

☐

Nowhere
to stop

☐

No work
opportunities

☐

Other

☐

If other, please specify

Details about children in school, types of ill health, or looking after relative with poor health, and specific problems/issues relating to old age

F9 Do you or other family members plan to travel in the future?

INTERVIEWER: Please cross one box only

Yes

☐

—————> Continue to F10

No

☐

—————> Go to G1

Don't know

☐

—————> Go to G1

F10 When, and for what purpose do you/they plan to travel?

Details

F11 Is there anything else you would like to tell us about your travelling patterns?

Details

© Opinion Research Services 2019

G Any other information	
G1	Any other information about this site or your accommodation needs? <i>INTERVIEWER: Please write in</i>
<div>Details (e.g. can current and future needs be met by expanding or intensifying the existing site?)</div>	
G2	Site/Pitch plan? Any concerns? <i>INTERVIEWER: Please sketch & write in</i>
<div>Sketch of Site/Pitch — any concerns?</div> <div>Are any adaptations needed?</div> <div>Why does the current accommodation not meet the household's needs; and could their needs could be addressed in situ e.g. extra caravans. This could cover people wanting to live with that household but who cannot currently</div>	

H	Bricks & Mortar Contacts
H1	Contacts for Bricks and Mortar interviews? <i>INTERVIEWER: Please write in</i> <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 150px; margin-top: 10px; text-align: center; color: #ccc; font-size: 24px;">Details</div>
Council contact?	
<p>Would you like the council to contact you about any of the issues raised in this interview? Please note that although ORS will pass on your contact details to the Council we cannot guarantee when they will contact you?</p> <p><i>INTERVIEWER: Please cross one box only</i></p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 10px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> No <input type="checkbox"/> </div> </div> <p style="margin-top: 10px;"><i>INTERVIEWER: Can I confirm your name and telephone number so that we can pass them on to the Council for this purpose only. Your details will only be used for this purpose and will not be passed onto anyone else.</i></p> <div style="margin-top: 10px;"> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> Respondent's Name..... <input style="width: 450px; height: 25px;" type="text"/> </div> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 10px;"> Respondent's Telephone..... <input style="width: 450px; height: 25px;" type="text"/> </div> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 10px;"> Respondent's Email..... <input style="width: 450px; height: 25px;" type="text"/> </div> </div>	
Interview log	
<p><i>INTERVIEWER: Please record the date and time that the interview was carried out</i></p> <div style="margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> Date..... <input style="width: 450px; height: 30px;" type="text"/> </div> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 10px;"> Time of interview..... <input style="width: 450px; height: 30px;" type="text"/> </div> </div>	

© Opinion Research Services 2019

Appendix F: Technical Note on Household Formation and Growth Rates



Technical Note

Gypsy and Traveller Household Formation and Growth Rates

August 26th 2015

Opinion Research Services
Spin-out company of Swansea University



As with all our studies, this research is subject to Opinion Research Services' Standard Terms and Conditions of Contract.

Any press release or publication of this research requires the advance approval of ORS. Such approval will only be refused on the grounds of inaccuracy or misrepresentation.

© Copyright August 2015

Contents

Household Growth Rates.....	4
Abstract and conclusions.....	4
Introduction.....	4
Compound growth.....	6
Caravan counts	7
Modelling population growth.....	8
Household growth	12
Household dissolution rates	14
Summary conclusions	14

