

View Response

Response Details

From «Anonymous»

Date Started: 19 Dec 2019 16:07. Last modified: 19 Dec 2019 16:07

Status Complete

Response ID #770142

Visibility Unknown.

1

To which part in the plan does your representation relate? Please provide paragraph number and Policy reference.

You must provide an answer to this question.

S&L20 policy ref 2.3

2

Do you support, oppose, or wish to comment on this plan? (Please select one answer)

You must provide an answer to this question.

- Support
- Support with Modifications
- Oppose
- Have Comments

3

Please give details of your reasons for support/opposition, or make other comments.

You must provide an answer to this question.

Furners Lane is more accessible than Furners Mead which gets very congested causing me considerable problems as I'm the only resident with a double off road parking and this seems to be the immediate target for people turning and for blocking. My front path has already been damaged because of this and yet the Steering Group have dismissed my objections and have not even responded to my email. Cars are parked down the road on one side and I dispute the the Steering Group's validation for this decision is because of the hedges etc. I have good reason to believe its because the lane residents have more influence due to the higher spec residential houses but at least they would be further away from the traffic as they have more front garden. There is shorter distance for lorries etc., to go if they use Furners Lane so would cause less inconvenience, dirt and dust to all.

For Furners Mead to be the access route for builders lorries is completely unreasonable, in all this affects 24 homes close to the road where as it would only affect 9 homes to use Furners Lane for access and most are set well back from the road so would have less impact of dust and grime from the lorries. Furners Mead is already congested and the rationale provided makes no sense especially when the Steering Group have made no comment to protect the historic hedging west of Backsetton because its privately owned.

This is NOT a "perceived" risk it is reality that unfortunately only affects a few at the very bottom so it seems we dont have a voice. Do any of the Steering Group live in this locality probably not. We've recently endured gas pipe replacement and that was bad enough for a few weeks.

4

What improvements or modifications would you suggest?

You must provide an answer to this question.

I have nothing against the development just the access, using Furners Lane would make more sense, its a shorter distance, more accessible as it would be past the tight junction in/out of Furners Mead and would prevent making an already established bottle neck much worse.

5

Do you wish to be notified of the local planning authority's decision under Regulation 19 of the Neighbourhood Plan (General) Regulations 2012 in relation to the Henfield Neighbourhood Development Plan?

You must provide an answer to this question.



Yes



No

Attachments

If you have any attachments, please attach them here.

You can upload up to 10 files.

«No files»