Household Growth Rates

Abstract and conclusions

1. National and local household formation and growth rates are important components of Gypsy and Traveller accommodation assessments, but little detailed work has been done to assess their likely scale. Nonetheless, nationally, a net growth rate of 3% per annum has been commonly assumed and widely used in local assessments – even though there is actually no statistical evidence of households growing so quickly. The result has been to inflate both national and local requirements for additional pitches unrealistically.
2. Those seeking to provide evidence of high annual net household growth rates for Gypsies and Travellers have sometimes sought to rely on increases in the number of caravans, as reflected in caravan counts. However, caravan count data are unreliable and erratic – so the only proper way to project future population and household growth is through demographic analysis (which, of course, is used to assess housing needs in the settled community).
3. The growth in the Gypsy and Traveller population may be as low as 1.25% per annum – a rate which is much less than the 3% per annum often assumed, but still at least four times greater than in the general population. Even using extreme and unrealistic assumptions, it is hard to find evidence that net Gypsy and Traveller population and household growth rates are above 2% per annum nationally.
4. The often assumed 3% per annum net household growth rate is unrealistic and would require clear statistical evidence before being used for planning purposes. In practice, the best available evidence supports a national net household growth rate of 1.5% per annum for Gypsies and Travellers.
5. Some local authorities might perhaps allow for a household growth rate of up to 2.5% per annum, to provide a ‘margin’ if their populations are relatively youthful; but in areas where on-site surveys indicate that there are fewer children in the Gypsy and Traveller communities, the lower estimate of 1.5% per annum should be used for planning purposes.

Introduction

6. The rate of household growth is a key element in all housing assessments, including Gypsy and Traveller accommodation assessments. Compared with the general population, the relative youthfulness of many Gypsy and Traveller populations means that their birth rates are likely to generate higher-than-average population growth, and proportionately higher *gross* household formation rates. However, while their *gross* rate of household growth might be high, Gypsy and Traveller communities’ future accommodation needs are, in practice, affected by any reduction in the number of households due to dissolution and/or by movements in/out of the area and/or by transfers into other forms of housing. Therefore, the *net* rate of household growth is the *gross* rate of formation *minus* any reductions in households due to such factors. Of course, it is the *net* rate that is important in determining future accommodation needs for Gypsies and Travellers.

7. In this context, it is a matter of concern that many Gypsy and Traveller accommodation needs assessments have not distinguished *gross* and *net* growth rates nor provided evidence for their assumed rates of household increase. These deficiencies are particularly important because when assumed growth rates are unrealistically high, and then compounded over a number of planning years, they can yield exaggerated projections of accommodation needs and misdirect public policy. Nonetheless, assessments and guidance documents have assumed 'standard' *net* growth rates of about 3% without sufficiently recognising either the range of factors impacting on the *gross* household growth rates or the implications of unrealistic assumptions when projected forward on a compound basis year by year.
8. For example, in a study for the Office of the Deputy Prime Minister ('Local Authority Gypsy and Traveller Sites in England', 2003), Pat Niner concluded that *net* growth rates as high as 2%-3% per annum should be assumed. Similarly, the Regional Spatial Strategies (RSS) (which continued to be quoted after their abolition was announced in 2010) used *net* growth rates of 3% per annum without providing any evidence to justify the figure (For example, 'Accommodation for Gypsies and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople in the East of England: A Revision to the Regional Spatial Strategy for the East of England July 2009').
9. However, the guidance of the Department of Communities and Local Government ('Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation Needs Assessments: Guidance', 2007) was much clearer in saying that:

The 3% family formation growth rate is used here as an example only. The appropriate rate for individual assessments will depend on the details identified in the local survey, information from agencies working directly with local Gypsy and Traveller communities, and trends identified from figures previously given for the caravan count. [In footnote 6, page 25]

10. The guidance emphasises that local information and trends should always be taken into account – because the *gross* rate of household growth is moderated by reductions in households through dissolution and/or by households moving into bricks and mortar housing or moving to other areas. In other words, even if 3% is plausible as a *gross* growth rate, it is subject to moderation through such reductions in households through dissolution or moves. It is the resulting *net* household growth rate that matters for planning purposes in assessing future accommodation needs.
11. The current guidance also recognises that assessments should use local evidence for *net* future household growth rates. A letter from the Minister for Communities and Local Government (Brandon Lewis MP), to Andrew Selous MP (placed in the House of Commons library on March 26th 2014) said:

I can confirm that the annual growth rate figure of 3% does not represent national planning policy.

The previous Administration's guidance for local authorities on carrying out Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation Assessments under the Housing Act 2004 is unhelpful in that it uses an illustrative example of calculating future accommodation need based on the 3% growth rate figure. The guidance notes that the appropriate rate for individual assessments will depend on the details identified in the local authority's own assessment of need. As such the Government is not endorsing or supporting the 3% growth rate figure.'

12. Therefore, while there are many assessments where a national Gypsy and Traveller household growth rate of 3% per annum has been assumed (on the basis of 'standard' precedent and/or guidance), there is little to justify this position and it conflicts with current planning guidance. In this context, this document seeks to integrate available evidence about *net* household growth rates in order to provide a more robust basis for future assessments.

Compound growth

13. The assumed rate of household growth is crucially important for Gypsy and Traveller studies because for future planning purposes it is projected over time on a compound basis – so errors are progressively enlarged. For example, if an assumed 3% *net* growth rate is compounded each year then the implication is that the number of households will double in only 23.5 years; whereas if a *net* compound rate of 1.5% is used then the doubling of household numbers would take 46.5 years. The table below shows the impact of a range of compound growth rates.

Table 1
Compound Growth Rates and Time Taken for Number of Households to Double

Household Growth Rate per Annum	Time Taken for Household to Double
3.00%	23.5 years
2.75%	25.5 years
2.50%	28 years
2.25%	31 years
2.00%	35 years
1.75%	40 years
1.50%	46.5 years

14. The above analysis is vivid enough, but another illustration of how different rates of household growth impact on total numbers over time is shown in the table below – which uses a baseline of 100 households while applying different compound growth rates over time. After 5 years, the difference between a 1.5% growth rate and a 3% growth rate is only 8 households (116 minus 108); but with a 20-year projection the difference is 46 households (181 minus 135).

Table 2
Growth in Households Over time from a Baseline of 100 Households

Household Growth Rate per Annum	5 years	10 years	15 years	20 years	50 years	100 years
3.00%	116	134	156	181	438	1,922
2.75%	115	131	150	172	388	1,507
2.50%	113	128	145	164	344	1,181
2.25%	112	125	140	156	304	925
2.00%	110	122	135	149	269	724
1.75%	109	119	130	141	238	567
1.50%	108	116	125	135	211	443

15. In summary, the assumed rate of household growth is crucially important because any exaggerations are magnified when the rate is projected over time on a compound basis. As we have shown, when compounded and projected over the years, a 3% annual rate of household growth implies much larger future Gypsy and Traveller accommodation requirements than a 1.5% per annum rate.

Caravan counts

16. Those seeking to demonstrate national Gypsy and Traveller household growth rates of 3% or more per annum have, in some cases, relied on increases in the number of caravans (as reflected in caravan counts) as their evidence. For example, some planning agents have suggested using 5-year trends in the national caravan count as an indication of the general rate of Gypsy and Traveller household growth. For example, the count from July 2008 to July 2013 shows a growth of 19% in the number of caravans on-site – which is equivalent to an average annual compound growth rate of 3.5%. So, *if plausible*, this approach could justify using a 3% or higher annual household growth rate in projections of future needs.
17. However, caravan count data are unreliable and erratic. For example, the July 2013 caravan count was distorted by the inclusion of 1,000 caravans (5% of the total in England) recorded at a Christian event near Weston-Super-Mare in North Somerset. Not only was this only an estimated number, but there were no checks carried out to establish how many caravans were occupied by Gypsies and Travellers. Therefore, the resulting count overstates the Gypsy and Traveller population and also the rate of household growth.
18. ORS has applied the caravan-counting methodology hypothetically to calculate the implied national household growth rates for Gypsies and Travellers over the last 15 years, and the outcomes are shown in the table below. The January 2013 count suggests an average annual growth rate of 1.6% over five years, while the July 2013 count gives an average 5-year rate of 3.5%; likewise a study benchmarked at January 2004 would yield a growth rate of 1%, while one benchmarked at January 2008 would imply a 5% rate of growth. Clearly any model as erratic as this is not appropriate for future planning.

Table 3
National CLG Caravan Count July 1998 to July 2014 with Growth Rates (Source: CLG)

Date	Number of caravans	5 year growth in caravans	Percentage growth over 5 years	Annual over last 5 years.
Jan 2015	20,123	1,735	9.54%	1.84%
July 2014	20,035	2,598	14.90%	2.81%
Jan 2014	19,503	1,638	9.17%	1.77%
July 2013	20,911	3,339	19.00%	3.54%
Jan 2013	19,359	1,515	8.49%	1.64%
Jul 2012	19,261	2,112	12.32%	2.35%
Jan 2012	18,746	2,135	12.85%	2.45%
Jul 2011	18,571	2,258	13.84%	2.63%
Jan 2011	18,383	2,637	16.75%	3.15%
Jul 2010	18,134	2,271	14.32%	2.71%
Jan 2010	18,370	3,001	19.53%	3.63%
Jul 2009	17,437	2,318	15.33%	2.89%
Jan 2009	17,865	3,503	24.39%	4.46%
Jul 2008	17,572	2,872	19.54%	3.63%
Jan 2008	17,844	3,895	27.92%	5.05%

Jul 2007	17,149	2,948	20.76%	3.84%
Jan 2007	16,611	2,893	21.09%	3.90%
Jul 2006	16,313	2,511	18.19%	3.40%
Jan 2006	15,746	2,352	17.56%	3.29%
Jul 2005	15,863	2,098	15.24%	2.88%
Jan 2005	15,369	1,970	14.70%	2.78%
Jul 2004	15,119	2,110	16.22%	3.05%
Jan 2004	14,362	817	6.03%	1.18%
Jul 2003	14,700			
Jan 2003	13,949			
Jul 2002	14,201			
Jan 2002	13,718			
Jul 2001	13,802			
Jan 2001	13,394			
Jul 2000	13,765			
Jan 2000	13,399			
Jan 1999	13,009			
Jul 1998	13,545			

19. The annual rate of growth in the number of caravans varies from slightly over 1% to just over 5% per annum. We would note that if longer time periods are used the figures do become more stable. Over the 36 year period 1979 (the start of the caravan counts) to 2015 the compound growth rate in caravan numbers has been 2.5% per annum.
20. However, there is no reason to assume that these widely varying rates correspond with similar rates of increase in the household population. In fact, the highest rates of caravan growth occurred between 2006 and 2009, when the first wave of Gypsy and Traveller accommodation needs assessments were being undertaken – so it seems plausible that the assessments prompted the inclusion of additional sites and caravans (which may have been there, but not counted previously). Counting caravan numbers is very poor proxy for Gypsy and Traveller household growth. Caravans counted are not always occupied by Gypsy and Traveller families and numbers of caravans held by families may increase generally as affluence and economic conditions improve, (but without a growth in households)
21. There is no reason to believe that the varying rates of increase in the number of caravans are matched by similar growth rates in the household population. The caravan count is not an appropriate planning guide and the only proper way to project future population and household growth is through demographic analysis – which should consider both population and household growth rates. This approach is not appropriate to needs studies for the following reasons:

Modelling population growth

Introduction

22. The basic equation for calculating the rate of Gypsy and Traveller population growth seems simple: start with the base population and then calculate the average increase/decrease by allowing for births, deaths and in-/out-migration. Nevertheless, deriving satisfactory estimates is difficult because the evidence is often tenuous – so, in this context, ORS has modelled the growth of the national Gypsy and Traveller population based on the most likely birth and death rates, and by using PopGroup (the leading software for

population and household forecasting). To do so, we have supplemented the available national statistical sources with data derived locally (from our own surveys) and in some cases from international research. None of the supplementary data are beyond question, and none will stand alone; but, when taken together they have cumulative force. In any case the approach we adopt is more critically self-aware than simply adopting 'standard' rates on the basis of precedent.

Migration effects

23. Population growth is affected by national net migration and local migration (as Gypsies and Travellers move from one area to another). In terms of national migration, the population of Gypsies and Travellers is relatively fixed, with little international migration. It is in principle possible for Irish Travellers (based in Ireland) to move to the UK, but there is no evidence of this happening to a significant extent and the vast majority of Irish Travellers were born in the UK or are long-term residents. In relation to local migration effects, Gypsies and Travellers can and do move between local authorities – but in each case the in-migration to one area is matched by an out-migration from another area. Since it is difficult to estimate the net effect of such movements over local plan periods, ORS normally assumes that there will be nil net migration to/from an area. Nonetheless, where it is possible to estimate specific in-/out- migration effects, we take account of them, while distinguishing between migration and household formation effects.

Population profile

24. The main source for the rate of Gypsy and Traveller population growth is the UK 2011 Census. In some cases the data can be supplemented by ORS's own household survey data which is derived from more than 2,000 face-to-face interviews with Gypsies and Travellers since 2012. The ethnicity question in the 2011 census included for the first time 'Gypsy and Irish Traveller' as a specific category. While non-response bias probably means that the size of the population was underestimated, the age profile the census provides is not necessarily distorted and matches the profile derived from ORS's extensive household surveys.
25. The age profile is important, as the table below (derived from census data) shows. Even assuming zero deaths in the population, achieving an annual population growth of 3% (that is, doubling in size every 23.5 years) would require half of the "year one" population to be aged under 23.5 years. When deaths are accounted for (at a rate of 0.5% per annum), to achieve the same rate of growth, a population of Gypsies and Travellers would need about half its members to be aged under 16 years. In fact, though, the 2011 census shows that the midway age point for the national Gypsy and Traveller population is 26 years – so the population could not possibly double in 23.5 years.

Table 4

Age Profile for the Gypsy and Traveller Community in England (Source: UK Census of Population 2011)

Age Group	Number of People	Cumulative Percentage
Age 0 to 4	5,725	10.4
Age 5 to 7	3,219	16.3
Age 8 to 9	2,006	19.9
Age 10 to 14	5,431	29.8
Age 15	1,089	31.8
Age 16 to 17	2,145	35.7
Age 18 to 19	1,750	38.9

Age 20 to 24	4,464	47.1
Age 25 to 29	4,189	54.7
Age 30 to 34	3,833	61.7
Age 35 to 39	3,779	68.5
Age 40 to 44	3,828	75.5
Age 45 to 49	3,547	82.0
Age 50 to 54	2,811	87.1
Age 55 to 59	2,074	90.9
Age 60 to 64	1,758	94.1
Age 65 to 69	1,215	96.3
Age 70 to 74	905	97.9
Age 75 to 79	594	99.0
Age 80 to 84	303	99.6
Age 85 and over	230	100.0

Birth and fertility rates

26. The table above provides a way of understanding the rate of population growth through births. The table shows that surviving children aged 0-4 years comprise 10.4% of the Gypsy and Traveller population – which means that, on average, 2.1% of the total population was born each year (over the last 5 years). The same estimate is confirmed if we consider that those aged 0-14 comprise 29.8% of the Gypsy and Traveller population – which also means that almost exactly 2% of the population was born each year. (Deaths during infancy will have minimal impact within the early age groups, so the data provides the best basis for estimating of the birth rate for the Gypsy and Traveller population.)
27. The total fertility rate (TFR) for the whole UK population is just below 2 – which means that on average each woman can be expected to have just less than two children who reach adulthood. We know of only one estimate of the fertility rates of the UK Gypsy and Traveller community. This is contained in the book, 'Ethnic identity and inequalities in Britain: The dynamics of diversity' by Dr Stephen Jivraj and Professor Ludi Simpson published in May 2015. This draws on the 2011 Census data and provides an estimated total fertility rate of 2.75 for the Gypsy and traveller community
28. ORS's have been able to examine our own survey data to investigate the fertility rate of Gypsy and Traveller women. The ORS data shows that, on average, Gypsy and Traveller women aged 32 years have 2.5 children (but, because the children of mothers above this age point tend to leave home progressively, full TFRs were not completed). On this basis it is reasonable to assume an average of three children per woman during her lifetime which would be consistent with the evidence from the 2011 Census of a figure of around 2.75 children per woman. In any case, the TFR for women aged 24 years is 1.5 children, which is significantly short of the number needed to double the population in 23.5 years – and therefore certainly implies a net growth rate of less than 3% per annum.

Death rates

29. Although the above data imply an annual growth rate through births of about 2%, the death rate has also to be taken into account – which means that the *net* population growth cannot conceivably achieve 2% per

annum. In England and Wales there are nearly half-a-million deaths each year – about 0.85% of the total population of 56.1 million in 2011. If this death rate is applied to the Gypsy and Traveller community then the resulting projected growth rate is in the region of 1.15%-1.25% per annum.

30. However, the Gypsy and Traveller population is significantly younger than average and may be expected to have a lower percentage death rate overall (even though a smaller than average proportion of the population lives beyond 68 to 70 years). While there can be no certainty, an assumed death rate of around 0.5% to 0.6% per annum would imply a net population growth rate of around 1.5% per annum.
31. Even though the population is younger and has a lower death rate than average, Gypsies and Travellers are less likely than average to live beyond 68 to 70 years. Whereas the average life expectancy across the whole population of the UK is currently just over 80 years, a Sheffield University study found that Gypsy and Traveller life expectancy is about 10-12 years less than average (Parry et al (2004) 'The Health Status of Gypsies and Travellers: Report of Department of Health Inequalities in Health Research Initiative', University of Sheffield). Therefore, in our population growth modelling we have used a conservative estimate of average life expectancy as 72 years – which is entirely consistent with the lower-than-average number of Gypsies and Travellers aged over 70 years in the 2011 census (and also in ORS's own survey data). On the basis of the Sheffield study, we could have supposed a life expectancy of only 68, but we have been cautious in our approach.

Modelling outputs

32. If we assume a TFR of 3 and an average life expectancy of 72 years for Gypsies and Travellers, then the modelling projects the population to increase by 66% over the next 40 years – implying a population compound growth rate of 1.25% per annum (well below the 3% per annum often assumed). If we assume that Gypsy and Traveller life expectancy increases to 77 years by 2050, then the projected population growth rate rises to nearly 1.5% per annum. To generate an 'upper range' rate of population growth, we have assumed a TFR of 4 and an average life expectancy rising to 77 over the next 40 years – which then yields an 'upper range' growth rate of 1.9% per annum. We should note, though, that national TFR rates of 4 are currently found only in sub-Saharan Africa and Afghanistan, so it is an implausible assumption.
33. There are indications that these modelling outputs are well founded. For example, in the ONS's 2012-based Sub-National Population Projections the projected population growth rate for England to 2037 is 0.6% per annum, of which 60% is due to natural change and 40% due to migration. Therefore, the natural population growth rate for England is almost exactly 0.35% per annum – meaning that our estimate of the Gypsy and Traveller population growth rate is four times greater than that of the general population of England.
34. The ORS Gypsy and Traveller findings are also supported by data for comparable populations around the world. As noted, on the basis of sophisticated analysis, Hungary is planning for its Roma population to grow at around 2.0% per annum, but the underlying demographic growth is typically closer to 1.5% per annum. The World Bank estimates that the populations of Bolivia, Cambodia, Egypt, Malaysia, Pakistan, Paraguay, Philippines and Venezuela (countries with high birth rates and improving life expectancy) all show population growth rates of around 1.7% per annum. Therefore, in the context of national data, ORS's modelling and plausible international comparisons, it is implausible to assume a net 3% annual growth rate for the Gypsy and Traveller population.

Household growth

35. In addition to population growth influencing the number of households, the size of households also affects the number. Hence, population and household growth rates do not necessarily match directly, mainly due to the current tendency for people to live in smaller (childless or single person) households (including, of course, older people (following divorce or as surviving partners)). Based on such factors, the CLG 2012-based projections convert current population data to a projected household growth rate of 0.85% per annum (compared with a population growth rate of 0.6% per annum).
36. Because the Gypsy and Traveller population is relatively young and has many single parent households, a 1.5% annual population growth could yield higher-than-average household growth rates, particularly if average household sizes fall or if younger-than-average households form. However, while there is evidence that Gypsy and Traveller households already form at an earlier age than in the general population, the scope for a more rapid rate of growth, through even earlier household formation, is limited.
37. Based on the 2011 census, the table below compares the age of household representatives in English households with those in Gypsy and Traveller households – showing that the latter has many more household representatives aged under-25 years. In the general English population 3.6% of household representatives are aged 16-24, compared with 8.7% in the Gypsy and Traveller population. Because the census includes both housed and on-site Gypsies and Travellers without differentiation, it is not possible to know if there are different formation rates on sites and in housing. However, ORS's survey data (for sites in areas such as Central Bedfordshire, Cheshire, Essex, Gloucestershire and a number of authorities in Hertfordshire) shows that about 10% of Gypsy and Traveller households have household representatives aged under-25 years.

Table 5

Age of Head of Household (Source: UK Census of Population 2011)

Age of household representative	All households in England		Gypsy and Traveller households in England	
	Number of households	Percentage of households	Number of households	Percentage of households
Age 24 and under	790,974	3.6%	1,698	8.7%
Age 25 to 34	3,158,258	14.3%	4,232	21.7%
Age 35 to 49	6,563,651	29.7%	6,899	35.5%
Age 50 to 64	5,828,761	26.4%	4,310	22.2%
Age 65 to 74	2,764,474	12.5%	1,473	7.6%
Age 75 to 84	2,097,807	9.5%	682	3.5%
Age 85 and over	859,443	3.9%	164	0.8%
Total	22,063,368	100%	19,458	100%

38. The following table shows that the proportion of single person Gypsy and Traveller households is not dissimilar to the wider population of England; but there are more lone parents, fewer couples without children, and fewer households with non-dependent children amongst Gypsies and Travellers. This data suggest that Gypsy and Traveller households form at an earlier age than the general population.

Table 6
Household Type (Source: UK Census of Population 2011)

Household Type	All households in England		Gypsy and Traveller households in England	
	Number of households	Percentage of households	Number of households	Percentage of households
Single person	6,666,493	30.3%	5,741	29.5%
Couple with no children	5,681,847	25.7%	2345	12.1%
Couple with dependent children	4,266,670	19.3%	3683	18.9%
Couple with non-dependent children	1,342,841	6.1%	822	4.2%
Lone parent: Dependent children	1,573,255	7.1%	3,949	20.3%
Lone parent: All children non-dependent	766,569	3.5%	795	4.1%
Other households	1,765,693	8.0%	2,123	10.9%
Total	22,063,368	100%	19,458	100%

39. ORS's own site survey data is broadly compatible with the data above. We have found that: around 50% of pitches have dependent children compared with 45% in the census; there is a high proportion of lone parents; and about a fifth of Gypsy and Traveller households appear to be single person households. One possible explanation for the census finding a higher proportion of single person households than the ORS surveys is that many older households are living in bricks and mortar housing (perhaps for health-related reasons).
40. ORS's on-site surveys have also found more female than male residents. It is possible that some single person households were men linked to lone parent females and unwilling to take part in the surveys. A further possible factor is that at any time about 10% of the male Gypsy and Traveller population is in prison – an inference drawn from the fact that about 5% of the male prison population identify themselves as Gypsies and Travellers ('People in Prison: Gypsies, Romany and Travellers', Her Majesty's Inspectorate of Prisons, February 2004) – which implies that around 4,000 Gypsies and Travellers are in prison. Given that almost all of the 4,000 people are male and that there are around 200,000 Gypsies and Travellers in total, this equates to about 4% of the total male population, but closer to 10% of the adult male population.
41. The key point, though, is that since 20% of Gypsy and Traveller households are lone parents, and up to 30% are single persons, there is limited potential for further reductions in average household size to increase current household formation rates significantly – and there is no reason to think that earlier household formations or increasing divorce rates will in the medium term affect household formation rates. While there are differences with the general population, a 1.5% per annum Gypsy and Traveller population

growth rate is likely to lead to a household growth rate of 1.5% per annum – more than the 0.85% for the English population as a whole, but much less than the often assumed 3% rate for Gypsies and Travellers.

Household dissolution rates

42. Finally, consideration of household dissolution rates also suggests that the net household growth rate for Gypsies and Travellers is very unlikely to reach 3% per annum (as often assumed). The table below, derived from ORS's mainstream strategic housing market assessments, shows that generally household dissolution rates are between 1.0% and 1.7% per annum. London is different because people tend to move out upon retirement, rather than remaining in London until death. To adopt a 1.0% dissolution rate as a standard guide nationally would be too low, because it means that average households will live for 70 years after formation. A 1.5% dissolution rate would be a more plausible as a national guide, implying that average households live for 47 years after formation.

Table 7
Annual Dissolution Rates (Source: SHMAs undertaken by ORS)

Area	Annual projected household dissolution	Number of households	Percentage
Greater London	25,000	3,266,173	0.77%
Blaenau Gwent	468.2	30,416	1.54%
Bradford	3,355	199,296	1.68%
Ceredigion	348	31,562	1.10%
Exeter, East Devon, Mid Devon, Teignbridge and Torbay	4,318	254,084	1.70%
Neath Port Talbot	1,352	57,609	2.34%
Norwich, South Norfolk and Broadland	1,626	166,464	0.98%
Suffolk Coastal	633	53,558	1.18%
Monmouthshire Newport Torfaen	1,420	137,929	1.03%

43. The 1.5% dissolution rate is important because the death rate is a key factor in moderating the *gross* household growth rate. Significantly, applying a 1.5% dissolution rate to a 3% *gross* household growth formation rate yields a *net* rate of 1.5% per annum – which ORS considers is a realistic figure for the Gypsy and Traveller population and which is in line with other demographic information. After all, based on the dissolution rate, a *net* household formation rate of 3% per annum would require a 4.5% per annum *gross* formation rate (which in turn would require extremely unrealistic assumptions about birth rates).

Summary conclusions

44. Future Gypsy and Traveller accommodation needs have typically been over-estimated because population and household growth rates have been projected on the basis of assumed 3% per annum net growth rates.
45. Unreliable caravan counts have been used to support the supposed growth rate, but there is no reason to suppose that the rate of increase in caravans corresponds to the annual growth of the Gypsy and Traveller population or households.

46. The growth of the national Gypsy and Traveller population may be as low as 1.25% per annum – which is still four times greater than in the settled community. Even using extreme and unrealistic assumptions, it is hard to find evidence that the net national Gypsy and Traveller population and household growth is above 2% per annum nationally. The often assumed 3% net household growth rate per annum for Gypsies and Travellers is unrealistic.
47. The best available evidence suggests that the net annual Gypsy and Traveller household growth rate is 1.5% per annum. The often assumed 3% per annum net rate is unrealistic. Some local authorities might allow for a household growth rate of up to 2.5% per annum, to provide a 'margin' if their populations are relatively youthful; but in areas where on-site surveys indicate that there are fewer children in the Gypsy and Traveller population, the lower estimate of 1.5% per annum should be used.